



A Family of Convolution Operators for Multivalent Analytic Functions

Janusz Sokół^{1,*}, Khalida Inayat Noor², Hari Mohan Srivastava³

¹ Department of Mathematics, Rzeszów University of Technology, Al. Powstańców Warszawy 12, 35-959 Rzeszów, Poland

² Department of Mathematics, COMSATS Institute of Information Technology, Park Road, Islamabad, Pakistan

³ Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Victoria, Victoria, British Columbia V8W 3R4, Canada

Abstract. In this paper, we consider a family of multiplier transformations and several subclasses of multivalent functions which are defined by means of convolution. Several interesting results are derived. Some (known or new) special cases of the multivalent function classes, which are investigated here, are also discussed.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classifications: 30C45; 30C80, 33C05, 33C20

Key Words and Phrases: Univalent functions, Convex functions, Starlike functions, Subordination between analytic functions, Hadamard product (or convolution), Gauss and generalized hypergeometric functions

1. Introduction and Definitions

Let $\mathcal{A}(p)$ denote the class of functions of the following form:

$$f(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{p+n} z^{p+n} \quad (p \in \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}), \quad (1)$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk

$$\mathbb{U} = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\}.$$

*Corresponding author.

Email addresses: jsokol@prz.edu.pl (J. Sokół), khalidanoor@hotmail.com (K. Noor), harimsri@math.uvic.ca (H. M. Srivastava)

Let $f, g \in \mathcal{A}(p)$, f be given by (1) and

$$g(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{p+n} z^{p+n}. \tag{2}$$

Then the Hadamard product (or convolution) of f and g is defined by

$$(f * g)(z) := z^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{p+n} b_{p+n} z^{p+n} =: (g * f)(z) \quad (p \in \mathbb{N}). \tag{3}$$

Also, if f and g are analytic in \mathbb{U} , we say that f is subordinate to g in \mathbb{U} , and we write

$$f \prec g \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \tag{4}$$

if there exists a Schwarz function w such that

$$f(z) = g(w(z)) \quad \text{and} \quad |w(z)| \leq |z| \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

We now define a linear operator $L_k^c : \mathcal{A}(p) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(p)$ as follows: let the linear operator L_0

$$L_0 : \mathcal{A}(p) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(p) \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}; c \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}) \tag{5}$$

be given and

$$cL_k^c f(z) = z(L_{k-1}^c f(z))' + (c - p)L_{k-1}^c f(z) \tag{6}$$

with

$$L_0^c := L_0. \tag{7}$$

It can easily be seen from (6) that the operator L_k^c is linear and it satisfies the following property:

$$L_0^c f(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{p+n} z^{p+n}, \tag{8}$$

which implies that

$$L_k^c f(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + n/c)^k A_{p+n} z^{p+n}. \tag{9}$$

We also have

$$cL_1^c f = z(L_0^c f)' + (c - p)L_0^c f, \tag{10}$$

$$cL_k^c f = z^{p+1}(z^{-p}L_{k-1}^c f)' + cL_{k-1}^c f \tag{11}$$

and

$$\frac{L_k^c f}{z^p} = \frac{z}{c} \left(\frac{L_{k-1}^c f}{z^p} \right)' + \frac{L_{k-1}^c f}{z^p}. \tag{12}$$

By appropriately choosing L_k^c given by (8), we obtain several applications studied by various earlier authors (see, for example, [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 14, 9, 10, 11, 15, 20]; see also [13, 17, 18, 21]). We now define the following analytic function class.

Definition 1. Let q and h be analytic in \mathbb{U} . Also let the function h be convex univalent in \mathbb{U} with $h(0) = q(0) = 1$. Then $q \in \mathcal{P}(h)$ if and only if

$$q(z) \prec h(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \tag{13}$$

Some well-known examples of the convex function h are listed below.

