



Natural Generalized Inverse and Core of an Element in Semigroups, Rings and Banach and Operator Algebras

Xavier Mary

Université Paris-Ouest Nanterre-La Défense, Laboratoire Modal'X, Paris France

Abstract. Using the recent notion of inverse along an element in a semigroup, and the natural partial order on idempotents, we study bicommuting generalized inverses and define a new inverse called natural inverse, that generalizes the Drazin inverse in a semigroup, but also the Koliha-Drazin inverse in a ring. In this setting we get a core decomposition similar to the nilpotent, Kato or Mbekhta decompositions. In Banach and Operator algebras, we show that the study of the spectrum is not sufficient, and use ideas from local spectral theory to study this new inverse.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classifications: 15A09, 47A05, 47A11

Key Words and Phrases: generalized inverses, Koliha-Drazin inverse

1. Introduction

In this paper, S , R and \mathcal{A} denote respectively a semigroup, a ring and a Banach algebra. In particular, R and \mathcal{A} with only their multiplication structure will be considered as semigroups. For any semigroup S , S^1 denotes the monoid generated by S . $E(S)$ denotes the set of idempotents. For any subset A of S , $A' = \{x \in S, xa = ax \forall a \in A\}$ denotes the commutant (or centralizer) of A .

We say a is (von Neumann) regular in S if $a \in aSa$. A particular solution to $axa = a$ is called an associate, or inner inverse, of a . A solution to $xax = a$ is called a weak (or outer) inverse. Finally, an element that satisfies $axa = a$ and $xax = x$ is called an inverse (or reflexive inverse, or relative inverse) of a and is denoted by a' . The set of all associates of a is denoted by $A(a)$, and the set of weak inverses of a by $W(a)$. A commuting inverse, if it exists, is unique and denoted by $a^\#$. It is usually called the group inverse of a . A classical reference for generalized inverses is [2].

We will make use of the Green's preorders and relations in a semigroup [9]. For elements a and b of S , Green's preorders $\leq_{\mathcal{L}}$, $\leq_{\mathcal{R}}$ and $\leq_{\mathcal{H}}$ are defined by

$$a \leq_{\mathcal{L}} b \iff S^1 a \subset S^1 b \iff \exists x \in S^1, a = xb;$$

Email address: xavier.mary@u-paris10.fr

$$\begin{aligned}
 a \leq_{\mathcal{R}} b &\iff aS^1 \subset bS^1 \iff \exists x \in S^1, a = bx; \\
 a \leq_{\mathcal{H}} b &\iff \{a \leq_{\mathcal{L}} b \text{ and } a \leq_{\mathcal{R}} b\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

If $\leq_{\mathcal{H}}$ is one of these preorders, then $a\mathcal{H}b \iff \{a \leq_{\mathcal{H}} b \text{ and } b \leq_{\mathcal{H}} a\}$, and $\mathcal{H}_a = \{b \in S, b\mathcal{H}a\}$ denotes the \mathcal{H} -class of a .

We recall the following characterization of group invertibility in terms of Green’s relation \mathcal{H} [see 9, 22]:

Lemma 1. $a^\#$ exists if and only if $a\mathcal{H}a^2$ if and only if \mathcal{H}_a is a group.

The study of generalized inverses has been conducted in many different mathematical areas, from semigroup theory to operator theory, and applied to various domains such as Markov chains or differential equations. In these studies, it may be useful to consider commuting (or bicommuting) inverses. Since the existence of a commuting inner inverse is a very strong property, it is common to look at outer commuting inverses, following the seminal work of M. Drazin [4], who introduced the Drazin inverse in the context of semigroups and rings. Later, this inverse has been generalized in the setting of operators by Koliha [16] using spectral properties and functional calculus. This generalized Drazin inverse (also called Koliha-Drazin inverse) finds many applications, in particular to singular differential equations.

In [18] the author introduced a special outer inverse, called inverse along an element in the context of semigroups. The aim of this article is to use this new inverse to study bicommuting generalized inverses. Then, using the natural partial order on idempotents, we will define a new inverse called natural inverse, that generalizes the Drazin inverse in a semigroup, but also the Koliha-Drazin inverse in a ring. In this setting, this provides a decomposition of an element similar to the nilpotent, Kato or Mbekhta decompositions [21]. In the first sections we introduce the main notions (inverse along an element, natural generalized inverse) entirely in the semigroup setting. We then study further properties of the natural inverse in rings, making the link with quasipolar (generalized Drazin invertible) elements [10, 11, 16, 17]. In the last sections, a particular attention is given to Banach and operators algebras. The main result is that this inverses relies on finer properties than spectral properties only. Local spectral theory[12] is then an interesting tool.

2. Inverse Along an Element

2.1. Definition and First Properties

The inverse along an element was introduced in [18], and in [19], it was interpreted as a kind of inverse modulo \mathcal{H} . We recall the definition and properties of this inverse. Note that in this article, this new inverse is denoted by a^{-d} instead of $a^{\parallel d}$, extending the case $d = 1$.

Definition 1. Given a, d in S , we say a is invertible along d if there exists $b \in S$ such that $bad = d = dab$ and $b \leq_{\mathcal{H}} d$. If such an element exists then it is unique and is denoted by a^{-d} .

