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Abstract. In this paper we study the group structure of the elliptic curves $E: y^{2}=x^{3}+4 p x$, where $p$ is 3,5 or a prime of the form $u^{4}+v^{4}$ for positive integers $u, v$.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $E$ denote an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$ and $\Gamma=E(\mathbb{Q})$ be the set of all rational points on $E$. A seminal Theorem of Mordell-Weil asserts that $\Gamma$ is a finitely generated Abelian group in a natural way with zero element $\mathscr{O}$. We put $\Gamma=\mathscr{T} \oplus \mathscr{F}$ where $\mathscr{T}$ and $\mathscr{F}$ are the torsion and maximal free subgroups of $\Gamma$ respectively. By the rank of $E$, $\operatorname{rank}(E)$, we mean the rank of $\mathscr{F}$. Hence the rank of $E$ is positive if and only if $E$ possesses an infinity of rational points. Computational works show that a typical elliptic curve has more small rank [1, 9].

Let $p$ be a prime number and consider the curve $E=E_{4 p}: y^{2}=x^{3}+4 p x$. We study the group $\Gamma$ and show that $\mathscr{T}=\mathbb{Z}_{2}$. By combining some facts of [4], a result on the Selmer group of $\Gamma$ and that of its isogenous $\tilde{\Gamma}$ will be given. Next, when $p=3,5, u^{4}+v^{4}$ for positive integers $u, v$, some results on the rank of $E$ are presented. Although, it can be find some similar results concerning the 2 -isogenous of $E$ in the literatures ( $[5,8]$ ), which imply some of our results, our method of study completely differs from those.

## 2. Preliminaries

We begin with the following proposition which shows some properties of $\Gamma$.
Proposition 1. Let $Q=\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right), P=(x, y)$ be two points of $E$ such that $x^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $Q=2 P$. Then $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ is even.
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Proof. Let $x=a / b, \operatorname{gcd}(a, b)=1$ and $x \notin \mathbb{Z}$. According to the group law of $\Gamma$, one can see that

$$
x^{\prime}=\frac{\left(a^{2}-4 p b^{2}\right)^{2}}{4 a b\left(a^{2}+4 p b^{2}\right)}
$$

Hence, $\left(a^{2}-4 p b^{2}\right)^{2}-4 a b\left(a^{2}+4 p b^{2}\right) x^{\prime}=0$ which gives that $a^{4} \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$ and so $a$ is even. Also, we have $b \mid\left(a^{2}-4 p b^{2}\right)^{2}$. Thus $b$ is either even or $b= \pm 1$. The first case contradicts $\operatorname{gcd}(a, b)=1$. Thus we must have $b= \pm 1$ and $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ is even.

Lemma 1. For any prime $p$, the point $\mathbf{0}=(0,0)$ is the only element of order 2 in $\Gamma$.
Proof. Suppose the contrary, $\mathbf{0} \neq P=(x, y) \in \Gamma$ is of order 2. Thus $2 P=\mathscr{O}$ and hence $(x, y)=(x,-y)$. Then $x \neq 0, y=0$ and $x^{3}+4 p x=0$. Setting $x=a / b$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(a, b)=1$, we get $a^{3}+4 p a b^{2}=0$. Hence $b^{2} \mid a^{3}$. Since $a, b$ are coprime, so $b= \pm 1$, i.e. $x \in \mathbb{Z}$. But, we have $p=x^{3} /(-4 x)=x^{2} /(-4)<0$, a contradiction.

Proposition 2. For any prime $p$, there is no point of order 3 in $\Gamma$.
Proof. On the contrary, we suppose $P=(x, y) \in \Gamma$ is of order 3, i.e. $2 P=-P$. Let $P=(x, y), 2 P=\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)$. Hence $\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)=-(x, y)=(x,-y)$, so $x^{\prime}=x$. On the other hand, from duplication formula, we have

$$
x=x^{\prime}=\frac{\left(x^{2}-4 p\right)^{2}}{4\left(x^{3}+4 p x\right)}
$$

Thus, $16 p^{2}-24 x^{2} p-3 x^{4}=0$ is a quadratic polynomial in variable $p$. Therefore,

$$
p=\frac{12 x^{2} \pm \sqrt{\Delta^{\prime}}}{16} \quad \text { with } \quad \Delta^{\prime}=192 x^{4}
$$

