



On Statistical and Ideal Convergence of Sequences of Bounded Linear Operators

Enno Kolk

Institute of Mathematics, University of Tartu, 50090 Tartu, Estonia

Abstract. Let (A_n) be a sequence of bounded linear operators from a separable Banach space X into a Banach space Y . Suppose that Φ is a countable fundamental set of X and the ideal \mathcal{I} of subsets of \mathbb{N} has property (AP). The sequence (A_n) is said to be $b^*\mathcal{I}$ -convergent if it is pointwise \mathcal{I} -convergent and there exists an index set K such that $\mathbb{N} \setminus K \in \mathcal{I}$ and $(A_k x)_{k \in K}$ is bounded for any $x \in X$. We prove that the sequence (A_n) is $b^*\mathcal{I}$ -convergent if and only if $(\|A_n\|)$ is \mathcal{I} -bounded and $(A_n \phi)$ is \mathcal{I} -convergent for any $\phi \in \Phi$. Applications of this Banach–Steinhaus type theorem are related to some sequence-to-sequence matrix transformations and to the weak \mathcal{I} -convergence in Banach spaces.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classifications: 40A35; 40C05, 40J05, 46B15, 46B45

Key Words and Phrases: Banach space, bounded linear operator, ideal, \mathcal{I} -convergence, \mathcal{I} -boundedness, matrix method of summability, sequence space, statistical convergence, weak \mathcal{I} -convergence, weak statistical convergence

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Let $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ and let X, Y be two normed spaces over the field \mathbb{K} of real numbers \mathbb{R} or complex numbers \mathbb{C} . A subset Φ of X is called fundamental if the linear span of Φ is dense in X . By $B(X, Y)$ we denote the space of all bounded linear operators from X into Y . As usual, the dual of X is defined by $X' = B(X, \mathbb{K})$. By $\omega(X)$ we denote the set of all X -valued sequences. We write \sup_n, \lim_n and \sum_n instead of $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty}$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$, respectively. By an *index set* we mean any infinite set $\{k_i\} \subset \mathbb{N}$ with $k_i < k_{i+1}$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $A_n \in B(X, Y)$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$). The following theorems of functional analysis are well known (see, for example, [11] or [17]).

Theorem 1 (Principle of uniform boundedness). *Let X be a Banach space. If $\sup_n \|A_n x\| < \infty$ for every $x \in X$, then*

$$\sup_n \|A_n\| < \infty. \quad (1)$$

Email address: enno.kolk@ut.ee

Theorem 2 (Banach–Steinhaus). *Let X, Y be two Banach spaces and let Φ be a fundamental set of X . The limit $\lim_n A_n x$ exists for any $x \in X$ if and only if (1) holds and $\lim_n A_n \phi$ exists for every $\phi \in \Phi$. Moreover, the limit operator $A_0, A_0 x = \lim_n A_n x$ is bounded and linear, i.e., $A_0 \in B(X, Y)$, and $\|A_0\| \leq \sup_n \|A_n\|$. If $A \in B(X, Y)$, then $\lim_n A_n x = Ax$ for any $x \in X$ if and only if (1) holds and $\lim_n A_n \phi = A\phi$ ($\phi \in \Phi$).*

The first idea of statistical convergence appeared, under the name of almost convergence, in the first edition (Warsaw, 1935) of the monograph [25] of Zygmund. Since 1951 when Fast [7] (see also [23] and [22]) introduced statistical convergence of number sequences in terms of asymptotic density of subsets of \mathbb{N} , several applications and generalizations of this notion have been investigated (for references see [4] and [6]). For instance, Maddox [20] and Kolk [13] considered the statistical convergence of sequences taking values in a locally convex space or a normed space, respectively. An another extension of statistical convergence is related to generalized densities.

Let $T = (t_{nk})$ be a non-negative regular matrix of scalars (i.e., $t_{nk} \geq 0$ ($n, k \in \mathbb{N}$) and $\lim_n \sum_k t_{nk} u_k = \lim_k u_k$ for any convergent scalar sequence (u_k)). A set $K \subset \mathbb{N}$ is said to have T -density $\delta_T(K)$ if the limit

$$\delta_T(K) = \lim_n \sum_{k \in K} t_{nk}$$

exists (cf. [9]).