Another characterization is the following:

Lemma 2. *a is invertible along d if and only if there exists $b \in S$ such that $bab = b$ and $b\mathcal{H}d$, and in this case $a^{-d} = b$.*

Theorem 1. *Let $a, d \in S$. Then the following are equivalent:*

1. a^{-d} exists.
2. $d \leq_{\mathcal{R}} da$ and $(da)^{\#}$ exists.
3. $d \leq_{\mathcal{L}} ad$ and $(ad)^{\#}$ exists.
4. $dad\mathcal{H}d$.
5. $d \leq_{\mathcal{H}} dad$.

In this case,

$$b = d(ad)^{\#} = (da)^{\#}d.$$

For another look at this inverse, we also refer to [5], where M. Drazin independently defined an new outer inverse that is actually similar to the inverse along an element.

2.2. Commutativity and Idempotents

A remarkable feature of the inverse along an element is the following [Theorem 10 in 18].

Theorem 2. *Let $a, d \in S$ and pose $A = (a, d)$. If a is invertible along d, then $a^{-d} \in A''$.*

As a direct corollary, we get:

Corollary 1. *Let $a, d \in S$, $dad\mathcal{H}d$ and pose $b = a^{-d}$. If $ad = da$, then $ab = ba$ and $bd = db$.*

We define the following sets:

1. $\Sigma_0(a) = \{e \in E(S), eae\mathcal{H}e\}$;
2. $\Sigma_1(a) = \{a\}' \cap \Sigma_0(a)$;
3. $\Sigma_2(a) = \{a\}'' \cap \Sigma_0(a)$.

(If S is commutative, or the idempotents are central, then the three sets are equal. We then simply denote it $\Sigma(a)$.)

Lemma 3. *Let $e \in E(S)$ and $a \in S$ such that $ae = ea$. Then $e \in \Sigma_0(a) \iff e \leq_{\mathcal{H}} a$.*

Proof. Assume $e \in \Sigma_0(a)$. Then $e \leq_{\mathcal{H}} eae = ea = ae \leq_{\mathcal{H}} a$. Conversely, if $e \leq_{\mathcal{H}} a$ and $ae = ea$, then $e \leq_{\mathcal{R}} a \Rightarrow e = ee \leq_{\mathcal{R}} ea \leq_{\mathcal{R}} e$ that is $e\mathcal{R}ea$. But $ea = ae$, hence $e\mathcal{R}ea \Rightarrow e\mathcal{R}ae \Rightarrow e = ee\mathcal{R}eae$. By symmetry, we get $e\mathcal{H}eae$.

Combining the previous lemmas and theorems we get:

Theorem 3.

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_a : W(a) &\longrightarrow E(S) \\ x &\longmapsto ax \end{aligned}$$

- is one to one from $W(a) \cap \{a\}'$ onto $\Sigma_1(a)$;
- is one to one from $W(a) \cap \{a\}''$ onto $\Sigma_2(a)$.

Its reciprocal τ_a^{-1} associates e to $b = a^{-e}$.

Proof. Let $b, c \in W(a) \cap \{a\}'$. Then $ab = ac \Rightarrow b = bab = bac$. But also $ba = ca$ by commutativity and $bac = cac = c$. Finally $b = c$. Obviously, $ab = ba = e$ is an idempotent commuting with a . Conversely, if $e \in \Sigma_1(a)$, then $e \leq_{\mathcal{R}} a \Rightarrow e = ee \leq_{\mathcal{R}} ea = ae \leq_{\mathcal{R}} e$. Also $e \leq_{\mathcal{L}} a \Rightarrow e = ee \leq_{\mathcal{L}} ae = ea \leq_{\mathcal{L}} e$. It follows that $ea = ea\mathcal{H}e$, a is invertible along e . Pose $b = a^{-e}$. Then $b \in \{a, e\}''$ hence $ab = ba$ and $ab = abe = bae = e$.

For the second statement, we have only to prove that τ_a maps $W(a) \cap \{a\}''$ onto $\Sigma_2(a)$, but this follows from Theorem 2.

As a consequence, looking for commuting or bicommuting outer inverses can be handled through idempotents.

Recall that any set of idempotents may be partially ordered by $e \leq f \iff ef = fe = e$, the natural partial order, and if this set is commutative, then this partial order is compatible with multiplication. We then have two partial orders on $E(S)$, the natural partial order and the \mathcal{H} preorder (that reduces to a partial order for idempotents since a \mathcal{H} -class contains at most one idempotent [9]). Actually, they coincide for idempotents. If $e \leq f$, then $e = ef = fe$ and $e \leq_{\mathcal{H}} f$ and conversely, if $e = fx = yf$ then $fe = ffx = fx = e = yf = yff = ef$.

It is interesting to notice that even in the noncommutative case, invertibility along an idempotent e can be expressed as invertibility in the local submonoid eSe (ring theorists use the word “corner ring”).

