EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF PURE AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS Vol. 8, No. 1, 2015, 126-134

ISSN 1307-5543 – www.ejpam.com



# On the Group of the Elliptic Curve $y^2 = x^3 + 4px$

Naser Zamani<sup>1,\*</sup>, Arman Shams<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Faculty of Mathematical Science, University of Mohaghegh Ardabili, Ardabil, Iran

<sup>2</sup> Department of mathematics, Shahid Madani University, Tabriz, Iran

**Abstract.** In this paper we study the group structure of the elliptic curves  $E : y^2 = x^3 + 4px$ , where *p* is 3, 5 or a prime of the form  $u^4 + v^4$  for positive integers *u*, *v*.

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classifications**: 11G05 **Key Words and Phrases**: Elliptic curves, Rank, Isogenous, Selmer group

# 1. Introduction

Let *E* denote an elliptic curve over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\Gamma = E(\mathbb{Q})$  be the set of all rational points on *E*. A seminal Theorem of Mordell-Weil asserts that  $\Gamma$  is a finitely generated Abelian group in a natural way with zero element  $\mathcal{O}$ . We put  $\Gamma = \mathcal{T} \oplus \mathcal{F}$  where  $\mathcal{T}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  are the torsion and maximal free subgroups of  $\Gamma$  respectively. By the rank of *E*, rank(*E*), we mean the rank of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Hence the rank of *E* is positive if and only if *E* possesses an infinity of rational points. Computational works show that a typical elliptic curve has more small rank [1, 9].

Let *p* be a prime number and consider the curve  $E = E_{4p} : y^2 = x^3 + 4px$ . We study the group  $\Gamma$  and show that  $\mathcal{T} = \mathbb{Z}_2$ . By combining some facts of [4], a result on the Selmer group of  $\Gamma$  and that of its isogenous  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  will be given. Next, when  $p = 3, 5, u^4 + v^4$  for positive integers u, v, some results on the rank of *E* are presented. Although, it can be find some similar results concerning the 2-isogenous of *E* in the literatures ([5, 8]), which imply some of our results, our method of study completely differs from those.

## 2. Preliminaries

We begin with the following proposition which shows some properties of  $\Gamma$ .

**Proposition 1.** Let Q = (x', y'), P = (x, y) be two points of E such that  $x' \in \mathbb{Z}$  and Q = 2P. Then  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$  is even.

Email addresses: zamanin@uma.ac.ir, naserzaka@yahoo.com (N. Zamani), shzarghar.arman@gmail.com (A. Shams)

http://www.ejpam.com

(c) 2015 EJPAM All rights reserved.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author.

N. Zamani, A. Shams / Eur. J. Pure Appl. Math, 8 (2015), 126-134

*Proof.* Let x = a/b, gcd(a, b) = 1 and  $x \notin \mathbb{Z}$ . According to the group law of  $\Gamma$ , one can see that

$$x' = \frac{(a^2 - 4pb^2)^2}{4ab(a^2 + 4pb^2)}.$$

Hence,  $(a^2-4pb^2)^2-4ab(a^2+4pb^2)x'=0$  which gives that  $a^4 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  and so *a* is even. Also, we have  $b \mid (a^2-4pb^2)^2$ . Thus *b* is either even or  $b = \pm 1$ . The first case contradicts gcd(a, b) = 1. Thus we must have  $b = \pm 1$  and  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$  is even.

**Lemma 1.** For any prime p, the point  $\mathbf{0} = (0,0)$  is the only element of order 2 in  $\Gamma$ .

*Proof.* Suppose the contrary,  $\mathbf{0} \neq P = (x, y) \in \Gamma$  is of order 2. Thus  $2P = \mathcal{O}$  and hence (x, y) = (x, -y). Then  $x \neq 0$ , y = 0 and  $x^3 + 4px = 0$ . Setting x = a/b and gcd(a, b) = 1, we get  $a^3 + 4pab^2 = 0$ . Hence  $b^2 \mid a^3$ . Since a, b are coprime, so  $b = \pm 1$ , i.e.  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ . But, we have  $p = \frac{x^3}{(-4x)} = \frac{x^2}{(-4)} < 0$ , a contradiction.

