### EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF PURE AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS

Vol. 12, No. 2, 2019, 571-576 ISSN 1307-5543 – www.ejpam.com Published by New York Business Global



# Finite Groups With Certain Permutability Criteria

Rola A. Hijazi<sup>1</sup>, Fatme M. Charaf<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

**Abstract.** Let G be a finite group. A subgroup H of G is said to be S-permutable in G if it permutes with all Sylow subgroups of G. In this note we prove that if P, the Sylow p-subgroup of G (p > 2), has a subgroup D such that 1 < |D| < |P| and all subgroups H of P with |H| = |D| are S-permutable in G, then G' is p-nilpotent.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classifications: 20D10, 20D20

Key Words and Phrases: S-Permutable Subgroup, p-Nilpotent Group, Solvable Group, Supersolvable Group.

# 1. Introduction

Throughout this note, G denotes a finite group. The relationship between the properties of the Sylow subgroups of a group G and its structure has been investigated by many authors. Starting from Gaschütz and Itő ([10], Satz 5.7, p.436) who proved that a group Gis solvable if all its minimal subgroups are normal. In 1970, Buckely [4] proved that a group of odd order is supersolvable if all its minimal subgroups are normal (a subgroup of prime order is called a minimal subgroup). Recall that a subgroup is said to be S-permutable in G if it permutes with all Sylow subgroup of G. This concept, as a generalization of normality, was introduced by Kegel [11] in 1962 and has been studied extensively in many notes. For example, Srinivasan [15] in 1980 obtained the supersolvability of G under the assumption that the maximal subgroups of all Sylow subgroups are S-permutable in G. In 2000, Ballester-Bolinches et al. [3] introduced the c-supplementation concept of a finite group: A subgroup H of a group G is said to be c-supplemented in G if there exists a subgroup K of G such that G = HK and  $H \cap K \leq H_G$ , where  $H_G = Core_G(H)$  is the largest normal subgroup of G contained in H. By using this concept they were able to prove that a group G is solvable if and only if every Sylow subgroup of G is c-supplemented in G. Moreover, as an application, they got the supersolvability of a group G if all its minimal subgroups and the cyclic subgroups of order 4 are c-supplemented in G.

http://www.ejpam.com

© 2019 EJPAM All rights reserved.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.29020/nybg.ejpam.v12i2.3399

Email addresses: Rhijazi@kau.edu.sa (R. Hijazi), fa-sharaf@hotmail.com (F. Charaf)

R. Hijazi, F. Charaf / Eur. J. Pure Appl. Math, 12 (2) (2019), 571-576

In 2014, Heliel [8] proved that G is solvable if each subgroup of prime odd order of G is c-supplemented in G. Also he proved that G is solvable if and only if every Sylow subgroup of odd order of G is c-supplemented in G. This improved and generalized the results of Hall [6, 7], Ballester-Bolinches and Guo [2], and Ballester-Bolinches et al. [3]. Heliel also posted the following conjecture:

Let G be a finite group such that every non-cyclic Sylow subgroup P of odd order of G has a subgroup D such that  $1 < |D| \le |P|$  and all subgroups H of P with |H| = |D| are c-supplemented in G. Is G solvable?

In the same year, Li et al. [12] presented a counterexample to show that the answer of this conjecture is negative in general and then gave a generalization of Heliel's theorems.

**Example 1.** Let  $G = A_5 \times H$ , where  $A_5$  is the alternating group of degree 5 and H is an elementary group of order  $p^n$  with p > 5 and  $n \ge 2$ . Then G satisfies the condition of the preceding conjecture, but G is not solvable.

In 2015, Hijazi [9] continued the above mentioned investigations and proved the following: Suppose that each Sylow subgroup P of G has a subgroup D such that 1 < |D| < |P|and all subgroups H of P with |H| = |D| are S-permutable in G. Then G is solvable.

The main goal of this note is to prove the following main theorem:

**Main Theorem 1.** Let P be a Sylow p-subgroup of G (p > 2). Suppose that P has a subgroup D such that 1 < |D| < |P| and all subgroups H of P with |H| = |D| are S-permutable in G. Then G' is p-nilpotent.