Lemma 4. *Let $a \in S$, $e \in E(S)$. Then $e \in \Sigma_0(a)$ (a^{-e} exists) if and only if eae is invertible in the local submonoid eSe . In this case*

$$a^{-e} = (ea)^{\#}e = e(ae)^{\#} = (eae)^{\#} = (eae)^{-1}.$$

Proof. Assume a^{-e} exists. Then $a^{-e}\mathcal{H}e$ hence $a^{-e} = ea^{-e} = a^{-e}e = ea^{-e}e \in eSe$. It also satisfies $a^{-e}ae = e = eaa^{-e}$ hence $a^{-e}(eae) = e = (eae)a^{-e}$ and eae is invertible in the monoid eSe (with unit e).

Conversely, assume eae is invertible in eSe with inverse $b \in eSe$. Then $b \leq_{\mathcal{H}} e$ and $bae = b(eae) = e = (eae)b = eab$ and b is the inverse of a along e .

Finally, note that $\Sigma_2(a)$ is a commutative band (commutative semigroup of idempotents, semilattice with $e \vee f = ef = fe$).

Proposition 1. $\Sigma_2(a)$ is a commutative subsemigroup of S .

Proof. If $e, f \in \Sigma_2(a)$, then $ef = fe \leq_{\mathcal{H}} e \leq_{\mathcal{H}} a$. We have to show that ef is an idempotent. $efef = ef fe = efe = eef = ef$ and ef is an idempotent.

3. The Natural Generalized Inverse in a Semigroup

3.1. Definition and First Properties

Definition 2. Let S be a semigroup, $a \in S$.

1. Let $j = 0, 1, 2$. The element a is j -maximally invertible if the set $\Sigma_j(a)$ admits maximal elements for the natural partial order. Elements $b = a^{-e}$ where e is maximal are then called j -maximal generalized inverses of a .
2. If there exists a greatest element $M \in \Sigma_j(a)$, then we say that a is j -naturally invertible, and $b = a^{-M}$ is called the j -natural (generalized) inverse of a .
3. Finally, if a is 2-naturally invertible, the element $aM = aba$ is called the core of a .

We will mainly deal with the 2-natural inverse in the sequel, and we will also refer to it as the natural inverse. As noted before, if S is commutative or the idempotents central then the three notions coincide.

Recall that a semilattice is distributive if $e \vee f \leq x$ implies the existence of e', f' such that $e \leq e', f \leq f'$ and $x = e' \vee f'$.

Proposition 2. If the semilattice $\Sigma_2(a)$ is distributive, then any 2-maximally invertible element is naturally invertible.

Proof. let e be a maximal element of $\Sigma_2(a)$, $f \in \Sigma_2(a)$. Then $ef = fe \leq e$ and exists e', f' such that $e \leq e', f \leq f'$ and $e = e'f'$. By maximality, $e' = e$ and we get $e = ef' = f'e$. It follows that $e \leq f'$ hence $e = f'$ and $f \leq e$. e is the greatest element in $\Sigma_2(a)$.

The natural inverse generalizes the Drazin inverse [4].

Theorem 4. Assume a is Drazin invertible with inverse a^D . Then a is 1 and 2-naturally invertible with inverse $a^{-M} = a^D$.

Proof. Let a be Drazin invertible with index n and inverse a^D . Then $e = aa^D = a^D a \in \Sigma_2(a) \subset \Sigma_1(a)$, and $a^D a^{n+1} = a^{n+1} a^D = a^n$. Let $f \in \Sigma_1(a)$. Then a^{-f} satisfies $a^{-f} a f = f = a a^{-f} f = f a a^{-f} = f a^{-f} a$. It follows that $f = f (a^{-f})^{n+1} a^{n+1}$. Then $f e = f (a^{-f})^{n+1} a^{n+1} a^D a = f$. Also $f e = e f$ ($e = a a^D = a^D a \in \Sigma_2(a)$) hence $f \leq e$.

3.2. Examples

MANY MAXIMAL INVERSES.

Let S be the semigroup generated by three elements e, f, a subject to the conditions $e = e^2 = ea = ae, f = f^2 = fa = af$ and $ef = fe$. Then S is commutative, a is maximally invertible but not naturally invertible, with two maximal inverses $a^{-e} = e$ and $a^{-f} = f$.

We consider now a simple variant of the previous example. Let S' be the semigroup generated by three elements e, f, a subject to the conditions $e = e^2 = ea = ae, f = f^2 = fa = af$ and $ef = e, fe = f$. Then $\Sigma_1(a) = \{e, f\}$ but $\Sigma_2(a)$ is empty since e and f do not commute.

RIGHT HEREDITARY SEMIGROUPS WITH CENTRAL IDEMPOTENTS [6].

In this example we notably show that elements of a right hereditary semigroup with central idempotents are naturally invertible, and describe the set $\Sigma(a)$.

Let S be a right p.p. (principal projective) semigroup with central idempotents as defined in [6]. Then $E(S)$ is a semilattice (for the natural partial order). For any $e \in E(S)$, define $Y_e = \{x \in S, xe = x \text{ and } xs = xt \Rightarrow es = et\}$ (that is the \mathcal{L}^* -class of e for the extended Green's relation \mathcal{L}^* [7]). Then Y_e is a cancellative monoid (with unit e) and the structure theorem of Fountain says that S is the semilattice of these disjoint monoids.

If S is right semi-hereditary then it is right p.p. and incomparable principal right ideals are disjoint [3]. It follows notably that $E(S)$ is a chain (any two idempotents are comparable), and maximal invertibility implies natural invertibility.