**Proposition 2.** For any prime p, there is no point of order 3 in  $\Gamma$ .

*Proof.* On the contrary, we suppose  $P = (x, y) \in \Gamma$  is of order 3, i.e. 2P = -P. Let P = (x, y), 2P = (x', y'). Hence (x', y') = -(x, y) = (x, -y), so x' = x. On the other hand, from duplication formula, we have

$$x = x' = \frac{(x^2 - 4p)^2}{4(x^3 + 4px)}.$$

Thus,  $16p^2 - 24x^2p - 3x^4 = 0$  is a quadratic polynomial in variable *p*. Therefore,

$$p = \frac{12x^2 \pm \sqrt{\Delta'}}{16} \quad \text{with} \quad \Delta' = 192x^4.$$

Since  $\Delta'$  is not square, then  $p \notin \mathbb{N}$ , a contradiction.

The following is one of our main results.

#### **Theorem 1.** For any prime $p, \mathcal{T} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 1,  $\{\mathcal{O}, 0\} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$ . Let  $P := (x, y) \in \mathcal{T} \setminus \{\mathcal{O}, 0\}$ . By Lutz-Nagell theorem, x and y are integers such that  $y^2$  divides the discriminant  $\Delta = 2^8 p^3$  of the curve E. Thus

$$y^2 = 1, 2^2, 2^4, 2^6, 2^8, p^2, 2^2p^2, 2^4p^2, 2^6p^2, 2^8p^2.$$

We list the computations done with  $2P = (x_2, y_2)$  where

$$x_2 = \frac{(3x^2 + 4p)^2}{4y^2} - 2x = \frac{(x^2 - 4p)^2}{4(x^3 + 4px)}$$

in the following table:

	•	2.02.	
$y^2$	x	$(x, y^2; p)$	$x_2$
1	±1	-	_
4	$\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 4$	-	_
16	$\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 4, \pm 8, \pm 16$	-	_
64	$\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 4, \pm 8, \pm 16, \pm 32, \pm 64$	(2, 64; 7)	$\frac{9}{4}$
256	$\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 4, \pm 8, \pm 16, \pm 32, \pm 64,$		
	$\pm 128, \pm 256$	(2,256;31)	$\frac{225}{16}$
$p^2$	$\pm 1, \pm p, \pm p^2$	-	_
$4p^2$	$\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 4, \pm p, \pm 2p, \pm 4p, \pm p^2,$		
	$\pm 2p^2, \pm 4p^2$	-	_
$16p^{2}$	$\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 4, \pm 8, \pm 16, \pm p, \pm 2p,$		
	$\pm 4p, \pm 8p, \pm 16p$	-	-
$64p^{2}$	$\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 4, \pm 8, \pm 16, \pm 32, \pm 64,$		
	$\pm p, \pm 2p, \pm 4p, \pm 8p, \pm 16p, \pm 32p$		
	$\pm 64p, \pm p^2, \pm 2p^2, \pm 4p^2, \pm 8p^2,$		
	$\pm 16p^2, \pm 32p^2, \pm 64p^2, \pm 64p^2$	(14, 3136; 7)	$\frac{9}{4}$
$256p^2$	$\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 4, \pm 8, \pm 16, \pm 32, \pm 64,$		
	$\pm 128, \pm 256, \pm p, \pm 2p, \pm 4p, \pm 8p,$		
	$\pm 16p, \pm 32p, \pm 64p, \pm 128p, \pm 256$		
	$\pm p^2, \pm 2p^2, \pm 4p^2, \pm 8p^2, \pm 16p^2$		
	$\pm 32p^2, \pm 64p^2, \pm 128p^2, \pm 256p^2$	(62, 246016; 31)	$\frac{225}{16}$

Table 1: Computations with  $2P = (x_2, y_2)$ 

The symbol '-' in Table 1 means that the equation  $y^2 = x^3 + 4px$  has no integer solution (x, y; p) and hence no solution for  $x_2$ . We see that  $x_2$  is never zero and so 2P can not be of finite order. This contradicts the fact that  $2P \in \mathcal{T}$ .