A sequence $x = (x_k) \in \omega(X)$ is called T -statistically convergent to a point $l \in X$, briefly $st_T\text{-}\lim x_k = l$, if

$$\delta_T(\{k : \|x_k - l\| \geq \varepsilon\}) = 0$$

for every $\varepsilon > 0$ (see [3, Definition 7] and [14, p. 44]).

If T is the identity matrix I , then T -statistical convergence is just the ordinary convergence in X and if T is the Cesàro matrix C_1 , then T -statistical convergence is statistical convergence as defined by Fast [7].

A further extension of statistical convergence was given in [16] by means of ideals. Recall that a subfamily \mathcal{I} of the family $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ of all subsets of \mathbb{N} is called an *ideal* if for each $K, L \in \mathcal{I}$ we have $K \cup L \in \mathcal{I}$ and for each $K \in \mathcal{I}$ and each $L \subset K$ we have $L \in \mathcal{I}$. An ideal \mathcal{I} is called *non-trivial* if $\mathcal{I} \neq \emptyset$ and $\mathbb{N} \notin \mathcal{I}$. A non-trivial ideal \mathcal{I} is called *admissible* if \mathcal{I} contains all finite subsets of \mathbb{N} . Any non-trivial ideal \mathcal{I} defines a *filter*

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}) = \{K \subset \mathbb{N} : \mathbb{N} \setminus K \in \mathcal{I}\}.$$

For example,

$$\mathcal{I}_T = \{K \subset \mathbb{N} : \delta_T(K) = 0\}$$

is an admissible ideal and the \mathcal{I}_T -convergence coincides with the T -statistical convergence.

An admissible ideal $\mathcal{I} \subset 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ is said to have *property (AP)* if for every countable family of mutually disjoint sets K_1, K_2, \dots from \mathcal{I} there exist sets L_1, L_2, \dots from $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that the symmetric differences $K_i \Delta L_i$ ($i \in \mathbb{N}$) are finite and $L = \bigcup_i L_i \in \mathcal{I}$.

Remark 1 ([1], Proposition 1). *The property (AP) is equivalent to the property (P): for every countable family of sets K_1, K_2, \dots from \mathcal{I} there exist a set $K \in \mathcal{I}$ such that the differences $K_i \setminus K$ ($i \in \mathbb{N}$) are finite.*

A sequence $x = (x_k) \in \omega(X)$ is said to be \mathcal{I} -convergent to $l \in X$, briefly $\mathcal{I}\text{-}\lim_k x_k = l$, if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ the set $\{k \in \mathbb{N} : \|x_k - l\| \geq \varepsilon\}$ belongs to \mathcal{I} [16, Definition 3.1]. With the \mathcal{I} -convergence are closely related the following two notions. A sequence $x = (x_k) \in \omega(X)$ is said to be \mathcal{I}^* -convergent to $l \in X$, briefly $\mathcal{I}^*\text{-}\lim x_k = l$, if there exists an index set $K = (k_i)$ such that $K \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I})$ and $\lim_i x_{k_i} = l$ in X [16, Definition 3.2]). A sequence $x = (x_k) \in \omega(X)$ is said to be \mathcal{I} -bounded, briefly $x_k = O_{\mathcal{I}}(1)$, if there exists an index set $K = (k_i)$ such that $K \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I})$ and the sequence (k_i) is bounded in X (cf. [10]). In the special case $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}_T$ we write $O_{st_T}(1)$ instead of $O_{\mathcal{I}}(1)$.

We remark that the \mathcal{I}^* -convergence of number sequences was introduced already by Freedman [8] as \mathcal{I} -near convergence.

It is easy to see that \mathcal{I}^* -convergence implies \mathcal{I} -convergence and every \mathcal{I}^* -convergent sequence is \mathcal{I} -bounded.

The following characterization of \mathcal{I} -convergence is important for us.