Let now $a \in S$. If a is regular, then a is group invertible hence naturally invertible. We assume in the sequel that a is not regular. By centrality of the idempotents, $\Sigma_0(a) = \Sigma_1(a) = \Sigma_2(a) = \{e \in E(S), e \leq_{\mathcal{H}} a\}$. Let $a^0 \in E(S)$ be the idempotent such that $a \in Y_{a^0}$. Since $aa^0 = a^0a = a$, any $e \leq_{\mathcal{H}} a$ satisfies $e \leq a^0$ for the \mathcal{H} order hence the natural partial order, and since a is not regular, $e < a^0$. Conversely, let $e < a^0$ and assume S is semi-hereditary. From $ae = ea \in eS \cap aS$, eS and aS are comparable, and from $e < a^0$ we get $eS \subset aS$ ($ae \in Y_e$ disjoint from Y_{a^0} hence $ae \neq a$). It follows that $e \leq_{\mathcal{R}} a$ and in particular $ea = ae\mathcal{R}e$ is regular. Since for regular elements, the appartenance in Y_e is simply Green's relation \mathcal{L} , we get that $ae = ea\mathcal{H}e$ and $e \leq_{\mathcal{H}} a$. Finally, we have proved that $\Sigma(a)$ is the chain of idempotents $\{e \in E(S), e < a_0\}$.

If we finally assume that S is right hereditary (right ideals are projective), then Dorofeeva [3] showed that S satisfies the maximum condition for principal right ideals. As a consequence, the chain of idempotents $\{e \in E(S), e < a_0\}$ has a greatest element M and a is naturally invertible with inverse a^{-M} .

4. The Ring Case

4.1. Invertibility Along an Element in a Ring

In this section, R denotes a unital ring. In particular, it is a semigroup and the previous notations and results apply. The ring being unital, $R^1 = R$. In [19], invertibility along an element was characterized in terms of existence of units.

Theorem 5. *Let d be a regular element of a ring $R, d' \in A(d)$. Then the following are equivalent:*

1. a^{-d} exists.
2. $u = da + 1 - dd'$ is a unit.
3. $v = ad + 1 - d'd$ is a unit.

In this case,

$$a^{-d} = u^{-1}d = dv^{-1}.$$

Note that in the particular case of invertibility along an idempotent e , this reduces to:

Corollary 2. *Let $e \in E(R)$ be a idempotent element of a ring R . Then the following are equivalent:*

1. a^{-e} exists.
2. $u = ea + 1 - e$ is a unit.
3. $v = ae + 1 - e$ is a unit.

In this case,

$$a^{-e} = u^{-1}e = ev^{-1}.$$

Corollary 3. *If $ae = ea$, then $e \leq_{\mathcal{H}} a$ if and only if $u = 1 + ae - e$ is a unit.*

Remark that a sufficient condition for this to happen is the following:

Lemma 5. *If $ae = ea$ and $a + 1 - e$ is a unit, then $e \leq_{\mathcal{H}} a$.*

Proof. let $u = a + 1 - e$. Then $ue = ae = ea$ hence $e = u^{-1}ea = au^{-1}e$.

4.2. Natural Inverse in a Ring

Let R be a ring, and let $a \in R$. Then the semilattice $\Sigma_2(a)$ is actually a distributive lattice (with $e \wedge f = e + f - ef$) hence a is 2-maximally invertible if and only if it is naturally invertible.

We derive new criterion for the natural inverse to exists.

Theorem 6. *Let $a \in R$. Then the following are equivalent:*

1. a is naturally invertible with inverse a^{-M} ;
2. There exists $b \in \{a\}''$, $bab = b$ and $\Sigma_2(a - aba) = \{0\}$;
3. $a = x + y$ with $x \in \{a\}''$, $x^\#$ exists, $xy = 0$ and $\Sigma_2(y) = \{0\}$.

In this case, $a^{-M} = b = x^\#$.

Proof.

1. \Rightarrow 2. Assume a is naturally invertible with inverse $b = a^{-M}$. Then $M = ab = ba$. Let $e \in \Sigma_2(a - aba)$. The $ca = ac \Rightarrow cb = bc \Rightarrow c(a - aba) = (a - aba)c \Rightarrow ec = ce$. Hence $e \in \{a\}''$. But also $\exists t, s \in R, e = a(1 - ba)t = s(1 - ab)a$ and $e \leq_{\mathcal{H}} a$. Finally, $e \in \Sigma_2(a)$ hence $e \leq M, eM = Me = e$. Computation give $e = eM = s(1 - ab)aba = 0$.
2. \Rightarrow 3. Let $b \in \{a\}''$, $bab = b$ and $\Sigma(a - aba) = \{0\}$. Then $x = aba$ and $y = a - aba$ satisfy the required relations ($x^\# = b$).
3. \Rightarrow 1. Finally, let $a = x + y$ with $x \in \{a\}''$, $x^\#$ exists, $xy = 0$ and $\Sigma(y) = \{0\}$. By properties of the group inverse, $x^\# \in \{x\}'' \Rightarrow xx^\# \in \{a\}''$. Pose $M = xx^\#$. Since $x = xx^\#x = xx^\#a = axx^\#, M \leq_{\mathcal{H}} a$ and $M \in \Sigma_2(a)$. Let $e \in \Sigma_2(a)$. Then $e = a^{-e}ae$, and e is in the bicommutant of $y = a - x$. Then

$$e - eM = e(1 - xx^\#) = ea^{-e}a(1 - xx^\#) = ea^{-e}(x + y)(1 - xx^\#) = ea^{-e}y$$

and $e - eM \in \Sigma_2(y)$. By hypothesis, $e - eM = 0$ and $e \leq M, M$ is the greatest element of $\Sigma_2(a)$ and a is naturally invertible with inverse $a^{-xx^\#}$.