Since $\Delta^{\prime}$ is not square, then $p \notin \mathbb{N}$, a contradiction.
The following is one of our main results.
Theorem 1. For any prime $p, \mathscr{T} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}$.
Proof. By Lemma 1, $\{\mathscr{O}, 0\} \subseteq \mathscr{T}$. Let $P:=(x, y) \in \mathscr{T} \backslash\{\mathscr{O}, 0\}$. By Lutz-Nagell theorem, $x$ and $y$ are integers such that $y^{2}$ divides the discriminant $\Delta=2^{8} p^{3}$ of the curve $E$. Thus

$$
y^{2}=1,2^{2}, 2^{4}, 2^{6}, 2^{8}, p^{2}, 2^{2} p^{2}, 2^{4} p^{2}, 2^{6} p^{2}, 2^{8} p^{2}
$$

We list the computations done with $2 P=\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)$ where

$$
x_{2}=\frac{\left(3 x^{2}+4 p\right)^{2}}{4 y^{2}}-2 x=\frac{\left(x^{2}-4 p\right)^{2}}{4\left(x^{3}+4 p x\right)}
$$

in the following table:

Table 1: Computations with $2 P=\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)$

| $y^{2}$ | $x$ | $\left(x, y^{2} ; p\right)$ | $x_{2}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $\pm 1$ | - | - |
| 4 | $\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 4$ | - | - |
| 16 | $\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 4, \pm 8, \pm 16$ | - | - |
| 64 | $\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 4, \pm 8, \pm 16, \pm 32, \pm 64$ | $(2,64 ; 7)$ | $\frac{9}{4}$ |
| 256 | $\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 4, \pm 8, \pm 16, \pm 32, \pm 64$, |  |  |
|  | $\pm 128, \pm 256$ | $(2,256 ; 31)$ | $\frac{225}{16}$ |
| $p^{2}$ | $\pm 1, \pm p, \pm p^{2}$ | - | - |
| $4 p^{2}$ | $\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 4, \pm p, \pm 2 p, \pm 4 p, \pm p^{2}$, |  | - |
|  | $\pm 2 p^{2}, \pm 4 p^{2}$ | - | - |
| $16 p^{2}$ | $\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 4, \pm 8, \pm 16, \pm p, \pm 2 p$, |  |  |
|  | $\pm 4 p, \pm 8 p, \pm 16 p$ | - |  |
| $64 p^{2}$ | $\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 4, \pm 8, \pm 16, \pm 32, \pm 64$, |  | $\frac{9}{4}$ |
|  | $\pm p, \pm 2 p, \pm 4 p, \pm 8 p, \pm 16 p, \pm 32 p$ |  |  |
|  | $\pm 64 p, \pm p^{2}, \pm 2 p^{2}, \pm 4 p^{2}, \pm 8 p^{2}$, |  |  |
| $\pm 16 p^{2}, \pm 32 p^{2}, \pm 64 p^{2}, \pm 64 p^{2}$ | $(14,3136 ; 7)$ |  |  |
| $256 p^{2}$ | $\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 4, \pm 8, \pm 16, \pm 32, \pm 64$, |  |  |
|  | $\pm 128, \pm 256, \pm p, \pm 2 p, \pm 4 p, \pm 8 p$, |  |  |
|  | $\pm 16 p, \pm 32 p, \pm 64 p, \pm 128 p, \pm 256$ |  |  |
|  | $\pm p^{2}, \pm 2 p^{2}, \pm 4 p^{2}, \pm 8 p^{2}, \pm 16 p^{2}$ |  |  |
| $\pm 32 p^{2}, \pm 64 p^{2}, \pm 128 p^{2}, \pm 256 p^{2}$ | $(62,246016 ; 31)$ | $\frac{225}{16}$ |  |

The symbol ' - ' in Table 1 means that the equation $y^{2}=x^{3}+4 p x$ has no integer solution ( $x, y ; p$ ) and hence no solution for $x_{2}$. We see that $x_{2}$ is never zero and so $2 P$ can not be of finite order. This contradicts the fact that $2 P \in \mathscr{T}$.