As immediate consequences of the main theorem we have:

**Corollary 1.** Let P be a Sylow p-subgroup of G (p > 2). Suppose that P has a subgroup D such that 1 < |D| < |P| and all subgroups H of P with |H| = |D| are permutable in G. Then G' is p-nilpotent.

**Corollary 2** ([9], Theorem 3.1). Suppose that each Sylow subgroup P of G has a subgroup D such that 1 < |D| < |P| and all subgroups H of P with |H| = |D| are S-permutable in G. Then G is solvable.

**Corollary 3** (Gaschütz and Itő [10], Satz 5.7, p.436 ). A group G is solvable if all its minimal subgroups are normal.

## 2. Proofs

We first prove the following theorems:

**Theorem 2.** Let P be a Sylow p-subgroup of a group G, where p is an odd prime. If each subgroup of P of order p is S-permutable in G, then G' is p-nilpotent.

*Proof.* We prove the theorem by induction on |G|. Hence if each subgroup of P of order p is normal in G, then each subgroup of G' of order p is normal in G'. Let L be a

subgroup of G' such that |L| = p. Then  $G/C_G(L) \subseteq Aut(L)$  and, since Aut(L) is cyclic of order p-1, we have  $G/C_G(L)$  is abelian. Thus  $G' \leq C_G(L)$  and so  $L \leq Z(G')$ . By ([10], Satz 5.5(a), p. 435), G' is p-nilpotent. Thus we may assume that there exists a subgroup Hof P of order p such that H is not normal in G. By the hypothesis, H is S-permutable in G and hence by ([13], Lemma A),  $O^p(G) \leq N_G(H) < G$ . Let M be a maximal subgroup of G such that  $N_G(H) \leq M < G$ . Then  $M \triangleleft G$  and |G/M| = p. By induction on |G|, M'is p-nilpotent. Hence if  $O_{p'}(G) \neq 1, G/O_{p'}(G)$  satisfies the hypothesis of the theorem and so  $(G/O_{p'}(G))' = G'O_{p'}(G)/O_{p'}(G) \cong G'/(G' \cap O_{p'}(G))$  is p-nilpotent which implies that G' is p-nilpotent. Thus assume that  $O_{p'}(G) = 1$ . Since M' char M and  $M \triangleleft G$ , we have  $M' \lhd G$ . As M' is p-nilpotent and  $O_{p'}(G) = 1$ , we have M' is a p-group. Then  $P_1 \lhd M$ where  $P_1$  is a Sylow *p*-subgroup of *M*. By Schur-Zassenhaus Theorem [5, Theorem 6.2.1, p. 221],  $M = P_1 K$ , where K is a p'-Hall subgroup of M. Hence if  $C_G(P_1) \leq P_1$ , K is a p'-group of automorphisms of  $P_1$ , and since K leaves each subgroup of  $P_1$  invariant because every subgroup of P of prime order is S-permutable, then by ([14], Lemma 2.20), K is cyclic. Let Q be a Sylow q-subgroup of K, where q is a prime divisor of the order of K. Hence if p < q, then  $P_1Q = P_1 \times Q$  and this means that  $Q \leq C_G(P_1)$ , a contradiction. Thus p is the largest prime dividing |G| and since K is cyclic, it follows, by Burnside's p-Nilpotent Theorem ([10], Satz 2.8, p.420), that  $P \triangleleft G$ . But  $G/P \cong K$ , therefore G/Pis cyclic and so abelian, then  $G' \leq P$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.

As a corollary of Theorem 2.1:

**Corollary 4.** If each subgroup of prime order of G is S-permutable in G, then G is solvable,  $S \triangleleft G'$  and G'/S is nilpotent, where S is a Sylow 2-subgroup of G'.

*Proof.* By Theorem 2.1, G' is *p*-nilpotent for each odd prime *p* dividing |G|. So G'/S is nilpotent, *S* is a Sylow 2-subgroup of G' and hence *G* is solvable.