The unique decomposition $a = x + y = aM + (a - aM) = aba + (a - aba)$ as in the previous theorem will be called the natural core decomposition of a .

4.3. Link With the Koliha-Drazin Inverse

We recall the following definitions of quasinilpotency and quasipolarity in rings due to R. Harte [10].

Definition 3. An element q of a ring R is quasinilpotent if $\forall x \in \{q\}', 1 + xq \in R^{-1}$, and quasi-quasinilpotent if $\forall x \in \{q\}'', 1 + xq \in R^{-1}$

Note that quasi-quasinilpotent elements need not be quasinilpotent in general. The two notions however coincide for Banach algebras.

Definition 4. An element a of a ring R is quasipolar (resp. quasi-quasipolar) if there exists a idempotent (called spectral idempotent) p in $\{a\}''$ such that ap is quasinilpotent (resp. quasi-quasinilpotent) and $a + p \in R^{-1}$.

It was remarked in [17] that the last condition can be replaced by the following one $1 - p \leq_{\mathcal{H}} a$. This is the content of Lemma 5.

It was proved by J. Koliha and P. Patricio [Theorem 4.2 in 17] that quasipolar elements are exactly the generalized Drazin invertible elements (also called Koliha-Drazin invertible elements):

Definition 5. An element a of a ring R is generalized Drazin invertible if there exists b in $\{a\}''$ such that $bab = b$ and $a^2b - a$ is quasinilpotent.

Theorem 7. *An element a of a ring R is generalized Drazin invertible if and only if it is quasipolar. In this case $b = (a + p)^{-1}(1 - p)$.*

Next theorem proves that the natural inverse generalizes not only the Drazin inverse, but also the Koliha-Drazin inverse in a ring:

Theorem 8. *Let R be a ring, and $a \in R$ be quasi-quasipolar with spectral idempotent p and Koliha-Drazin inverse b . Then a is naturally invertible, $M = 1 - p$ is the greatest element of $\Sigma_2(a)$ and the generalized Drazin inverse b is equal to a^{-M} , the natural generalized inverse of a .*

Proof. Assume a is quasi-quasipolar in the ring sense. Then exists spectral idempotent p in $\{a\}''$ such that ap is quasi-quasinilpotent and $a + p \in R^{-1}$. By Lemma 5, $M = 1 - p \leq_{\mathcal{H}} a$, hence it is in $\Sigma_2(a)$. Let $f \in \Sigma_2(a)$. Then exists $x \in S, f = xa$. By quasi-quasinilpotency, $(1 - fp) = (1 - xap) \in R^{-1}$. But by commutativity of $\{a\}''$ and the fact that $f, p \in E(S)$, we have $(1 - fp)(1 + fp) = 1 - fp$. By invertibility, $1 + fp = 1$ hence $fp = 0$. It follows that $fM = f(1 - p) = f - fp = f$ and $f \leq M$ for the natural partial order. M is the greatest element of $\Sigma_2(a)$. Now the generalized Drazin inverse of a $b = (a + p)^{-1}(1 - p)$ is obviously in $\mathcal{H}_{(1-p)}$ and is an outer inverse of a by definition. By unicity, it is a^{-M} .

If we require the element a to be quasipolar instead of quasi-quasipolar with Koliha-Drazin inverse b , then the idempotent $M = 1 - p$ is actually the greatest element $\Sigma_1(a)$ and $b = a^{-M}$ is the 1-natural generalized inverse of a . It is not in $\{a\}''$ in general.

5. The Banach Algebra Case

In this section, \mathcal{A} denotes a unital Banach algebra. For any $a \in \mathcal{A}$, we denote its spectrum by $\sigma(a)$ and its spectral radius by $r(a)$.

Recall that in a Banach algebra, an element is quasinilpotent if its spectrum reduces to 0, or equivalently if its spectral radius is 0, and quasipolar if 0 an isolated point of the spectrum. It is known [10] that these notions coincide with their ring counterpart, and also with the quasi-quasi notion (for instance, $\sigma(a) = \{0_{\mathbb{C}}\}$ if and only if a is quasinilpotent in the ring sense if and only if a is quasi-quasinilpotent in the ring sense).

Corollary 4. *Let $a \in \mathcal{A}$. If 0 is an isolated point of the spectrum of a , then a is naturally invertible.*

Proof. If 0 is an isolated point of the spectrum of a , then a is quasi-quasipolar in the Banach sense, hence it is quasi-quasipolar ring sense. We then apply Theorem 8.

We now investigate the link between $\Sigma_i(a), i = 1, 2$ and $\sigma(a)$.

