## EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF PURE AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS

Vol. 7, No. 2, 2014, 129-130 ISSN 1307-5543 – www.ejpam.com



## The Distance From a Point to a Compact Convex Set

M. T. Heydari

Department of Mathematics, College of Sciences, Yasouj University, Yasouj, 75914, Iran

**Abstract.** Let *K* be a compact convex subset of the plane and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}\backslash K$ , then

$$dist(\lambda, K) = ||(\lambda - N_{\mu})^{-1}||^{-1},$$

where  $\mu$  is the Lebesgue measure concentrated on K and  $N_{\mu}$  be the multiplication operator on  $L^{2}(\mu)$ .

2010 Mathematics Subject Classifications: 47A12, 11F23

Key Words and Phrases: compact convex set, distance, Numerical range

## 1. Main Result

Let K be a compact convex subset of the plane and  $\mu$  be the Lebesgue measure concentrated on K, i.e.,  $\mu = m_2|_K$ . Define  $N_\mu$  on  $L^2(\mu)$  by  $N_\mu f = zf$  for each f in  $L^2(\mu)$ . It is easy to check that  $N_\mu$  is normal. Let  $s \in K$  and put  $U_n = B(s, \frac{1}{n})$ , the disc with center at s and radius  $\frac{1}{n}$ , so  $\mu(U_n) \neq 0$ . Since  $\mu$  is regular then  $\mu(U_n) < \infty$ . Now define

$$f_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu(U_n)}} \chi_{U_n},$$

so  $||f_n||_2 = 1$  and  $||(N_\mu - s)f_n||_2 \longrightarrow 0$ , that is  $s \in \sigma(N_\mu)$ . Let  $s \in K^c$ , then there is an open set U with  $\mu(U) = 0$  and  $s \in U$ . Define

$$\psi(z) = \begin{cases} (s-z)^{-1} & \text{if } z \in U^c; \\ 0 & \text{if } z \in U. \end{cases}$$

There is r > 0 such that  $B(s,r) \subset U$ . If  $z \in U^c$  then  $\frac{1}{|s-z|} < \frac{1}{r}$ . Therefore  $\|\psi\|_{\infty} \le \frac{1}{r}$  a.e. and so  $\psi \in L^{\infty}(\mu)$ . Define the operator T on  $L^2(\mu)$  by  $T(f) = \psi f$ , then we have

$$(s - N_{\mu})T = T(s - N_{\mu}) = I$$

Email address: heydari@yu.ac.ir

REFERENCES 130

a.e.. Thus *s* is not in  $\sigma(N_{\mu})$  and so  $\sigma(N_{\mu}) = K$ . Thus for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus K$  we have (see [1, Proposition 3.9 p.198]):

$$\|(\lambda - N_u)^{-1}\|^{-1} \le dist(\lambda, K). \tag{1}$$

To prove the inverse inequality, we need to the following concepts which can be found in [2]. For a bounded linear operator T on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , the numerical range W(T) is the image of the unit sphere of  $\mathcal{H}$  under the quadratic form  $x \to < Tx, x >$  associated with the operator. More precisely,

$$W(T) = \{ \langle Tx, x \rangle : x \in \mathcal{H}, ||x|| = 1 \}$$

Thus the numerical range of an operator, like the spectrum, is a subset of the complex plane whose geometrical properties should say something about the operator.

One of the most fundamental properties of the numerical range is its convexity, stated by the famous Toeplitz-Hausdorff Theorem. Other important property of W(T) is that its closure contains the spectrum of the operator. W(T) is a connected set and for normal operator N,

$$\overline{W(N)} = co(\sigma(N)), \tag{2}$$

where  $\sigma(N)$  is the spectrum of N.

Also we need to the following Theorem which can be found in [3].

**Theorem 1.** Let T be a bounded linear operator T on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\lambda$  outside  $\overline{W(T)}$ . Then

$$dist(\lambda, \overline{W(T)}) \le \|(\lambda - T)^{-1}\|^{-1}. \tag{3}$$

For the operator  $N_{\mu}$  as defined in the first paragraph, we have  $\overline{W(N_{\mu})} = K$  and the above Theorem implies that:

$$dist(\lambda, K) \le ||(\lambda - N_{\mu})^{-1}||^{-1}.$$
 (4)

Now the result follows from (1) and (4).

## References

- [1] J. B. Conway, A course in Functional Analysis, Second ed., Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985.
- [2] P. R. Halmos. A Hilbert space problem Book, second edition, Springer, New York, 1982.
- [3] F. J. Narcowich. *Analytic properties of the boundary of the numerical range*, Indiana University Mathematics Journal, **29**, 67-77. 1980.