**Theorem 3.** Let p be an odd prime and let P be a Sylow p-subgroup of G. Suppose that P has a subgroup D such that 1 < |D| < |P| and all subgroups H of P with |H| = |D| are normal in G. Then G' is p-nilpotent.

*Proof.* We prove the theorem by induction on |G|. Clearly,  $P \cap G'$  is a Sylow *p*-subgroup of G'. Set  $P_1 = P \cap G'$ . We deal with the following two cases:

**Case 1.**  $|P_1| \le |D|$ .

Hence if |D| = p,  $|P_1| = p$ , and  $P_1 \triangleleft G$ . Then  $G' \leq C_G(P_1)$  and so  $P_1 \leq Z(G')$ . Hence, by Schur-Zassenhaus Theorem,  $G' = P_1 \times K$ , where K is a p'-Hall subgroup of G'.

In particular, G' is *p*-nilpotent.

Thus we may assume that  $|D| = p^n$   $(n \ge 2)$ . Let H be a subgroup of P with |H| = |D|such that  $P_1 \le H < P$ . By the hypothesis,  $H \lhd G$ . Assume that  $\Phi(H) \ne 1$  and consider the factor group  $G/\Phi(H)$ . Obviously,  $G/\Phi(H)$  satisfies the theorem hypothesis and so  $(G/\Phi(H))' = G'\Phi(H)/\Phi(H)$  is p-nilpotent by the induction on |G|. But  $G'\Phi(H)/\Phi(H) \cong$  $G'/G' \cap \Phi(H)$  and  $\Phi(H) \le \Phi(G)$ , then we have  $G' \cap \Phi(H) \le G' \cap \Phi(G)$  and therefore  $G'/G' \cap \Phi(G)$  is *p*-nilpotent. Now  $G'\Phi(G)/\Phi(G) \cong G'/G' \cap \Phi(G)$  is *p*-nilpotent implies that  $G'\Phi(G)$  is *p*-nilpotent and consequently G' is *p*-nilpotent.

Thus we may assume that  $\Phi(H) = 1$  and so H is elementary abelian p-group of order  $p^n$   $(n \ge 2)$ . Let L be a subgroup of P contains H such that H is maximal in L. Clearly, L is not cyclic because H is elementary abelian group of order  $p^n$   $(n \ge 2)$ . Then L contains a subgroup  $H_1$  such that  $|H_1| = |D|$  and  $H_1 \ne H$ . By the hypothesis,  $H_1 \triangleleft G$  and since  $H \triangleleft G$ , we have  $L = H_1 H \triangleleft G$  and so  $\Phi(L) \le \Phi(G)$ . Hence if  $\Phi(L) \ne 1$ ,  $\Phi(L) \le H_1 < L \le P$ . Since L is not cyclic, we have  $\Phi(L)$  is contained properly in  $H_1$ . Now it is easy to notice that the factor group  $G/\Phi(L)$  satisfies the hypothesis of the theorem, so by induction on |G|, G' is p-nilpotent. Thus we may assume that  $\Phi(L) = 1$  and so  $P_1$  is elementary abelian p-group. Since  $P_1 \le H < L \le P$  and H is maximal in L, it follows that  $|L| = p^{n+1}$ . Let  $L_1 = < x_1 >$  be a subgroup of  $P_1$  of order p. Then  $L = < x_1 > × < x_2 > × \ldots × < x_{n+1} >$ . By the hypothesis, each maximal subgroup of L is normal in G; in particular each subgroup  $L_1$  of  $P_1$  of order p is normal in G. So,  $G' \le C_G(L_1)$  and consequently  $P_1 \le Z(G')$ . By Schur-Zassenhaus Theorem,  $G' = P_1 \times K_1$ , where  $K_1$  is a p'-Hall subgroup of G; in particular G' is p-nilpotent.

Case 2.  $|P_1| > |D|$ .