## 3. A Result on Selmer Group of E

In this section, we want to evaluate the Selmer group of *E*. For ease in access, we recall some basic facts on the Selmer groups of the elliptic curves [4, 7]. Let *E*, *E'* be elliptic curves defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and assume that there exists an isogeny  $\varphi : E \longrightarrow E'$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  with  $\varphi' : E' \rightarrow E$  its dual. Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be a field containing  $\mathbb{Q}$  with  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  its integral closure in  $\mathbb{K}$ . Then there is an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow E[\varphi] \longrightarrow E \xrightarrow{\varphi} E' \longrightarrow 0,$$

of Gal( $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}$ )-modules where  $E[\varphi] = \ker(\varphi)$ . Taking Galois cohomology, we obtain the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow E'(\mathbb{K})/\varphi(E(\mathbb{K})) \xrightarrow{\delta_{\mathbb{K}}} H^{1}(\mathbb{K}, E[\varphi]) \xrightarrow{\varphi^{*}} H^{1}(\mathbb{K}, E[\varphi]) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $H^1(\mathbb{K}, E)[\varphi]$  is the kernel of  $\varphi^*$  and  $\delta_{\mathbb{K}}$  is the connecting homomorphism. Consider the following commutative diagram ( $\delta_q := \delta_{\mathbb{Q}_q}$ ):

$$\begin{array}{cccc} 0 \longrightarrow & E'(\mathbb{Q})/\varphi(E(\mathbb{Q})) & \stackrel{\delta_{\mathbb{Q}}}{\longrightarrow} & H^{1}(\mathbb{Q}, E[\varphi]) & \longrightarrow & H^{1}(\mathbb{Q}, E)[\varphi] & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & 0 \longrightarrow & \Pi E'(\mathbb{Q}_{q})/\varphi(E(\mathbb{Q}_{q})) & \stackrel{\Pi \delta_{q}}{\longrightarrow} & \Pi H^{1}(\mathbb{Q}_{q}, E[\varphi]) \longrightarrow & \Pi H^{1}(\mathbb{Q}_{q}, E)[\varphi] \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

where the symbol  $\Pi$  means the direct product over  $P_{\infty} = \{primes\} \cup \{\infty\}$  and  $q \in P_{\infty}$ . Then, the  $\varphi$ -Selmer group  $S^{(\varphi)}(E/\mathbb{Q})$  and the Shafarevich-Tate group  $\coprod(E/\mathbb{Q})$  are defined by

$$S^{(\varphi)}(E/\mathbb{Q}) = \ker\{H^1(\mathbb{Q}, E[\varphi]) \longrightarrow \Pi H^1(\mathbb{Q}_q, E)[\varphi]\}$$

and

$$\amalg \amalg (E/\mathbb{Q}) = \ker \{ H^1(\mathbb{Q}, E) \longrightarrow \Pi H^1(\mathbb{Q}_q, E) \}$$

respectively. We note that there is another method of calculating the Selmer group. From the above commutative diagram and the definition of the Selmer group, we have the equivalent definition

$$S^{(\varphi)}(E/\mathbb{Q}) = \{ x \in H^{1}(\mathbb{Q}, E[\varphi]) \mid \operatorname{res}_{q}(x) \in \operatorname{Im}(\delta_{q}), \forall q \in P_{\infty} \}$$
$$= \bigcap_{q \in P_{\infty}} \operatorname{Im}(\delta_{q})$$
(1)

where for each  $q \in P_{\infty}$ ,  $\text{Im}(\delta_q)$  is regarded as the subgroup of the group  $H^1(\mathbb{Q}, E[\varphi])$  and  $\text{res}_q(x)$  is the residue of x at q.

In the following using some nice results of [4], we are able to calculate the Selmer group of *E*.

**Theorem 2.** Assume that  $q \in P_{\infty}$  and let  $(, )_q$  be the Hilbert symbol. For a subgroup  $V \subset \mathbb{Q}_a^{\times}/\mathbb{Q}_a^{\times^2}$  we define

$$V^{\perp} = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{Q}_q^{\times} / \mathbb{Q}_q^{\times^2} \mid (x, y)_q = 1, \ \forall y \in V \right\}.$$

Then we have

- (1)  $\operatorname{Im}(\delta_q) = \operatorname{Im}(\delta_2) = \operatorname{Im}(\delta'_2)^{\perp} = (-4q)$
- (2)  $\operatorname{Im}(\delta'_{q}) = (q).$

Proof. It follows [4, Theorem 2.1, Propositions 4.1, 4.2].

