



## A Note on the Operator-Valued Poisson Kernel

Serap BULUT

*Kocaeli University, Civil Aviation College, Arslanbey Campus  
41285 İzmit, Kocaeli / Turkey*

---

**Abstract.** The purpose of this paper is to give a different proof of the integral formula

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} K_{r,t}(T) dt = I,$$

where  $K_{r,t}(T)$  is the operator-valued Poisson kernel.

**AMS subject classifications:** Primary 45P05, 47A60; Secondary 46E40, 47B38

**Key words:** Poisson Kernel, Operator-valued Poisson Kernel

---

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a Hilbert space which will be always complex and let  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  be the algebra of all bounded linear operators from  $\mathcal{H}$  to  $\mathcal{H}$ . We write  $I$  for the identity operator on  $\mathcal{H}$ . For  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ , we denote by  $\sigma(T)$  the spectrum of  $T$ .  $T$  is called a unitary operator if it satisfies  $T^*T = TT^* = I$  where  $T^*$  is the adjoint of  $T$ .

---

*Email address:* serap.bulut@kocaeli.edu.tr

Throughout the paper  $\mathbb{D}$  will denote the open unit disc  $\mathbb{D} = \{z : |z| < 1\}$  in the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$ .

For  $re^{it} \in \mathbb{D}$ , the (scalar) Poisson kernel  $P_{r,t}$  is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} P_{r,t}(e^{i\theta}) &= \frac{1 - r^2}{(1 - re^{it}e^{-i\theta})(1 - re^{-it}e^{i\theta})} \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - re^{it}e^{-i\theta}} + \frac{1}{1 - re^{-it}e^{i\theta}} - 1 \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} r^n e^{int} e^{-in\theta} + \sum_{n \geq 0} r^n e^{-int} e^{in\theta} - 1. \end{aligned}$$

It is the well-known property of the (scalar) Poisson kernel that the integral formula

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} P_{r,t}(e^{i\theta}) d\theta = 1 \tag{1.1}$$

holds.

In [1], the author gave the definition of the operator-valued Poisson kernel  $K_{r,t}(T) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  for  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  such that  $\sigma(T) \subset \overline{\mathbb{D}}$  and for  $re^{it} \in \mathbb{D}$ , in the following way:

$$K_{r,t}(T) = (I - re^{it}T^*)^{-1} + (I - re^{-it}T)^{-1} - I. \tag{1.2}$$

For an operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  and a polynomial  $p(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k z^k \in \mathbb{C}[z]_{\neq \emptyset}$ ,  $p(T) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  is defined by

$$p(T) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k T^k.$$

**Remark.**  $T^0$  is defined to be the identity operator, whatever the operator  $T$ .

On the other hand, for  $0 \leq r < 1$ ,  $p(rT)$  is defined by means of the operator-valued Poisson kernel as follows.

**Lemma 1.1.** [1] Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  such that  $\sigma(T) \subset \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ . For all  $r \in [0, 1)$ , we have:

$$p(rT) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} p(e^{it}) K_{r,t}(T) dt, \quad p \in \mathbb{C}[z]_{\neq \emptyset}.$$

Note that in the case  $p$  identically equal to 1, we have

*Main Theorem.*

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} K_{r,t}(T) dt = I \quad (1.3)$$

for  $0 \leq r < 1$  and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  such that  $\sigma(T) \subset \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ .

The purpose of this paper is to give a different proof of (1.3) independently a polynomial.

In [2] which is a motive of our present paper, a proof of (1.1) is given by using the functional equation

$$F(r^{2^n}) = F(r), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

where

$$F(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1-r^2}{(1-re^{i\theta})(1-re^{-i\theta})} d\theta, \quad 0 \leq r < 1.$$

In this note, we use a similar method for the operator-valued Poisson kernel  $K_{r,t}(T)$ .

## 2. Proof of the Main Theorem

Let  $re^{it} \in \mathbb{D}$ ,  $0 \leq r < 1$  and let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  such that  $\sigma(T) \subset \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ . Set

$$F(rT) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} K_{r,t}(T) dt. \quad (2.1)$$

Then  $F$  is a continuous function. Also, it is obvious that  $F(0) = I$  for  $r = 0$ .

Let us write

$$F(rT) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{\pi} K_{r,t}(T) dt + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\pi}^{2\pi} K_{r,x}(T) dx.$$

Making the substitution  $x = t + \pi$  in the second integral, and using (1.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 F(rT) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\pi [(I - re^{it}T^*)^{-1} + (I - re^{-it}T)^{-1} - I] dt \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\pi [(I + re^{it}T^*)^{-1} + (I + re^{-it}T)^{-1} - I] dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 F(rT) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\pi [(I - re^{it}T^*)^{-1} + (I + re^{it}T^*)^{-1}] dt & (2.2) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\pi [(I - re^{-it}T)^{-1} + (I + re^{-it}T)^{-1}] dt \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\pi 2I dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have the equalities

$$(I - re^{it}T^*)^{-1} + (I + re^{it}T^*)^{-1} = 2(I - r^2e^{2it}T^{*2})^{-1} \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$(I - re^{-it}T)^{-1} + (I + re^{-it}T)^{-1} = 2(I - r^2e^{-2it}T^2)^{-1}. \tag{2.4}$$

Thus, by (2.3) and (2.4), (2.2) is of the form

$$F(rT) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi [(I - r^2e^{2it}T^{*2})^{-1} + (I - r^2e^{-2it}T^2)^{-1} - I] dt.$$

Making the substitution  $\phi = 2t$  in the above integral, we find

$$F(rT) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} [(I - r^2e^{i\phi}T^{*2})^{-1} + (I - r^2e^{-i\phi}T^2)^{-1} - I] d\phi.$$

By (1.2), we get

$$F(rT) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} K_{r^2, \phi}(T^2) d\phi. \quad (2.5)$$

In view of (2.1) and (2.5), we obtain

$$F(rT) = F(r^2 T^2). \quad (2.6)$$

By repeated applications of (2.6), we see that

$$F(rT) = F((rT)^{2^n}), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Since  $\|rT\| < 1$ , we have

$$F(rT) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F((rT)^{2^n}) = F(0) = I.$$

Thus the proof is completed.

### 3. Results

**Corollary 3.1.** *Note that*

$$F(rT^*) = I.$$

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  such that  $\sigma(T) \subset \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ . If  $T$  is invertible then*

$$K_{r^{-1}, t}(T^{-1}) = -K_{r, -t}(T)$$

for  $0 < r < 1$ .

**Corollary 3.3.** *Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  such that  $\sigma(T) \subset \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ .*

(i) *If  $T$  is invertible then*

$$F(r^{-1}T^{-1}) = -F(rT^*)$$

for  $0 < r < 1$ .

(ii) If  $T$  is a unitary operator then

$$F(r^{-1}T^{-1}) = -F(rT^{-1})$$

for  $0 < r \neq 1$ .

When we consider the Corollary 3.3, we have the following

**Theorem 3.4.** Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  such that  $\sigma(T) \subset \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ .

(i) If  $T$  is invertible then

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} K_{r^{-1},t}(T^{-1})dt = -I$$

for  $0 < r < 1$ .

(ii) If  $T$  is a unitary operator then

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} K_{r,t}(T^{-1})dt = -I$$

for  $r > 1$ .

## References

- [1] I. Chalendar, *The operator-valued Poisson kernel and its applications*, Ir. Math. Soc. Bull. **51** (2003), 21–44.
- [2] A. E. Taylor, *A Note on the Poisson Kernel*, Amer. Math. Monthly, **57** (1950), 478–479.