Proposition 1 ([16, Theorem 3.2]). *If the ideal \mathcal{I} has property (AP), then $\mathcal{I}\text{-}\lim x_k = l$ in a Banach space X if and only if $\mathcal{I}^*\text{-}\lim x_k = l$.*

By $c_{\mathcal{I}}(X)$ we denote the set of all \mathcal{I} -convergent X -valued sequences. Let $\ell_{\infty}(X)$, $c(X)$ and $c_0(X)$ be the sets of all bounded, convergent and convergent to zero X -valued sequences, respectively. For $1 \leq p < \infty$ let $\ell_p(X)$ be the set of sequences $(x_k) \in \omega(X)$ such that $\sum_k \|x_k\|^p < \infty$.

Using Proposition 1 and Theorem 2, we proved in [15] the following Banach–Steinhaus type theorem for \mathcal{I} -convergence.

Theorem 3 ([15, Theorem 3]). *Let X and Y be two Banach spaces, where X has a countable fundamental set Φ . If the ideal \mathcal{I} has property (AP), then the sequence (A_n) is $b\mathcal{I}$ -convergent (i.e., $(A_n x) \in c_{\mathcal{I}}(Y) \cap \ell_{\infty}(Y)$ for any $x \in X$) if and only if (1) holds and $(A_n \phi)$ is \mathcal{I} -convergent for every $\phi \in \Phi$. Thereby, the limit operator A , $Ax = \mathcal{I}\text{-}\lim A_n x$, is bounded and linear, and $\|A\| \leq \sup_n \|A_n\|$.*

In this paper we introduce the notion of $b^*\mathcal{I}$ -convergence of sequences of bounded linear operators (A_n) and give an analogue of Theorem 3 by finding necessary and sufficient conditions for $b^*\mathcal{I}$ -convergence of such sequences (A_n) . As applications of this result we characterize infinite summability matrices $\mathfrak{A} = (A_{nk})$ of type $\mathfrak{A} : \lambda(X) \xrightarrow{b^*\mathcal{I}} c(Y)$ with $A_{nk} \in B(X, Y)$ ($n, k \in \mathbb{N}$) and $\lambda \in \{c, c_0, \ell_1\}$, also consider the weak $b^*\mathcal{I}$ -convergence in Banach spaces.

2. Main Theorems

In the following let X, Y be two Banach spaces, $A_n \in B(X, Y)$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) and let $\mathcal{I} \subset 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ be a non-trivial admissible ideal.

Recall that a sequence $(x_n) \in \omega(X)$ is said to be *weakly \mathcal{I} -convergent* (*weakly T -statistically convergent*) to a point $l \in X$ if $\mathcal{I}\text{-}\lim x'(x_n) = x'(l)$ ($st_T\text{-}\lim x'(x_n) = x'(l)$) for any $x' \in X'$ [2, 21]. We know that every weakly convergent sequence in a Banach space X is bounded. But a weakly \mathcal{I} -convergent sequence is not necessary \mathcal{I} -bounded (cf. [5, Theorem 1]). Example 2 from [5] shows that the Banach sequence space ℓ_2 contains a weakly statistically null sequence (z_k) with no bounded subsequences. Thus some results of Bhardwaj and Bala [2, Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 3.2] are incorrect. At it, defining $F_n x' = x'(z_n)$ ($x' \in \ell'_2$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$), we get the sequence (F_n) of bounded linear functionals $F_n : \ell'_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Since $\|F_n\| = \|z_n\|$ by the classical Hahn–Banach theorem, the sequence of functionals (F_n) converges statistically to zero for any $x' \in \ell'_2$, but the sequence of norms $(\|F_n\|)$ contains no bounded subsequences. This example justifies the following definition.