Hence if |D| = p, then every subgroup of  $P_1$  of order p is normal in G, so  $\Omega_1(P_1) \leq Z(G')$  which implies that G' is p-nilpotent by ([10], Satz 5.5(a), p 435). Thus assume that  $|D| = p^n$   $(n \geq 2)$ . Hence if  $\Phi(D) \neq 1$ ,  $G/\Phi(D)$  satisfies the hypothesis of the theorem and so  $(G/\Phi(D))' = G'\Phi(D)/\Phi(D)$  is p-nilpotent by induction on |G| which implies that  $G'/G' \cap \Phi(G)$  is p-nilpotent; in particular G' is p-nilpotent. Thus we may assume that  $\Phi(D) = 1$ . Let  $L \leq P_1$  such that D is maximal in L. Then  $|L| = p^{n+1} (n \geq 2)$ . Clearly L is not cyclic. Then there exists a maximal subgroup  $L_1 \neq D$  in L. By the hypothesis  $L_1 \triangleleft G$  and  $D \triangleleft G$  which implies that  $L = L_1D \triangleleft G$ . Hence if  $\Phi(L) \neq 1$ ,  $\Phi(L) \leq D < L \leq P_1$  and since L is not cyclic, it follows that  $\Phi(L) < D$ . By induction on  $|G|, G'\Phi(L)/\Phi(L) \cong G'/G' \cap \Phi(L)$  is p-nilpotent. In particular,  $G'\Phi(G)/\Phi(G)$  is p-nilpotent and it follows easily that G' is p-nilpotent. So we may assume that  $\Phi(L) = 1$  and so  $L_1 \triangleleft G$  by ([1], Lemma 2.9). In particular,  $\Omega_1(P_1) \leq Z(G')$ . Again by ([10], Satz 5.5(a), p 435), G' is p-nilpotent. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Now we can move forward to prove our main theorem:

Proof. We prove the theorem by induction on |G|. Hence if  $O_{p'}(G) \neq 1$ ,  $G/O_{p'}(G)$ satisfies the hypothesis of the theorem and so  $(G/O_{p'}(G))'$  is *p*-nilpotent by induction on |G|; in particular, G' is *p*-nilpotent. Thus we may assume that  $O_{p'}(G) = 1$ . If each subgroup H of P with |H| = |D| is normal in G, then G' is *p*-nilpotent by Theorem 2.2. So we may assume that there exists a subgroup H of P with |H| = |D| and H is not normal in G. By hypothesis, H is S-permutable in G. Since  $H \not \lhd G$  and H is S-permutable in G, we have by ([13], Lemma A) that  $O^p(G) \leq N_G(H) < G$ . Let M be a maximal subgroup of G contains  $N_G(H)$  properly. Then  $M \lhd G$  and |G/M| = p. Let  $P_1 = P \cap M$  be a Sylow *p*-subgroup of M. By the hypothesis,  $|D| \leq |P_1|$ . If  $|D| = |P_1|$ , then  $|H| = |P_1|$  and so