## 3. A Result on Selmer Group of $E$

In this section, we want to evaluate the Selmer group of $E$. For ease in access, we recall some basic facts on the Selmer groups of the elliptic curves [4, 7]. Let $E, E^{\prime}$ be elliptic curves defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ and assume that there exists an isogeny $\varphi: E \longrightarrow E^{\prime}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ with $\varphi^{\prime}: E^{\prime} \rightarrow E$ its dual. Let $\mathbb{K}$ be a field containing $\mathbb{Q}$ with $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ its integral closure in $\mathbb{K}$. Then there is an exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow E[\varphi] \longrightarrow E \xrightarrow{\varphi} E^{\prime} \longrightarrow 0,
$$

of $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}} / \mathbb{Q})$-modules where $E[\varphi]=\operatorname{ker}(\varphi)$. Taking Galois cohomology, we obtain the exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow E^{\prime}(\mathbb{K}) / \varphi(E(\mathbb{K})) \xrightarrow{\delta_{\mathbb{K}}} H^{1}(\mathbb{K}, E[\varphi]) \xrightarrow{\varphi^{*}} H^{1}(\mathbb{K}, E)[\varphi] \longrightarrow 0,
$$

where $H^{1}(\mathbb{K}, E)[\varphi]$ is the kernel of $\varphi^{*}$ and $\delta_{\mathbb{K}}$ is the connecting homomorphism. Consider the following commutative diagram ( $\delta_{q}:=\delta_{\mathbb{Q}_{q}}$ ):

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
0 \longrightarrow \quad E^{\prime}(\mathbb{Q}) / \varphi(E(\mathbb{Q})) & \xrightarrow{\delta_{\mathbb{Q}}} H^{1}(\mathbb{Q}, E[\varphi]) & \longrightarrow H^{1}(\mathbb{Q}, E)[\varphi] \\
\downarrow & \downarrow \\
0 \longrightarrow & \downarrow E^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{q}\right) / \varphi\left(E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{q}\right)\right) \xrightarrow{\Pi \delta_{q}} \Pi H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{q}, E[\varphi]\right) \longrightarrow \Pi H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{q}, E\right)[\varphi] \longrightarrow 0
\end{array}
$$

where the symbol $\Pi$ means the direct product over $P_{\infty}=\{$ primes $\} \cup\{\infty\}$ and $q \in P_{\infty}$. Then, the $\varphi$-Selmer group $S^{(\varphi)}(E / \mathbb{Q})$ and the Shafarevich-Tate group $\amalg \amalg(E / \mathbb{Q})$ are defined by

$$
S^{(\varphi)}(E / \mathbb{Q})=\operatorname{ker}\left\{H^{1}(\mathbb{Q}, E[\varphi]) \longrightarrow \Pi H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{q}, E\right)[\varphi]\right\}
$$

and

$$
\amalg \amalg(E / \mathbb{Q})=\operatorname{ker}\left\{H^{1}(\mathbb{Q}, E) \longrightarrow \Pi H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{q}, E\right)\right\}
$$

respectively. We note that there is another method of calculating the Selmer group. From the above commutative diagram and the definition of the Selmer group, we have the equivalent definition

$$
\begin{align*}
S^{(\varphi)}(E / \mathbb{Q}) & =\left\{x \in H^{1}(\mathbb{Q}, E[\varphi]) \mid \operatorname{res}_{q}(x) \in \operatorname{Im}\left(\delta_{q}\right), \forall q \in P_{\infty}\right\} \\
& =\bigcap_{q \in P_{\infty}} \operatorname{Im}\left(\delta_{\mathrm{q}}\right) \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

where for each $q \in P_{\infty}, \operatorname{Im}\left(\delta_{q}\right)$ is regarded as the subgroup of the group $H^{1}(\mathbb{Q}, E[\varphi])$ and $\operatorname{res}_{q}(x)$ is the residue of $x$ at $q$.

In the following using some nice results of [4], we are able to calculate the Selmer group of $E$.

Theorem 2. Assume that $q \in P_{\infty}$ and let (, $)_{q}$ be the Hilbert symbol. For a subgroup $V \subset \mathbb{Q}_{q}^{\times} / \mathbb{Q}_{q}^{\times^{2}}$ we define

$$
V^{\perp}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{Q}_{q}^{\times} / \mathbb{Q}_{q}^{x^{2}} \mid(x, y)_{q}=1, \forall y \in V\right\} .
$$

Then we have
(1) $\operatorname{Im}\left(\delta_{q}\right)=\operatorname{Im}\left(\delta_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Im}\left(\delta_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{\perp}=(-4 q)$
(2) $\operatorname{Im}\left(\delta_{q}^{\prime}\right)=(q)$.