(i) If

$$h(z) = \frac{1 + (1 - 2\alpha)z}{1 - z} \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq \alpha < 1,$$

then

$$\Re(h(z)) > \alpha \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}; 0 \leq \alpha < 1).$$

(ii) If

$$h(0) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad h(z) = \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^\beta \quad (0 < \beta < 1),$$

then

$$|\arg(h(z))| < \frac{\beta\pi}{2} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

(iii) Let

$$h(z) = \frac{M(1+z)}{M+(1-M)z} \quad \left(M > \frac{1}{2}\right).$$

Also

$$h(\mathbb{U}) = \{w : |w - M| < M\}.$$

(iv) If

$$h(z) = \sqrt{z+1} \quad \text{and} \quad \Re(\sqrt{z+1}) \geq 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

then $h(\mathbb{U})$ is the interior of the right part of the Bernoulli lemniscate [see 1].

(v) If

$$h(z) = 1 + \frac{2}{\pi^2} \left[\log \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{z}}{1 - \sqrt{z}} \right) \right]^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \Im(\sqrt{z}) > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

then $h(\mathbb{U})$ is the interior of the parabola given by

$$\{w : [\Im(w)]^2 = 2\Re(w) - 1\}.$$

Definition 2. Let L_0 be a linear operator on $\mathcal{A}(p)$ and let L_k^c be given by (6). Then, for $\lambda \geq 0$, a function $f \in \mathcal{A}(p)$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{S}_k^c(p, \lambda; h)$ if and only if

$$\left((1 - \lambda) \frac{L_k^c f(z)}{z^p} + \lambda \frac{L_{k+1}^c f(z)}{z^p} \right) \in \mathcal{P}(h). \tag{14}$$

2. Preliminary Results

We need each of the following lemmas in our present investigation.

Lemma 1 (see [7] and [12]). *Let h be an analytic and convex univalent function in \mathbb{U} . Let the function f be analytic in \mathbb{U} with $h(0) = f(0) = 1$. If*

$$f(z) + \frac{zf'(z)}{\gamma} \prec h(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}; \Re(\gamma) \geq 0; \gamma \neq 0), \tag{15}$$

then

$$f(z) \prec g(z) = \frac{\gamma}{z^\gamma} \int_0^z t^{\gamma-1} h(t) dt \prec h(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Moreover, the function g is convex univalent in \mathbb{U} and it is the best dominant of the subordination (15) in the sense that in the sense that $f \prec g$ for all f satisfying (15), and if there exists q such that $f \prec q$ for all f satisfying (15), then $g \prec q$.

Lemma 2 (see [19]). *Let the functions q and h be analytic in \mathbb{U} with $q(0) = 1$. Suppose also that*

$$\Re(q(z)) > \frac{1}{2} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Then

$$(q * h)(\mathbb{U}) \subset \text{co} \{h(\mathbb{U})\},$$

where $\text{co} \{h(\mathbb{U})\}$ is the convex hull of $h(\mathbb{U})$.

Lemma 3 (see [16]). *Let*

$$f(z) \prec F(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}) \quad \text{and} \quad g(z) \prec G(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

If the functions F and G are convex in \mathbb{U} , then

$$(f * g)(z) \prec (F * G)(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Unless otherwise stated, we shall assume throughout this paper that

$$\lambda \geq 0, \quad c \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, \quad \Re(c) > 0, \quad k, p \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \text{and} \quad z \in \mathbb{U}.$$

3. Main Results

Our first main result in this paper is contained in Theorem 1 below.

Theorem 1. *If the function f belongs to the class $\mathcal{S}_k^c(p, \lambda; h)$, then*

$$\frac{I_k^c f(z)}{z^p} \in \mathcal{D}(h).$$

Moreover, if $\lambda > 0$, then

$$\frac{L_k^c f(z)}{z^p} \in \mathcal{P}(g), \tag{16}$$

where

$$g(z) = \frac{c}{\lambda} z^{-\frac{c}{\lambda}} \int_0^z t^{\frac{c}{\lambda}-1} h(t) dt \prec h(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \tag{17}$$

the function g is convex univalent in \mathbb{U} and g is the best dominant of the subordination

$$\frac{L_k^c f(z)}{z^p} \prec g \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Proof. The proof for the case when $\lambda = 0$ is trivial. We, therefore, suppose that $\lambda > 0$. Let

$$f \in \mathcal{S}_k^c(p, \lambda; h). \tag{18}$$

Then, by (12), we have

$$(1 - \lambda) \frac{L_k^c f(z)}{z^p} + \lambda \frac{L_{k+1}^c f(z)}{z^p} = \frac{L_k^c f(z)}{z^p} + \frac{\lambda z}{c} \left(\frac{L_k^c f(z)}{z^p} \right)' \in \mathcal{P}(h). \tag{19}$$