Definition 1. A sequence (A_n) of operators $A_n \in B(X, Y)$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) is said to be *$b^*\mathcal{I}$ -convergent* (to $A \in B(X, Y)$) if $\mathcal{I}\text{-}\lim_n A_n x$ exists ($\mathcal{I}\text{-}\lim A_n x = Ax$) for any $x \in X$ and there is a set $K \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I})$ such that $(A_k x)_{k \in K}$ is bounded for every $x \in X$. In the special case $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}_T$ we get the notion of *b^*T -statistical convergence*. The *$b^*\mathcal{I}$ -limit* and the *b^*T -statistical limit* of (A_n) are denoted, respectively, by $b^*\mathcal{I}\text{-}\lim_n A_n$ and $b^*st_T\text{-}\lim_n A_n$.

In view of Theorem 1 we can say that a sequence (A_n) is *$b^*\mathcal{I}$ -convergent* if and only if $\mathcal{I}\text{-}\lim_n A_n x$ exists for any $x \in X$ and

$$\sup_{k \in K} \|A_k\| < \infty \text{ for some } K \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}). \quad (2)$$

Theorem 3 shows that *$b\mathcal{I}$ -convergence* implies *$b^*\mathcal{I}$ -convergence* by the suppositions that X is separable and \mathcal{I} satisfies the condition (AP).

To prove our main theorem we need the following lemma.

Lemma 1. Suppose that the ideal \mathcal{I} has property (AP) and let $z_{kj} \in X$ ($k, j \in \mathbb{N}$). If $\mathcal{I}\text{-}\lim_k z_{kj} = z_j$ for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$, then there exists an index set $N = (n_i)$ such that $N \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I})$ and $\lim_i z_{n_i, j} = z_j$ for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Assume that $\mathcal{I}\text{-}\lim_k z_{kj} = z_j$ ($j \in \mathbb{N}$). Since \mathcal{I} has property (AP), by Proposition 1 there exist index sets $K_j = \{k_i(j)\}$ ($j \in \mathbb{N}$) such that

$$\lim_i z_{k_i(j), j} = z_j \quad (j \in \mathbb{N}) \quad (3)$$

and $K'_j = \mathbb{N} \setminus K_j \in \mathcal{I}$ for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Because of Remark 1 we can find the set $N' \in \mathcal{I}$ such that the differences $K'_j \setminus N'$ ($j \in \mathbb{N}$) are finite. Now, for $N = \mathbb{N} \setminus N'$ we have that $N \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I})$ and the differences $N \setminus K_j$ are finite. Consequently, denoting $N = (n_i)$, from (3) it follows that $\lim_i z_{n_i, j} = z_j$ for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

Theorem 4. Let X and Y be two Banach spaces, where X has a countable fundamental set Φ . If the ideal \mathcal{I} has property (AP). A sequence (A_n) of operators $A_n \in B(X, Y)$ is *$b^*\mathcal{I}$ -convergent* if and only if $(\|A_n\|)$ is \mathcal{I} -bounded, i.e., (2) holds, and $(A_n \phi)$ is \mathcal{I} -convergent for every $\phi \in \Phi$. Thereby, the limit operator A_0 , $A_0 x = \mathcal{I}\text{-}\lim_n A_n x$, is bounded and linear, and $\|A_0\| \leq \sup_{k \in K} \|A_k\|$. If $A \in B(X, Y)$, then $b^*\mathcal{I}\text{-}\lim_n A_n = A$ if and only if $(\|A_n\|)$ is \mathcal{I} -bounded and $\mathcal{I}\text{-}\lim_n A_n \phi = A \phi$ ($\phi \in \Phi$).

Proof. If (A_n) is $b^*\mathcal{S}$ -convergent ($b^*\mathcal{S}\text{-}\lim_n A_n = A$), then (2) is satisfied and $\mathcal{S}\text{-}\lim A_n \phi$ exists ($\mathcal{S}\text{-}\lim A_n \phi = A\phi$) for every $\phi \in \Phi$.