Proof. It follows [4, Theorem 2.1, Propositions 4.1, 4.2].
Corollary 1. Let $\tilde{E}$ be the simultaneous curve of $E$. Then, we have $S^{(\varphi)}(E / \mathbb{Q})=(-4 p)$ and $S^{(\tilde{\varphi})}(\tilde{E} / \mathbb{Q})=(16 p)$.

Proof. It follows from (1) and the previous theorem that

$$
\begin{aligned}
S^{(\varphi)}(E / \mathbb{Q}) & =\operatorname{Im}\left(\delta_{\infty}\right) \cap \operatorname{Im}\left(\delta_{2}\right) \cap \operatorname{Im}\left(\delta_{p}\right) \\
& =\left(\mathbb{R}^{\times} / \mathbb{R}^{\times^{2}}\right) \cap(-4 p) \cap(-4 p) \\
& =(-4 p)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
S^{\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)}(\tilde{E} / \mathbb{Q}) & =S^{\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)}(\tilde{E} / \mathbb{Q}) \\
& =\operatorname{Im}\left(\delta_{\infty}^{\prime}\right) \cap \operatorname{Im}\left(\delta_{2}^{\prime}\right) \cap \operatorname{Im}\left(\delta_{p}^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\{1\} \cap(4 p) \cap(16 p) \\
& =(16 p) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 4. Computation of the Rank of $E$

In this section we assume that $p=u^{4}+v^{4}$ is a prime number with $u, v \in \mathbb{N}$. We note that

$$
\left(2\left(u^{4}+v^{4}\right)(u+v)^{2}, 4\left(u^{2}+u v+v^{2}\right)\left(u^{4}+v^{4}\right) /(u+v)^{3}\right)
$$

is a point of $E$. Let $\tilde{E}$ be the simultaneous curve of $E$ and $\tilde{\Gamma}$ be its corresponding group. We consider $\alpha$ and $\tilde{\alpha}$ be the group homomorphism

$$
\begin{gathered}
\alpha: \Gamma \longrightarrow \mathbf{Q}^{\times} / \mathbf{Q}^{\times 2} \tilde{\alpha}: \tilde{\Gamma} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Q}^{\times} / \mathbf{Q}^{\times 2} \\
\alpha(P)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \text { for } P=\mathscr{O} \\
\beta(p) & \text { for } P=0 \\
\beta(x) & \text { for } x \neq 0
\end{array} \quad \tilde{\alpha}(P)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { for } P=\mathscr{O} \\
\beta(-p) & \text { for } P=0 \\
\beta(x) & \text { for } x \neq 0\end{cases} \right.
\end{gathered}
$$

where $P=(x, y)$ and $\beta$ is a natural group homomorphism $\mathbf{Q}^{\times} \longmapsto \mathbf{Q}^{\times} / \mathbf{Q}^{\times 2}$. To compute the rank of $E$ we use the well-known formula (see for example $[2,6]$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{r}=\frac{\# \alpha(\Gamma) \cdot \# \tilde{\alpha}(\tilde{\Gamma})}{4}, \quad r=\operatorname{rank}(E) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $\alpha(\Gamma)$ and $\tilde{\alpha}(\tilde{\Gamma})$ are given as

$$
\begin{aligned}
1, \beta(p) \in \alpha(\Gamma) & =\left\{\beta(d): C_{d} \text { has at least an integral solution for } d \mid 4 p\right\} \\
1, \beta(-p) \in \tilde{\alpha}(\tilde{\Gamma}) & =\left\{\beta(\tilde{d}): C_{\tilde{d}} \text { has at least an integral solution for } \tilde{d} \mid-16 p\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C_{d}$ and $C_{\tilde{d}}$ are Super-Fermat equations [3]:

$$
C_{d}: d t^{4}+\frac{4 p}{d} z^{4}=w^{2}, t \geq 1, z \geq 1, \operatorname{gcd}(t, 4 p / d)=1
$$