Let the function $H(z)$ be given by

$$H(z) := \frac{L_k^c f(z)}{z^p} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \tag{20}$$

Then, by (19), it follows that

$$\left(H(z) + \frac{\lambda}{c} z H'(z) \right) \in \mathcal{P}(h)$$

and

$$\left(H(z) + \frac{\lambda}{c} z H'(z) \right) \prec h(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \tag{21}$$

Now, using Lemma 1 in (21) with

$$\gamma = \frac{c}{\lambda} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda > 0, \tag{22}$$

we obtain (17). This shows that $H \in \mathcal{P}(g)$, where the function g is given by (17). Consequently, the proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

We take

$$L_0 f(z) = f(z) * \phi(a, c, z), \tag{23}$$

where

$$\phi(a, c, z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n}{(c)_n} z^{p+n} \quad (c \neq 0, -1, -2, -3, \dots; z \in \mathbb{U})$$

and $(\lambda)_n$ is the Pochhammer symbol defined, in terms of the familiar Gamma function, by

$$(\lambda)_n = \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + n)}{\Gamma(\lambda)} = \begin{cases} 1 & (n = 0; \lambda \neq 0), \\ \lambda(\lambda + 1)\dots(\lambda + n - 1) & (n \in \mathbb{N}), \end{cases}$$

it being understood *conventionally* that $(0)_0 := 1$. We also let

$$h(z) = \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (-1 \leq B < A \leq 1). \tag{24}$$

Then, by applying Theorem 1, we obtain the subordination (17) with

$$g(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{A}{B} + \left(1 - \frac{A}{B}\right) (1 + Bz)_2^{-1} F_1 \left(1, 1; \frac{c-1}{\lambda} + 1; \frac{Bz}{Bz + 1}\right) & (B \neq 0)' \\ 1 - \left(\frac{c-1}{c-1 + \lambda}\right) Az & (B = 0), \end{cases}$$

where ${}_2F_1$ is the Gauss hypergeometric function defined by

$${}_2F_1(\alpha, \beta; \gamma; z) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha)_n (\beta)_n}{(\gamma)_n} \frac{z^n}{n!} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}; \gamma \neq 0, -1, -2, -3, \dots). \tag{25}$$

Theorem 2. *Let $0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2$. Then*

$$\mathcal{S}_k^c(p, \lambda_2; h) \subset \mathcal{S}_k^c(p, \lambda_1; h). \tag{26}$$

Proof. Suppose that $f \in \mathcal{S}_k^c(p, \lambda_2; h)$. A simple computation will then yield

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \lambda_1) \frac{L_k^c f(z)}{z^p} + \lambda_1 \frac{L_{k+1}^c f(z)}{z^p} \\ = \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2}\right) \frac{L_k^c f(z)}{z^p} + \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} \left((1 - \lambda_2) \frac{L_k^c f(z)}{z^p} + \lambda_2 \frac{L_{k+1}^c f(z)}{z^p} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

It can now be easily shown that the class $\mathcal{P}(h)$ is a convex set. We can write (27) as follows:

$$(1 - \lambda_1) \frac{L_k^c f(z)}{z^p} + \lambda_1 \frac{L_{k+1}^c f(z)}{z^p} = \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2}\right) h_1(z) + \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} h_2(z) = \psi(z), \tag{28}$$

where $h_1 \in \mathcal{P}(h)$, by Theorem 1, and $h_2 \in \mathcal{P}(h)$, since $f \in \mathcal{S}_k^c(p, \lambda_2; h)$. We thus find that $\psi \in \mathcal{P}(h)$. Consequently, $f \in \mathcal{S}_k^c(p, \lambda_1; h)$. This proves Theorem 2.