Theorem 9. *Let \mathcal{A} be a unital Banach algebra, $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Then*

1. $\sigma(a) = \{0_{\mathbb{C}}\} \Rightarrow \Sigma_1(a) = \{0\}$.
2. $\Sigma_2(a) = \{0\} \Rightarrow \sigma(a)$ is connected and contains $0_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Proof.

1. If the spectrum of a reduces to 0, then its spectral radius is equal to 0. Let $e \in \Sigma_1(a)$. Then $e = aa^{-e} = a^{-e}a$. We get

$$\|e\|_n^{\frac{1}{n}} = \|e^n\|_n^{\frac{1}{n}} = \|a^n(a^{-e})^n\|_n^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq \|a^n\|_n^{\frac{1}{n}} \|(a^{-e})\| \rightarrow 0$$

and $\|e\| = 0$.

2. If a is invertible, then $1 \in \Sigma_2(a)$. Hence assume $\sigma(a)$ contains 0 but is not connected. Then $\sigma(a) = C_0 \cup C_1$ with $0 \in C_0$ and C_0, C_1 disjoint and open and closed in $\sigma(a)$. Then the holomorphic calculus for $f(z) = \frac{1}{z}$ on U open set containing C_1 and 0 outside U , such that U contains an open neighbourhood of C_0 , defines an element $x = f(a)$ of $\{a\}''$ such that $ax = xa = e$ is idempotent and non zero, and $\Sigma_2(a)$ does not reduce to $\{0\}$.

Now, we consider three different (commutative) Banach algebras to show that we cannot do better in the theorem, nor define natural invertibility in terms of the spectrum.

- Consider the Banach algebra $\mathcal{A} = C_0([0, 1])$ of continuous functions on $[0, 1]$, and let $a(t) = t$. Then $\sigma(a) = [0, 1]$ and $\Sigma(a) = \{0\}$. a is naturally invertible with $b = 0$.
- Consider the Banach algebra $\mathcal{A} = C_0([0, 1] \cup [2, 3])$ of continuous functions on $[0, 1] \cup [2, 3]$, and let $a(t) = t, 0 \leq t \leq 1$ and $a(t) = t - 1, 2 \leq t \leq 3$. Then $\sigma(a) = [0, 2]$ and $\Sigma(a) = \{\mathbf{1}_{[2,3]}\}$. a is naturally invertible with $b(t) = 0, 0 \leq t \leq 1$ and $b(t) = \frac{1}{t-1}, 2 \leq t \leq 3$.
- Consider now the Banach algebra $\mathcal{A} = L^\infty([0, 1])$ of essentially bounded measurable functions on $[0, 1]$, and let $a(t) = t$. Then $\sigma(a) = [0, 1]$ and $\Sigma(a) = \{\mathbf{1}_A, \exists 0 < c \leq 1, \lambda(A \cap [0, c]) = 0\}$. This set admits no maximal element, hence $a(t) = t$ is not naturally invertible.

It appears that natural invertibility is strongly linked with the nature of the structure space (or spectrum) of the whole commutative Banach algebra $\mathcal{B} = \{a\}''$, independently of the nature of the spectrum of the element a . Obviously, if the spectrum of $\{a\}''$ is not connected, then Shilov's idempotent theorem gives the existence of a nontrivial idempotent. This idempotent needs not to be in $\Sigma(a)$.

Next theorem uses the generalized spectral theory of Hile and Pfaffenberger [14, 15] and the associated functional calculus to construct elements in $\Sigma_j(a), j = 1, 2$. The construction is similar to the case of a disconnected spectrum, but instead of using $\sigma(a)$ (that can be connected), we use the generalized spectrum of Hile and Pfaffenberger. If $a, q \in \mathcal{A}$, then the spectrum of a relative to q , or q -spectrum of a $\sigma_q(a)$, is the set of points z such that $a - z.1 - \bar{z}q$ is not invertible in A .

Theorem 10. *Let $a, q \in \mathcal{A}$, with $\sigma(a)$ connected set that contains 0. Assume $\sigma(q) \cap \mathbb{T} = \emptyset$, where \mathbb{T} is the unit circle, and $\sigma_q(a)$ is not connected. Then*

1. if $q \in \{a\}'$, $\Sigma_1(a)$ is not empty;
2. if $q \in \{a\}''$, $\Sigma_2(a)$ is not empty.

Proof. This is a consequence of Theorem 12 in [14]. Indeed, since a is not invertible, 0 is in the q spectrum of a . Since $\sigma_q(a)$ is not connected, we can find a closed rectifiable curve Γ in the q resolvent such that 0 is in its exterior and its interior contains elements of $\sigma_q(a)$ (a component of $\sigma_q(a)$ that does not contains 0). Choosing $z = 0$ in equation 4.3 gives an idempotent $p \leq_{\mathcal{R}} a$. The rest follows from commutation properties.

6. Operators

Finally, we apply the previous results to the operator algebra $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}(X)$ of bounded operators on a Banach space X .

6.1. Local Spectral Theory

In the operator case, we can improve somehow the results of the previous section. Let X be a Banach space and $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$. $T(X)$, or $R(T)$ denotes its range, $N(T)$ its kernel. We use ideas from local spectral theory [21, 20, 1, 12] and define the following sets:

Definition 6.