**Corollary 1.** Let  $\tilde{E}$  be the simultaneous curve of E. Then, we have  $S^{(\varphi)}(E/\mathbb{Q}) = (-4p)$  and  $S^{(\tilde{\varphi})}(\tilde{E}/\mathbb{Q}) = (16p)$ .

N. Zamani, A. Shams / Eur. J. Pure Appl. Math, 8 (2015), 126-134

Proof. It follows from (1) and the previous theorem that

$$S^{(\varphi)}(E/\mathbb{Q}) = \operatorname{Im}(\delta_{\infty}) \cap \operatorname{Im}(\delta_{2}) \cap \operatorname{Im}(\delta_{p})$$
$$= (\mathbb{R}^{\times}/\mathbb{R}^{\times^{2}}) \cap (-4p) \cap (-4p)$$
$$= (-4p)$$

and

$$S^{(\varphi')}(\tilde{E}/\mathbb{Q}) = S^{(\varphi')}(\tilde{E}/\mathbb{Q})$$
  
=Im $(\delta'_{\infty}) \cap \text{Im}(\delta'_{2}) \cap \text{Im}(\delta'_{p})$   
={1} \cap (4p) \cap (16p)  
=(16p).

## 4. Computation of the Rank of E

In this section we assume that  $p = u^4 + v^4$  is a prime number with  $u, v \in \mathbb{N}$ . We note that

$$(2(u^4 + v^4)(u + v)^2, 4(u^2 + uv + v^2)(u^4 + v^4)/(u + v)^3)$$

is a point of *E*. Let  $\tilde{E}$  be the simultaneous curve of *E* and  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  be its corresponding group. We consider  $\alpha$  and  $\tilde{\alpha}$  be the group homomorphism

$$\alpha : \Gamma \longrightarrow \mathbf{Q}^{\times} / \mathbf{Q}^{\times 2} \tilde{\alpha} : \tilde{\Gamma} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Q}^{\times} / \mathbf{Q}^{\times 2}$$
$$\alpha(P) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } P = \mathcal{O} \\ \beta(p) & \text{for } P = 0 & \tilde{\alpha}(P) = \\ \beta(x) & \text{for } x \neq 0 \end{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \text{for } P = \mathcal{O} \\ \beta(-p) & \text{for } P = 0 \\ \beta(x) & \text{for } x \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

where P = (x, y) and  $\beta$  is a natural group homomorphism  $\mathbf{Q}^{\times} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Q}^{\times}/\mathbf{Q}^{\times 2}$ . To compute the rank of *E* we use the well-known formula (see for example [2, 6])

$$2^{r} = \frac{\#\alpha(\Gamma) \cdot \#\tilde{\alpha}(\tilde{\Gamma})}{4}, \qquad r = \operatorname{rank}(E).$$
(2)

Here,  $\alpha(\Gamma)$  and  $\tilde{\alpha}(\tilde{\Gamma})$  are given as

 $1, \beta(p) \in \alpha(\Gamma) = \left\{ \beta(d) : C_d \text{ has at } least \text{ an integral solution for } d|4p \right\}, \\ 1, \beta(-p) \in \tilde{\alpha}(\tilde{\Gamma}) = \left\{ \beta(\tilde{d}) : C_{\tilde{d}} \text{ has at } least \text{ an integral solution for } \tilde{d}|-16p \right\}$ 

where  $C_d$  and  $C_{\tilde{d}}$  are Super-Fermat equations [3]:

$$C_d: dt^4 + \frac{4p}{d}z^4 = w^2, t \ge 1, z \ge 1, \gcd(t, 4p/d) = 1$$

N. Zamani, A. Shams / Eur. J. Pure Appl. Math, 8 (2015), 126-134

$$C_{\tilde{d}}: \tilde{d}t^4 - \frac{16p}{\tilde{d}}z^4 = w^2, t \ge 1, z \ge 1, \text{gcd}(t, 16p/\tilde{d}) = 1,$$