Theorem 3. *The following inclusion relationship holds true:*

$$\mathcal{S}_k^c(p, \lambda; h) \subset \mathcal{S}_{k-1}^c(p, \lambda; h). \tag{29}$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}_k^c(p, \lambda; h)$ and suppose that

$$\left((1 - \lambda) \frac{L_{k-1}^c f(z)}{z^p} + \lambda \operatorname{rac} L_k^c f(z) z^p \right) = H(z).$$

Then, from (12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left((1 - \lambda) \frac{L_{k-1}^c f(z)}{z^p} + \lambda \frac{L_k^c f(z)}{z^p} \right) + \frac{z}{c} \left((1 - \lambda) \frac{L_{k-1}^c f(z)}{z^p} + \lambda \frac{L_k^c f(z)}{z^p} \right)' \\ &= H(z) + \frac{1}{c} z H'(z) \\ &= (1 - \lambda) \left[\frac{L_{k-1}^c f(z)}{z^p} + \frac{z}{c} \left(\frac{L_{k-1}^c f(z)}{z^p} \right)' \right] \\ & \quad + \lambda \left[\frac{L_k^c f(z)}{z^p} + \frac{z}{c} \left(\frac{L_k^c f(z)}{z^p} \right)' \right] \\ &= \left((1 - \lambda) \frac{L_k^c f(z)}{z^p} + \lambda \frac{L_{k+1}^c f(z)}{z^p} \right) \in \mathcal{P}(h). \end{aligned}$$

We thus find that

$$\left(H(z) + \frac{1}{c} z H'(z) \right) \prec h(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \tag{30}$$

By applying Lemma 1, it follows that

$$H(z) \prec \frac{c}{z^c} \int_0^z t^{c-1} h(t) dt \prec h(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

which shows that $H \in \mathcal{P}(h)$. Consequently, we have

$$\left((1 - \lambda) \frac{L_{k-1}^c f(z)}{z^p} + \lambda \frac{L_k^c f(z)}{z^p} \right) \in \mathcal{P}(h). \tag{31}$$

This evidently proves that $f \in \mathcal{S}_{k-1}^c(p, \lambda; h)$.

Corollary 1. For $\Re(c) > 0$, let $f \in \mathcal{S}_k^c(p, \lambda; h)$. Then

$$\frac{L_s^c f(z)}{z^p} \in \mathcal{P}(h) \quad (s \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, k\}). \tag{32}$$

Proof. We can readily deduce the assertion (32) of the above Corollary from the assertion (17) of Theorem 1. The details involved are being omitted here.

In order to get the convolution results of the multivalent analytic function class $\mathcal{S}_k^c(p, \lambda; h)$, it is necessary to put the following restrictions on the operator L_k^c :

$$L_k^c(f * g) = (L_k^c f) * g = f * (L_k^c g), \tag{33}$$

where $f, g \in \mathcal{S}_k^c(p, \lambda; h)$ ($k \in \mathbb{N}$). We now prove our next result contained in Theorem 4 below.

Theorem 4. Let the operator L_k^c satisfy the condition (33). If $f_j \in \mathcal{S}_k^c(p, \lambda; h_j)$ ($j = 1, 2$), then each of the following inclusion relationships holds true:

$$G(z) = (1 - \lambda)L_k^c(f_1 * f_2)(z) + \lambda L_{k+1}^c(f_1 * f_2)(z) \in \mathcal{S}_k^c(p, \lambda, h_1 * h_2), \tag{34}$$

$$L_k^c(f_1 * f_2)(z) \in \mathcal{S}_k^c(p, \lambda; h_1 * h_2) \tag{35}$$

and

$$\frac{L_k^c [L_k^c(f_1 * f_2)(z)]}{z^p} \in \mathcal{P}(h_1 * h_2). \tag{36}$$

Proof. Since

$$f_1 \in \mathcal{S}_k^c(p, \lambda; h_1) \quad \text{and} \quad f_2 \in \mathcal{S}_k^c(p, \lambda; h_2), \tag{37}$$