$$
C_{\tilde{d}}: \widetilde{d} t^{4}-\frac{16 p}{\tilde{d}} z^{4}=w^{2}, t \geq 1, z \geq 1, \operatorname{gcd}(t, 16 p / \tilde{d})=1,
$$

with integer solutions $(t, z, w)$. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d= \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 4, \pm p, \pm 2 p, \pm 4 p \\
& \tilde{d}= \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 4, \pm 8, \pm 16, \pm p, \pm 2 p, \pm 4 p, \pm 8 p, \pm 16 p,
\end{aligned}
$$

and so,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha(\Gamma) \subseteq\{\beta(-1), \beta( \pm 2), \beta( \pm p), \beta( \pm 2 p), \beta(-4 p)\} \\
& \tilde{\alpha}(\tilde{\Gamma}) \subseteq\{\beta(-1), \beta( \pm 2), \beta( \pm 4), \beta( \pm 8), \beta( \pm 16), \beta( \pm p), \beta( \pm 2 p), \beta( \pm 4 p), \beta(16 p)\}
\end{aligned}
$$

together with $1, \beta(p) \in \alpha(\Gamma)$ and $1, \beta(-16 p) \in \tilde{\alpha}(\tilde{\Gamma})$. Now, we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{d}=\left\{(t, z, w) \mid C_{d} \text { has integer solutions for } d \neq 1,4 p\right\}, \\
& S_{\widetilde{d}}=\left\{(t, z, w) \mid C_{\widetilde{d}} \text { has integer solutions for } d \neq 1,-16 p\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

According to [2]

$$
\exists s, \exists \tilde{s} \in \mathbb{N} \text { such that } \sum_{d \mid 4 p} \# S_{d}=2^{s}-2, \quad \sum_{\tilde{d} \mid 16 p} \# S_{\tilde{d}}=2^{\tilde{s}}-2,
$$

where $d$ and $\tilde{d}$ are square free, $\# S_{d}=0$ if $S_{d}=\emptyset$ and $\# S_{d}=1$ if $S_{d} \neq \emptyset$. Similarly for $S_{\tilde{d}}$. By (2) we conclude that $r=s+\tilde{s}-2$. By the closed property of $\alpha(\Gamma)$ and having a note to the Table 2, we conclude that

$$
\alpha(\Gamma)=\{1, \beta(2), \beta(p), \beta(2 p)\} .
$$

Also, using Tables 3 and 4, we have

$$
\tilde{\alpha}(\tilde{\Gamma})=\{1, \beta(-1), \beta(p), \beta(-p)\} .
$$

Now, using these two equalities together with (2) gives that $r=2$.
Table 2: Elements of $S_{d}$

$$
\begin{array}{|c|l|c|}
\hline d & C_{d} & \text { integer solutions } \\
\hline 2 & 2 t^{4}+2 p z^{4}=w^{2} & \left(u \pm v, 1,2 u^{2} \pm 2 u v+2 v^{2}\right) \\
2 p & 2 p t^{4}+2 z^{4}=w^{2} & \left(1, u \pm v, 2 u^{2} \pm 2 u v+2 v^{2}\right) \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

Table 3: Elements of $S_{\tilde{d}}$ for $\tilde{d}>0$

| $d$ | $C_{\tilde{d}}$ | integer solutions |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 2 | $2 t^{4}-8 p z^{4}=w^{2}$ | - |
| $2 p$ | $2 p t^{4}-8 z^{4}=w^{2}$ | - |

Table 4: Elements of $S_{\tilde{d}}$ for $\tilde{d}<0$

| $d$ | $C_{\tilde{d}}$ | integer solutions |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| -1 | $-t^{4}+16 p z^{4}=w^{2}$ | - |
| -2 | $-2 t^{4}+8 p z^{4}=w^{2}$ | - |
| $-2 p$ | $-2 p t^{4}+8 z^{4}=w^{2}$ | - |

In Tables 3 and 4, the symbol '-' shows that the corresponding equation dose not have any integer solution $(t, z, w)$. One can check this straightforward. For example, concerning $C_{\tilde{2}}$ in the Table 3 , if there is any solution, then we conclude that $2 t^{4} \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$, a contradiction with $\operatorname{gcd}(t,-8 p)=1$. Also, concerning $C_{2 p}$ in the Table 3 , if there is any solution $(t, z, w)$, then we conclude that $2 \mid t$ which contradicts $\operatorname{gcd}(t,-8)=1$. Similar arguments can be done for other cases. The following theorem, thus, has been proved.