with integer solutions (t, z, w). Hence,

$$\begin{split} &d = \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 4, \pm p, \pm 2p, \pm 4p \\ &\widetilde{d} = \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 4, \pm 8, \pm 16, \pm p, \pm 2p, \pm 4p, \pm 8p, \pm 16p, \end{split}$$

and so,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(\Gamma) &\subseteq \left\{ \beta(-1), \beta(\pm 2), \beta(\pm p), \beta(\pm 2p), \beta(-4p) \right\}, \\ \tilde{\alpha}(\tilde{\Gamma}) &\subseteq \left\{ \beta(-1), \beta(\pm 2), \beta(\pm 4), \beta(\pm 8), \beta(\pm 16), \beta(\pm p), \beta(\pm 2p), \beta(\pm 4p), \beta(16p) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

together with  $1, \beta(p) \in \alpha(\Gamma)$  and  $1, \beta(-16p) \in \tilde{\alpha}(\tilde{\Gamma})$ . Now, we define

$$S_d = \{(t, z, w) | C_d \text{ has integer solutions for } d \neq 1, 4p \},\$$
  
$$S_{\tilde{d}} = \{(t, z, w) | C_{\tilde{d}} \text{ has integer solutions for } d \neq 1, -16p \}.$$

According to [2]

$$\exists s, \exists \tilde{s} \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } \sum_{d|4p} \#S_d = 2^s - 2, \quad \sum_{\tilde{d}|16p} \#S_{\tilde{d}} = 2^{\tilde{s}} - 2,$$

where *d* and  $\tilde{d}$  are square free,  $\#S_d = 0$  if  $S_d = \emptyset$  and  $\#S_d = 1$  if  $S_d \neq \emptyset$ . Similarly for  $S_{\tilde{d}}$ . By (2) we conclude that  $r = s + \tilde{s} - 2$ . By the closed property of  $\alpha(\Gamma)$  and having a note to the Table 2, we conclude that

$$\alpha(\Gamma) = \{1, \beta(2), \beta(p), \beta(2p)\}.$$

Also, using Tables 3 and 4, we have

$$\tilde{\alpha}(\tilde{\Gamma}) = \{1, \beta(-1), \beta(p), \beta(-p)\}.$$

Now, using these two equalities together with (2) gives that r = 2.

Table 2: Elements of  $S_d$ 

d	$C_d$	integer solutions
		$(u \pm v, 1, 2u^2 \pm 2uv + 2v^2)$
2p	$2pt^4 + 2z^4 = w^2$	$(1, u \pm v, 2u^2 \pm 2uv + 2v^2)$

Table 3: Elements of  $S_{\tilde{d}}$  for  $\tilde{d} > 0$ 

d	$C_{ ilde{d}}$	integer solutions
2	$2t^4 - 8pz^4 = w^2$	_
2p	$2pt^4 - 8z^4 = w^2$	_

d	$C_{ ilde{d}}$	integer solutions
-1	$-t^4 + 16pz^4 = w^2$	-
-2	$-2t^4 + 8pz^4 = w^2$	-
<b>—</b> 2p	$-2pt^4 + 8z^4 = w^2$	-

Table 4: Elements of  $S_{\tilde{d}}$  for  $\tilde{d} < 0$ 

In Tables 3 and 4, the symbol '-' shows that the corresponding equation dose not have any integer solution (t, z, w). One can check this straightforward. For example, concerning  $C_{\tilde{2}}$  in the Table 3, if there is any solution, then we conclude that  $2t^4 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ , a contradiction with gcd(t, -8p) = 1. Also, concerning  $C_{\tilde{2}p}$  in the Table 3, if there is any solution (t, z, w), then we conclude that 2|t which contradicts gcd(t, -8) = 1. Similar arguments can be done for other cases. The following theorem, thus, has been proved.

**Theorem 3.** For the elliptic curve  $E : y^2 = x^3 + 4px$  ( $p = u^4 + v^4$ ), the Mordell-Weil theorem holds as following:

$$\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^2.$$

As other observations about the rank of  $E: y^2 = x^3 + 4px$ , we also examined rank(*E*) in the cases p = 3, 5. The resulting illustrations done with MWRANK<sup>†</sup> have been collected in Tables 5-7.