it follows that

$$\left((1 - \lambda) \frac{L_k^c f_1(z)}{z^p} + \lambda \frac{L_{k+1}^c f_1(z)}{z^p} \right) \in \mathcal{P}(h_1) \tag{38}$$

and

$$\left((1 - \lambda) \frac{L_k^c f_2(z)}{z^p} + \lambda \frac{L_{k+1}^c f_2(z)}{z^p} \right) \in \mathcal{P}(h_2). \tag{39}$$

Also, from (38), (39) and Theorem 1, we have

$$\frac{L_k^c f_1(z)}{z^p} \in \mathcal{P}(h_1) \tag{40}$$

and

$$\frac{L_k^c f_2(z)}{z^p} \in \mathcal{P}(h_2). \tag{41}$$

Thus, by making use of (33), (38), (39) and Lemma 3, in conjunction with the technique used before, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - \lambda) \frac{L_k^c [(1 - \lambda)L_k^c(f_1 * f_2)(z) + \lambda L_{k+1}^c(f_1 * f_2)(z)]}{z^p} \\ & + \lambda \frac{L_{k+1}^c [(1 - \lambda)L_k^c(f_1 * f_2)(z) + \lambda L_{k+1}^c(f_1 * f_2)(z)]}{z^p} \\ & = \left((1 - \lambda) \frac{L_k^c g(z)}{z^p} + \lambda \frac{L_{k+1}^c g(z)}{z^p} \right) \in \mathcal{P}(h_1 * h_2), \end{aligned}$$

that is, $G \in \mathcal{S}_k^c(p, \lambda; h_1 * h_2)$. This proves the first assertion (34) of Theorem 4. In order to demonstrate the second assertion (35) of Theorem 4, we again proceed in a similar manner and apply Lemma 3 to (38) and (41). We thus obtain

$$\left((1 - \lambda) \frac{L_k^c [L_k^c(f_1 * f_2)(z)]}{z^p} + \lambda \frac{L_{k+1}^c [L_k^c(f_1 * f_2)(z)]}{z^p} \right) \in \mathcal{P}(h_1 * h_2), \tag{42}$$

which clearly implies (35). Finally, from (42) and Theorem 1, we obtain the third assertion (36) of Theorem 4.

As a special case of Theorem 4, we obtain a result proved in [11] (where $c = c_1$ and $k = 0$) for

$$h_j(z) = \frac{1 + A_j z}{1 + B_j z} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}, j = 1, 2)$$

and

$$L_k^c f(z) = f(z) * {}_qF_r(z),$$

where ${}_qF_r$ is the generalized hypergeometric function defined by (see also [4] and [5])

$${}_qF_r(z) = {}_qF_r(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_r; z) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_1)_n \dots (\alpha_q)_n}{(\beta_1)_n \dots (\beta_r)_n} \frac{z^n}{n!}$$

$$(q, r \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}; q \leq r + 1) \tag{43}$$

for complex parameters

$$\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_1, \dots, \beta_r \quad (\beta_j \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots; j = 1, \dots, r). \tag{44}$$

Theorem 5. *Let the operator L_k^c satisfy the condition (33). If $f \in \mathcal{S}_k^c(p, \lambda; h)$ and $q \in \mathcal{A}(p)$ with*

$$\Re \left(\frac{q(z)}{z^p} \right) \geq \frac{1}{2} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \tag{45}$$

then $f * q \in \mathcal{S}_k^c(p, \lambda; h)$.