- The hyperrange of T is the linear space $T^\infty(X) = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} T^n(X)$;
- The hyperkernel of T is the linear space $N^\infty(T) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} N(T^n)$;
- The quasinilpotent part (or transfinite kernel) of T is the linear space $H_0(T) = \{x \in X, \|T^n x\|^{\frac{1}{n}} \rightarrow 0\}$;
- The algebraic core $C(T)$ of T is the largest subspace such that $T(M) = M$;
- The analytic core (or transfinite range) $K(T)$ of T consists of all vectors $x_0 \in X$ for which there exist a sequence $x_n \in X$ such that $T x_n = x_{n-1}$ and exists $c > 0, \|x_n\| \leq c^n \|x_0\|$.

The algebraic core can also be defined as follows : $C(T)$ consists of all vectors $x_0 \in X$ for which there exist a sequence $x_n \in X$ such that $T x_n = x_{n-1}$. We then have the following inclusions :

$$K(T) \subset C(T) \subset T^\infty(X), \quad N^\infty(T) \subset H_0(T).$$

In [12], it is proved that for a bounded operator T , the analytic core corresponds to the holomorphic range $\{\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} (T - zI)f(z), f \in \text{Holo}(0, X)\}$, and that the intersection of the analytic core with $N(T)$ is the holomorphic kernel of T $\{g(0), (T - zI)g(z) = 0, g \in \text{Holo}(0, X)\}$.

We have the following relations:

Proposition 3. *Let $P \in \Sigma_1(T)$. Then $P(X) \subset K(T)$ and $H_0(T) \subset N(P)$.*

Proof. Let $P \in \Sigma_1(T)$. Then $P = TT^{-P}P = TT^{-P} = T^{-P}T$.
 Let $x_0 \in P(X)$, and for all $n > 0$, pose $x_n = (T^{-P})^n x_0$. Then
 $Tx_n = T(T^{-P})^n x_0 = P(T^{-P})^{n-1} x_0 = (T^{-P})^{n-1} P x_0 = (T^{-P})^{n-1} x_0 = x_{n-1}$. Also
 $\|x_n\| \leq \|T^{-P}\|^n \|x_0\|$, hence $x_0 \in K(T)$.
 Let now $x \in H_0(T)$. Then $P(x) = T^{-P}T(x) = (T^{-P})^n T^n(x)$ for all $n > 0$ and

$$\|P(x)\|^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq \|(T^{-P})^n\|^{\frac{1}{n}} \|T^n(x)\|^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq \|(T^{-P})\| \|T^n(x)\|^{\frac{1}{n}} \rightarrow 0$$

and $P(x) = 0$.

Corollary 5.

$$K(T) = \{0\} \Rightarrow \Sigma_1(T) = \{0\}; \quad \overline{H_0(T)} = X \Rightarrow \Sigma_1(T) = \{0\}.$$

Obviously, the existence of a greatest element in $\Sigma_2(T)$ is guaranteed by a decomposition of the form $X = H_0(T) \oplus K(T)$, with both subspaces closed (choose P the associated projection on $K(T)$). But such a decomposition occurs only for quasipolar elements:

Theorem 11. [21, Theorem 1.6] *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$. Then 0 is an isolated point of the spectrum if and only if $H_0(T)$, $K(T)$ are closed and $X = H_0(T) \oplus K(T)$.*

Theorem 12. *Assume $K(T)$ is closed and complemented with complement N hyperinvariant, and $N(T) \cap K(T) = \{0\}$. Then T is naturally invertible with greatest idempotent the projection on $K(T)$ parallel to N .*

Proof. Let $X = K(T) \oplus N$ and M the idempotent of the theorem. First, we must prove that $M \in \Sigma_2(T)$. Since $K(T)$ and N are hyperinvariant, we only have to prove that $M \leq_{\mathcal{H}} T$. Consider $T|_{K(T)} : K(T) \rightarrow K(T)$ the restriction of T to $K(T)$. $T|_{K(T)}$ is well defined since $T(K(T)) \subset K(T)$, and surjective since $T(K(T)) = K(T)$. But from the hypothesis $N(T) \subset N$ it is also injective, hence invertible and exists S bounded operator, $TS = ST = M$.
 Let now P be and idempotent in $\Sigma_2(T)$. Then $P(X) \subset K(T)$ from Proposition 3, hence $P(X) \subset M(X)$. It follows that $PMP = P$ and by commutation ($\Sigma_2(T)$ is a commutative semigroup), $PM = MP = PMP = P$ and M is the greatest element of $\Sigma_2(T)$.

By the results of Harte [12], $N(T) \cap K(T)$ is the holomorphic kernel of T , and it reduces to 0 precisely when T has the single valued extension property (SVEP) at 0 (Theorem 9 p. 180). We get the following corollary.

Corollary 6. *Let T be a bounded operator on X with the SVEP at 0. If $K(T)$ is closed and hyperinvariantly complemented, then T is naturally invertible.*

As a final result, we investigate the range of the core of a naturally invertible element:

Proposition 4. *Let T be naturally invertible with natural inverse B , greatest idempotent $M = TB = BT$ and core $TM = TBT$. Then $K_v(T) = TM(X)$ is a closed, hyperinvariant, complemented (with hyperinvariant complement) subspace of the analytic core $K(T)$, and $TK_v(T) = K_v(T)$.*

Proof. By commutation, $TM(X) \subset M(X)$. But also $M(X) = M^2(X) = BTM(X) \subset TM(X)$ and the two subspaces are equal. The other properties follow.