$C_d, C_{\tilde{d}}$	Legendre value	integer solutions
$w^2 = 2t^4 + 6z^4$	$\left(\frac{2}{6}\right) = -1$	Not
$w^2 = 3t^4 + 4z^4$	$\left(\frac{3}{4}\right) = -1$	Not
$w^2 = 6t^4 + 2z^4$	$\left(\frac{6}{2}\right) = -1$	Not
$w^2 = 2t^4 - 24z^4$	$\left(\frac{-2}{24}\right) = -1$	Not
$w^2 = 3t^4 - 16z^4$	$\left(\frac{-3}{16}\right) = -1$	Not
$w^2 = 6t^4 - 8z^4$	$\left(\frac{-6}{8}\right) = -1$	Not
$w^2 = -t^4 + 48z^4$	$\left(\frac{-1}{48}\right) = -1$	Not
$w^2 = -2t^4 + 24z^4$	$\left(\frac{-2}{24}\right) = -1$	Not
$w^2 = -3t^4 + 16z^4$	$\left(\frac{-3}{16}\right) = -1$	Not
$w^2 = -4t^4 + 12z^4$	$\left(\frac{-4}{12}\right) = -1$	Not
$w^2 = -6t^4 + 8z^4$	$\left(\frac{-6}{8}\right) = -1$	Not

Table 5: p = 3

<sup>†</sup>http://homepages.warwick.ac.uk/~masgaj/mwrank/

Table 6: Rank			
р	rank of E	#ШЦ(E/ <b>Q</b> )[2]	
2	1	1	
3	0	1	
5	1	1	
$17 \leq p \leq 10^6$	2	1	

Table 7: p = 5

$C_d, C_{\tilde{d}}$	Legendre value	integer solutions
$w^2 = 2t^4 + 10z^4$	$\left(\frac{2}{10}\right) = -1$	Not
$w^2 = 4t^4 + 5z^4$	$\left(\frac{4}{5}\right) = 1$	(1,1,3)
$w^2 = 5t^4 + 4z^4$	$\left(\frac{5}{4}\right) = 1$	(1,1,3)
$w^2 = 10t^4 + 2z^4$	$\left(\frac{2}{10}\right) = -1$	Not
$w^2 = 2t^4 - 40z^4$	$\left(\frac{-2}{40}\right) = -1$	Not
$w^2 = 5t^4 - 16z^4$	$\left(\frac{-5}{16}\right) = -1$	Not
$w^2 = 10t^4 - 8z^4$	$\left(\frac{-8}{10}\right) = -1$	Not
$w^2 = -t^4 + 80z^4$	$\left(\frac{-1}{80}\right) = -1$	Not
$w^2 = -2t^4 + 40z^4$	$\left(\frac{-4}{20}\right) = -1$	Not
$w^2 = -4t^4 + 20z^4$	$\left(\frac{-4}{20}\right) = -1$	Not
$w^2 = -5t^4 + 16z^4$	$\left(\frac{-5}{16}\right) = -1$	Not
$w^2 = -10t^4 + 8z^4$	$\left  \left( \frac{10}{-8} \right) = -1 \right $	Not

#### References

- [1] A. Brumer and O Mc. Guinness. *The behaviour of the Mordell-Weil group of elliptic curves*, Bulletin of American Mathematical Society, 23, 375-382, 1990.
- [2] J. S. Chahal. Topics in number theory, Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publisher, 1988.
- [3] H. Cohen. Number theory: Tools and Diophantine equations, Springer, Vol. I, 2007.
- [4] T. Goto. *A study on the Selmer groups of elliptic curves with a rational 2-torsion*, Kyushu University, PhD thesis 2002.
- [5] T. Kudo and K. Motose. *On group structures of some special elliptic curves*, Mathematical Journal of Okayama University, 47, 81-84, 2005.
- [6] J. H. Silverman and J. Tate. Rational points on elliptic curves, Springer, 1992.
- [7] J. H. Silverman, The arithmetic of elliptic curves, Springer, 2009.
- [8] B. K. Spearman. *Elliptic curves*  $y^2 = x^3 px$  of rank two, Mathematical Journal of Okayama University, 49, 183-184, 2007.
- [9] D. Zagier and G. Kramarz. *Numerical investigations related to the L-series of certain elliptic curves*, Journal of Indian Mathematical Society, 52, 51-69, 1987.