Proof. By using the properties of convolution and (33), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - \lambda) \frac{L_k^c(f * q)(z)}{z^p} + \lambda \frac{L_{k+1}^c(f * q)(z)}{z^p} \\ &= \left((1 - \lambda) \frac{L_k^c f(z)}{z^p} + \lambda \frac{L_{k+1}^c f(z)}{z^p} \right) * \frac{q(z)}{z^p} \\ &= H(z) * \frac{q(z)}{z^p} \quad (H \in \mathcal{D}(h)). \end{aligned}$$

Now, by using Lemma 2, we get

$$\left(H(z) * \frac{q(z)}{z^p} \right) \in \mathcal{D}(h),$$

which implies that

$$\left((1 - \lambda) \frac{L_k^c(f * q)(z)}{z^p} + \lambda \frac{L_{k+1}^c(f * q)(z)}{z^p} \right) \in \mathcal{D}(h). \tag{46}$$

By means of (46), we have thus proved the assertion of Theorem 5 that

$$f * q \in \mathcal{S}_k^c(p, \lambda; h). \tag{47}$$

References

- [1] R. M. Ali, N. K. Jain and V. Ravichandran. Radii of starlikeness associated with the lemniscate of Bernoulli and the left-half plane. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 218:6557–6565, 2012.
- [2] B. C. Carlson and D. B. Shaffer. Starlike and prestarlike hypergeometric functions. *SIAM Journal of Mathematical Analysis*, 15:737–745, 1984.
- [3] N. E. Cho, O. S. Kwon and H. M. Srivastava. Inclusion relationships and argument properties for certain subclasses of multivalent functions associated with a family of linear operators. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 292:470–483, 2004.
- [4] J. Dziok and H. M. Srivastava. Classes of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 103:1–13, 1999.
- [5] J. Dziok and H. M. Srivastava. Certain subclasses of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function. *Integral Transforms and Special Functions*, 14:7–18, 2003.
- [6] S. Fukui, J. A. Kim and H. M. Srivastava. On certain subclasses of univalent functions by some integral operators. *Mathematica Japonica*, 50:359–370, 1999.
- [7] D. I. Hallenbeck and S. Ruscheweyh. Subordination by convex functions. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 52:191–195, 1975.
- [8] A. Y. Lashin. On certain subclasses of meromorphic functions associated with certain operators. *Computers and Mathematics and Applications*, 59:524–531, 2010.
- [9] J.-L. Liu and H. M. Srivastava. A linear operator and associated families of meromorphically multivalent functions. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 259:566–581, 2001.
- [10] J.-L. Liu and H. M. Srivastava. Classes of meromorphically multivalent Functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function. *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, 39:21–34, 2004.
- [11] J.-L. Liu and H. M. Srivastava. Certain properties of the Dziok-Srivastava operator. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 159:485–493, 2004.
- [12] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu. Differential subordinations and univalent functions. *Michigan Mathematical Journal*, 28:157–171, 1981.
- [13] M. L. Morga. On a class of univalent functions whose derivatives have a positive real part. *Rivista di Matematica della Universita di Parma*, (Ser. 5)7:163–172, 1981.
- [14] K. I. Noor. Some classes of p -valent analytic functions defined by certain integral operator. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 157:835–840, 2004.

- [15] J. Patel and P. Sahoo. Properties of a class of multivalent analytic functions, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*. 46: 1633–1644, 2003.
- [16] S. Ruscheweyh and J. Stankiewicz. Subordination under convex univalent functions. *Bulletin de l'Academie Polonaise des Sciences. Serie des Sciences Mathematiques* , 33:499–502, 1985.
- [17] H. Saitoh. A linear operator and its applications of first order differential subordinations. *Mathematica Japonica*, 44:31–38, 1996.
- [18] H. Saitoh and M. Nunokawa. On certain subclasses of analytic functions involving a linear operator. *Sūrikaiseikikenkyūsho (RIMS) Kōkyūroku*, 936:97–109, 1996.
- [19] R. Singh and S. Singh. Convolution properties of a class of starlike functions. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 108:145–152, 1989.
- [20] N. S. Sohi. A class of p -valent analytic functions. *Indian Journal of Pure Applied Mathematics*, 10:826–834, 1979.
- [21] H. M. Srivastava and S. Owa (Editors). *Current Topics in Analytic Function Theory*. World Scientific Publishing Company, Singapore, New Jersey, London and Hong Kong, 1992.