Theorem 3. For the elliptic curve $E: y^{2}=x^{3}+4 p x\left(p=u^{4}+v^{4}\right)$, the Mordell-Weil theorem holds as following:

$$
\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{2}
$$

As other observations about the rank of $E: y^{2}=x^{3}+4 p x$, we also examined $\operatorname{rank}(E)$ in the cases $p=3,5$. The resulting illustrations done with MWRANK ${ }^{\dagger}$ have been collected in Tables 5-7.

Table 5: $p=3$

| $C_{d}, C_{\tilde{d}}$ | Legendre value | integer solutions |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $w^{2}=2 t^{4}+6 z^{4}$ | $\left(\frac{2}{6}\right)=-1$ | Not |
| $w^{2}=3 t^{4}+4 z^{4}$ | $\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)=-1$ | Not |
| $w^{2}=6 t^{4}+2 z^{4}$ | $\left(\frac{6}{2}\right)=-1$ | Not |
| $w^{2}=2 t^{4}-24 z^{4}$ | $\left(\frac{-2}{24}\right)=-1$ | Not |
| $w^{2}=3 t^{4}-16 z^{4}$ | $\left(\frac{-3}{16}\right)=-1$ | Not |
| $w^{2}=6 t^{4}-8 z^{4}$ | $\left(\frac{-6}{8}\right)=-1$ | Not |
| $w^{2}=-t^{4}+48 z^{4}$ | $\left(\frac{-1}{48}\right)=-1$ | Not |
| $w^{2}=-2 t^{4}+24 z^{4}$ | $\left(\frac{-2}{24}\right)=-1$ | Not |
| $w^{2}=-3 t^{4}+16 z^{4}$ | $\left(\frac{-3}{16}\right)=-1$ | Not |
| $w^{2}=-4 t^{4}+12 z^{4}$ | $\left(\frac{-4}{12}\right)=-1$ | Not |
| $w^{2}=-6 t^{4}+8 z^{4}$ | $\left(\frac{-6}{8}\right)=-1$ | Not |

[^0]Table 6: Rank

| $p$ | rank of $E$ | \#ШШ(E/Q)[2] |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 3 | 0 | 1 |
| 5 | 1 | 1 |
| $17 \leq p \leq 10^{6}$ | 2 | 1 |

Table 7: $p=5$

| $C_{d}, C_{\tilde{d}}$ | Legendre value | integer solutions |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $w^{2}=2 t^{4}+10 z^{4}$ | $\left(\frac{2}{10}\right)=-1$ | Not |
| $w^{2}=4 t^{4}+5 z^{4}$ | $\left(\frac{4}{5}\right)=1$ | $(1,1,3)$ |
| $w^{2}=5 t^{4}+4 z^{4}$ | $\left(\frac{5}{4}\right)=1$ | $(1,1,3)$ |
| $w^{2}=10 t^{4}+2 z^{4}$ | $\left(\frac{2}{10}\right)=-1$ | Not |
| $w^{2}=2 t^{4}-40 z^{4}$ | $\left(\frac{-2}{40}\right)=-1$ | Not |
| $w^{2}=5 t^{4}-16 z^{4}$ | $\left(\frac{-5}{16}\right)=-1$ | Not |
| $w^{2}=10 t^{4}-8 z^{4}$ | $\left(\frac{-8}{10}\right)=-1$ | Not |
| $w^{2}=-t^{4}+80 z^{4}$ | $\left(\frac{-1}{80}\right)=-1$ | Not |
| $w^{2}=-2 t^{4}+40 z^{4}$ | $\left(\frac{-4}{20}\right)=-1$ | Not |
| $w^{2}=-4 t^{4}+20 z^{4}$ | $\left(\frac{-4}{20}\right)=-1$ | Not |
| $w^{2}=-5 t^{4}+16 z^{4}$ | $\left(\frac{-5}{16}\right)=-1$ | Not |
| $w^{2}=-10 t^{4}+8 z^{4}$ | $\left(\frac{10}{-8}\right)=-1$ | Not |
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