Conversely, assume that (2) holds and $\mathcal{S}\text{-}\lim A_n \phi_j$ exists (or $\mathcal{S}\text{-}\lim A_n \phi_j = A\phi_j$) for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\Phi = \{\phi_j\}$. Applying Lemma 1 to $z_{nj} = A_n \phi_j$ (and $z_j = A\phi_j$), we fix an index set $N = (n_i) \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{S})$ such that $\lim_i A_{n_i} \phi_j$ exists ($\lim_i A_{n_i} \phi_j = A\phi_j$) for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Since the set $M = N \cap K$ also belongs to $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{S})$, denoting $M = (m_i)$, we have that $\lim_i A_{m_i} \phi_j$ exists ($\lim_i A_{m_i} \phi_j = A\phi_j$) for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\sup_i \|A_{m_i}\| < \infty$. So, by Theorem 2, the limit $A_0 x = \lim_i A_{m_i} x$ exists ($\lim_i A_{m_i} x = Ax$) for any $x \in X$, $A_0 \in B(X, Y)$ and $\|A_0\| \leq \sup_i \|A_{m_i}\|$. The proof is completed if we remark that $\lim_i A_{m_i} x = \mathcal{S}\text{-}\lim A_n x$ by Proposition 1. \square

It is known that the ideal $\mathcal{S}_T = \{K \subset \mathbb{N} : \delta_T(K) = 0\}$ defined by a non-negative regular matrix T has the property (AP) (see [9, Proposition 3.2]). Since \mathcal{S}_T -convergence coincides with T -statistical convergence, from Theorem 4 we immediately get the following Banach–Steinhaus type theorem for b^*T -statistical convergence.

Theorem 5. *Suppose that T is a non-negative regular matrix and X has a countable fundamental set Φ . A sequence (A_n) of operators $A_n \in B(X, Y)$ is b^*T -statistically convergent if and only if (2) holds and $st_T\text{-}\lim A_n \phi$ exists for any $\phi \in \Phi$. In this case the limit operator A_0 , $A_0 x = st_T\text{-}\lim A_n x$ ($x \in X$), belongs to $B(X, Y)$ and $\|A_0\| \leq \sup_{k \in K} \|A_k\|$. If $A \in B(X, Y)$, then $b^*st_T\text{-}\lim_n A_n = A$ if and only if $(\|A_n\|)$ is \mathcal{S} -bounded and $st_T\text{-}\lim_n A_n \phi = A\phi$ ($\phi \in \Phi$).*

3. Some Applications

Let $\lambda(X)$ be a subspace of $\omega(X)$, $\mu(Y)$ a subspaces of $\omega(Y)$ and $\mathfrak{A} = (A_{nk})$ an infinite matrix of operators $A_{nk} \in B(X, Y)$ ($n, k \in \mathbb{N}$). We say that \mathfrak{A} maps $\lambda(X)$ into $\mu(Y)$, and write $\mathfrak{A} : \lambda(X) \rightarrow \mu(Y)$, if for all $x = (x_k) \in \lambda(X)$ the series $\mathfrak{A}_n x = \sum_k A_{nk} x_k$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) converge and the sequence $\mathfrak{A}x = (\mathfrak{A}_n x)$ belongs to $\mu(Y)$.

It is well known that $c(X)$, $c_0(X)$ and $\ell_\infty(X)$ are Banach spaces with the norm $\|x\|_\infty = \sup_k \|x_k\|$, and $\ell_p(X)$ is Banach space with the norm $\|x\|_p = (\sum_k \|x_k\|^p)^{1/p}$ if $1 \leq p < \infty$.

For $x \in X$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $e(x) = (x, x, \dots)$ be constant sequence and $e^k(x) = (e_j^k(x))$ the sequence with $e_j^k(x) = x$ if $j = k$ and $e_j^k(x) = 0$ otherwise. It is not difficult to see that if Φ is a (countable) fundamental set in X , then $\mathcal{E}_0(\Phi) = \{e^k(\phi) : k \in \mathbb{N}, \phi \in \Phi\}$ is a (countable) fundamental set in Banach spaces $c_0(X)$ and $\ell_p(X)$, and $\mathcal{E}_0(\Phi) \cup \mathcal{E}_1(\Phi)$ with $\mathcal{E}_1(\Phi) = \{e(\phi) : \phi \in \Phi\}$ is a (countable) fundamental set in Banach space $c(X)$.