6.2. Miscellaneous

In this last section we give examples and results relative to natural invertibility.

THE SHIFT OPERATOR

Let S be the shift operator on $l^2(\mathbb{N})$. Then S is not quasinilpotent, but its hyperrange reduces to 0. As a consequence, $\Sigma_1(S) = \{0\}$. The spectrum of S is the unit disk.

STRONGLY IRREDUCIBLE OPERATORS

In 1972, F. Gilfeather [8] introduced the concept of strongly irreducible operator. A bounded linear operator T is said to be strongly irreducible, if there exists no non-trivial idempotent p in the commutant of T . This concept actually coincides with the concept of Banach irreducible operator (a bounded linear operator T is said to be Banach irreducible, if T can not be written as a direct sum of two bounded linear operators). It is clear that strongly irreducible operators satisfy $\Sigma_1(T) = \{0\}$.

Also, the following spectral result is due to Herrero and Jiang [13]:

Theorem 13. $\sigma(T)$ is connected if and only if T is in the norm closure of strongly irreducible operators.

ROSENBLUM'S COROLLARY, COMMUTANT AND BICOMMUTANT

Let $T = \begin{pmatrix} X & 0 \\ 0 & Y \end{pmatrix}$ be the a decomposition of T with X invertible and $M = \begin{pmatrix} XX^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ the greatest element of $\Sigma_1(T)$. If $\sigma(X) \cap \sigma(Y) = \{0\}$, then by Rosenblum's corollary [see 23], $\begin{pmatrix} X & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & Y \end{pmatrix} \in \{T\}''$ and $T = \begin{pmatrix} X & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & Y \end{pmatrix}$ is the natural core decomposition of T , with $M = \begin{pmatrix} XX^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ the greatest element of $\Sigma_2(T)$. This is the case for instance when Y is quasinilpotent.

References

- [1] P Aiena. Fredholm and Local Spectral Theory, with Applications to Multipliers, Kluwer Academic Publishers 2004.
- [2] A Ben Israel and T Greville. Generalized Inverses, Theory and Applications, 2nd Edition, Springer 2003.
- [3] M Dorofeeva. Hereditary and semi-hereditary monoids, Semigroup Forum 4, 301–311. 1972.

- [4] M Drazin. *Pseudo-Inverses in Associative Rings and Semigroups*, Am. Math. Monthly **65**, no. 7, 506–514. 1958.
- [5] M Drazin. *A class of outer generalized inverses*, Linear Algebra Appl. **436**, no. 7, 1909–1923. 2012.
- [6] J Fountain. *Right PP monoids with central idempotents*, Semigroup Forum **13**, no. 3, 229–237. 1977.
- [7] J Fountain. *Abundant semigroups*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **3**, no. 1, 103–129. 1982.
- [8] F Gilfeather. *Strong reducibility of operators*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **22**, 393–397. 1972.
- [9] J Green. *On the structure of semigroups*, Ann. of Math. **54**, no. 1, 163–172. 1951.
- [10] R Harte. *On quasinilpotents in rings*, Panamer. Math. J. **1**, 10–16. 1991.
- [11] D Kitson and R Harte. *On Browder tuples*, Acta Sci. Math. **75**, no. 3–4, 665–677. 2009.
- [12] R Harte. *On local spectral theory*, Recent advances in operator theory and applications 175–183, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., **187**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2009.
- [13] D Herrero and C Jiang. *Limits of strongly irreducible operators, and the Riesz decomposition theorem*, Michigan Math. J. **37**, no. 2, 283–291. 1990.
- [14] G Hile and W Pfaffenberger. *Generalized spectral theory in complex Banach algebras*, Canad. J. Math. **37**, no. 6, 1211–1236. 1985.
- [15] G Hile and W Pfaffenberger. *Idempotents in complex Banach algebras*, Canad. J. Math. **39**, no. 3, 625–630. 1987.
- [16] J Koliha. *A generalized Drazin inverse*, Glasgow Math. J. **38**, no. 3, 367–381. 1996.
- [17] J Koliha and P Patricio. *Elements of rings with equal spectral idempotents*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. **72**, 137–152. 2002.
- [18] X Mary. *On generalized inverses and Green's relations*, Linear Algebra Appl. **434**, no. 8, 1836–1844. 2011.
- [19] X Mary and P Patricio. *Generalized invertibility modulo \mathcal{H} in semigroups and rings*, to appear.
- [20] M Gonzalez, M Mbekhta and M Oudghiri. *On the isolated points of the surjective spectrum of a bounded operator*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **136**, no. 10, 3521–3528. 2008.
- [21] M Mbekhta. *Généralisation de la décomposition de Kato aux opérateurs paranormaux et spectraux*, Glasgow Math. J. **29**, no. 2, 159–175. 1987.
- [22] D Miller and A Clifford. *Regular \mathcal{D} -Classes in Semigroups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **82**, no. 1, 270–280. 1956.
- [23] H Radjavi and P Rosenthal. *Invariant Subspaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1973.