575

 $P \leq N_G(H)$ , and since  $O^p(G) \leq N_G(H)$ , we have  $PO^p(G) = G \leq N_G(H) < M$  which is impossible. Thus we may assume that  $|D| < |P_1|$ . Now M' is p-nilpotent, by the inductive hypothesis, implies that M' is a p-group because  $O_{p'}(G) = 1$ . Then  $P_1$  is characteristic in M and since  $M \triangleleft G$ , we have  $P_1 \triangleleft G$ . If  $P \triangleleft G$ , then G/P is abelian and since all subgroups H of P with |H| = |D| are S-permutable in G, we have that G is supersolvable by ([14], Theorem 1.3) and so G' is nilpotent; in particular G' is p-nilpotent. Thus we may assume that  $P \not \lhd G$  and  $P_1 = F(G)$  the Fitting subgroup of G (recall that  $O_{p'}(G) = 1$  and that  $F(G) = \langle O_p(G) \text{ for all } p \text{ divides } |G| \rangle$ . Consider the subgroup  $\Phi(P_1)$  and assume that  $\Phi(P_1) \neq 1$ . Hence if  $|\Phi(P_1)| < |D|$ , then  $(G/\Phi(P_1))'$  is p-nilpotent by induction on |G|; in particular G' is p-nilpotent. So assume that  $|\Phi(P_1)| \ge |D|$ . If  $|\Phi(P_1)| = |D|$ , then  $P/\Phi(P_1)$ is not cyclic. Let  $L/\Phi(P_1)$  be a proper subgroup of  $P/\Phi(P_1)$  such that  $|L/\Phi(P_1)| = p$  (L is not cyclic; otherwise  $\Phi(P_1)$  is cyclic and this implies that there exists  $L_1 \leq \Phi(P_1)$  such that  $L_1 \triangleleft G$ ; in particular  $G/C_G(L_1)$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $Aut(L_1)$  and so  $G' \leq$  $C_G(L_1)$  and we conclude then that G' is p-nilpotent). As  $|L/\Phi(P_1)| = p$ , then there exists a maximal subgroup  $L_1$  of L such that  $|L_1| = |\Phi(P_1)| = |D|$  and  $L_1 \neq \Phi(P_1)$ . But  $L_1 \Phi(P_1)$ is S-permutable in G, then  $L_1\Phi(P_1)/\Phi(P_1) = L/\Phi(P_1)$  is S-permutable in  $G/\Phi(P_1)$ . By Theorem 2.1,  $(G/\Phi(P_1))' = G'\Phi(P_1)/\Phi(P_1)$  is *p*-nilpotent and so G' is *p*-nilpotent. Thus we may assume that  $\Phi(P_1) = 1$  and  $P_1$  is elementary abelian. Since all subgroups H of  $P_1$ with |H| = |D| are normal in M, we have by ([1], Lemma 2.9) that all subgroups of  $P_1$  of order p are normal in M. So  $P_1 \cap Z(P) \neq 1$ . Let  $L \leq P_1 \cap Z(P)$  such that |L| = p. Then  $L \triangleleft G$  and since  $G/C_G(L)$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of Aut(L), we have that  $G' \leq C_G(L)$  $C_G(L)$ , in particular G'L/L is p-nilpotent and so G' is p-nilpotent. This completes the proof of the theorem.

#### References

- M. Asaad and A. A. Heliel, On S-quasinormally embedded subgroups of finite groups, JPAA 165(2001) 129-135.
- [2] A. Ballester-Bolinches and X. Guo, On complemented subgroups of finite groups, Arch. Math. 72(1999) 161-166.
- [3] A. Ballester-Bolinches, Y. Wang and X. Guo (2000), C-supplemented subgroups of finite groups, Glasgow Math. J. 42(2000) 383-389.
- [4] J. Buckely, Finite groups whose minimal subgroups are normal, Math. Z. 116(1970) 15-17.
- [5] D. Gorenstein, Finite Groups, American Mathematical Society, 1980.
- [6] P. Hall, A characteristic property of solvable groups, J. London Math. Soc. 12(1937) 198-200.
- [7] P. Hall, Complemented Groups, J. London Math. Soc. 12(1937) 201-204.

- [8] A. A. Heliel, A note on c-supplemented subgroups of finite groups, Comm. Algebra 42(2014) 1650-1656.
- [9] R. Hijazi, A note on solvability of finite groups, Journal of Advances in Mathematics 10(2015) 3639-3642.
- [10] B. Huppert, Endliche Gruppen I, Springer, Berlin-New York, 1979.
- [11] O. H. Kegel, Sylow-Gruppen und Subnormalteiler endlicher Gruppen, Math. Z. 78(1962) 205-221.
- [12] J. Li, W. Shi, G. Chen and D. Yu, New characterization of solubility of finite groups, Italian J. Pure Appl. Math. 33(2014) 377-382.
- [13] P. Schmid, Subgroups permutable with all Sylow subgroups, J. Algebra, 207 (1998) 285-293.
- [14] A. N. Skiba, On weakly S-permutable subgroups of finite groups, J. Algebra, 315(2007) 192-209.
- [15] S. Srinivasan, Two sufficient conditions for supersolvability of finite groups, Israel J. Math. 35(1980) 210-214.