Using Theorem 2, Zeller [24] (see also [19]) and Kangro [12] characterized the matrices $\mathfrak{A} : c(X) \rightarrow c(Y)$, $\mathfrak{A} : c_0(X) \rightarrow c(Y)$ and $\mathfrak{A} : \ell_1(X) \rightarrow c(Y)$ as follows.

Theorem 6. *Let $\mathfrak{A} = (A_{nk})$ be an infinite matrix with $A_{nk} \in B(X, Y)$. Then:*

(i) $\mathfrak{A} : c(X) \rightarrow c(Y)$ if and only if

$$G_n = \sup_r \sup_{\|x_k\| \leq 1} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^r A_{nk} x_k \right\| < \infty \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}), \tag{4}$$

$$\sup_n G_n < \infty, \tag{5}$$

$$\exists \lim_n A_{nk}x \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}, x \in X), \tag{6}$$

$$\exists \lim_m \sum_{k=1}^m A_{nk}x \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}, x \in X), \tag{7}$$

$$\exists \lim_n \sum_k A_{nk}x \quad (x \in X); \tag{8}$$

(ii) $\mathfrak{A} : c_0(X) \rightarrow c(Y)$ if and only if (4)–(6) hold;

(iii) $\mathfrak{A} : \ell_1(X) \rightarrow c(Y)$ if and only if (6) is satisfied and

$$H_n = \sup_k \|A_{nk}\| < \infty \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}), \tag{9}$$

$$\sup_n H_n < \infty,$$

Remark 2. It is not difficult to see, using Theorem 2, that in Theorem 6 it suffices to require the fulfillment of conditions (6)–(8) for all elements ϕ from a fundamental set Φ of X .

The notion of $b^*\mathcal{I}$ -convergence of sequences of bounded linear operators leads us to the definition of new type summability maps.

Definition 2. Let $\lambda(X)$ and $\mu(Y)$ be two linear subspaces of $\omega(X)$ and $\omega(Y)$, respectively, and let $\mathcal{I} \subset 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ be a non-trivial admissible ideal. We say that a matrix \mathfrak{A} maps $\lambda(X)$ in the sense of $b^*\mathcal{I}$ -convergence into $\mu(Y)$, and write $\mathfrak{A} : \lambda(X) \xrightarrow{b^*\mathcal{I}} \mu(Y)$, if \mathcal{I} - $\lim \mathfrak{A}_n x$ exists for any $x \in \lambda(X)$ and there is an index set $N = (n_i)$ from $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I})$ such that the submatrix $\mathfrak{A}_{(N)} = (a_{n_i,k})$ maps $\lambda(X)$ into $\ell_\infty(Y)$. In the case of $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}_T$ we get the matrices of type $\mathfrak{A} : \lambda(X) \xrightarrow{b^*st_T} \mu(Y)$.

Based on Theorems 4 and 5, we describe the matrices $\mathfrak{A} : \lambda(X) \xrightarrow{b^*\mathcal{I}} c(Y)$ and $\mathfrak{A} : \lambda(X) \xrightarrow{b^*st_T} c(Y)$, where $\lambda \in \{c, c_0, \ell_p\}$.

Proposition 2. Let $\mathfrak{A} = (A_{nk})$ be an infinite matrix with $A_{nk} \in B(X, Y)$. Suppose that X has a countable fundamental set Φ and the ideal \mathcal{I} has property (AP). Then:

(i) $\mathfrak{A} : c(X) \xrightarrow{b^*\mathcal{I}} c(Y)$ if and only if (4) and (7) hold,

$$G_n = O_{\mathcal{I}}(1), \tag{10}$$

$$\exists \mathcal{I}\text{-}\lim_n A_{nk}\phi \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}, \phi \in \Phi), \tag{11}$$

$$\exists \mathcal{I}\text{-}\lim_n \sum_k A_{nk}\phi \quad (\phi \in \Phi); \tag{12}$$

(ii) $\mathfrak{A} : c_0(X) \xrightarrow{b^*\mathcal{I}} c(Y)$ if and only if (4), (10) and (11) hold;

(iii) $\mathfrak{A} : \ell_1(X) \xrightarrow{b^*\mathcal{G}} c(Y)$ if and only if (9) is satisfied and (H_n) is \mathcal{G} -bounded.

Proof. The equality $\mathfrak{A}_n^{(r)}\mathfrak{x} = \sum_{k=1}^r A_{nk}x_k$ defines a linear operator $\mathfrak{A}_n^{(r)}$ on $c(X)$ and $c_0(X)$ for any $n, r \in \mathbb{N}$. Since

$$\|\mathfrak{A}_n^{(r)}\| = \left\| \sum_{k=1}^r A_{nk}x_k \right\|,$$

by Theorem 2 we get that the series $\mathfrak{A}_n\mathfrak{x}$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) converge for all $\mathfrak{x} \in c(X)$ and $\mathfrak{A}_n \in B(c(X), Y)$ if and only if (4), (7) are satisfied. Similarly, $\mathfrak{A}_n \in B(c_0(X), Y)$ if and only if (4) holds. Now, applying Theorem 4 to the operators \mathfrak{A}_n , we have that $\mathfrak{A} : c(X) \xrightarrow{b^*\mathcal{G}} c(Y)$ (or $\mathfrak{A} : c_0(X) \xrightarrow{b^*\mathcal{G}} c(Y)$) if and only if (10) holds and $(\mathfrak{A}_n\eta)$ is \mathcal{G} -convergent for any $\eta \in \mathcal{E}_1(\Phi)$ (respectively, $\eta \in \mathcal{E}_0(\Phi)$). But this reduces to (11) and (12) because $\mathfrak{A}_n\epsilon_k(\phi) = A_{nk}\phi$ and $\mathfrak{A}_n\epsilon(\phi) = \sum_k A_{nk}\phi$.

Since $\mathfrak{A}_n \in B(\ell_1(X), Y)$ if and only if (9) holds, the statement (iii) also follows by Theorem 4. □

The matrix map $\mathfrak{A} : \ell_p(X) \xrightarrow{b^*\mathcal{G}} c(Y)$ we consider in the special cases $Y = \mathbb{K}$ and $1 < p < \infty$. Then $B(X, Y) = X'$ and so, $A_{nk} \in X'$ ($n, k \in \mathbb{N}$). In this case $\mathfrak{A}_n \in (\ell_p(X))'$ if and only if $(A_{nk})_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell_q(X')$, i.e., $\sum_k \|A_{nk}\|^q < \infty$, where $1/p + 1/q = 1$. Therefore, denoting $c = c(\mathbb{K})$ and using the same arguments as in the proof of Proposition 2, we get the following result.

Proposition 3. *Let $\mathfrak{A} = (A_{nk})$ be an infinite matrix with $A_{nk} \in X'$. Suppose that X has a countable fundamental set Φ , the ideal \mathcal{G} has property (AP) and $1 < p < \infty$, $1/p + 1/q = 1$. Then $\mathfrak{A} : \ell_p(X) \xrightarrow{b^*\mathcal{G}} c$ if and only if (11) holds and*

$$\sum_k \|A_{nk}\|^q = O_{\mathcal{G}}(1).$$

If $X = Y = \mathbb{K}$, then the matrix map \mathfrak{A} reduces to the transformation $A : \lambda \rightarrow \mu$ defined by an infinite scalar matrix $A = (a_{nk})$. Using the fact that for $Y = \mathbb{K}$ we have (see [12, p. 114])

$$\sup_{\|x_k\| \leq 1} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^r A_{nk}x_k \right\| = \sum_{k=1}^r \|A_{nk}\|,$$

from Propositions 2 and 3 we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 1. *Let $A = (a_{nk})$ be an infinite matrix of scalars, $1 < p < \infty$ and $1/p + 1/q = 1$. If the ideal \mathcal{G} has property (AP), then:*

(i) $A : c \xrightarrow{b^*\mathcal{G}} c$ if and only if

$$\sum_k |a_{nk}| = O_{\mathcal{G}}(1), \tag{13}$$

$$\exists \mathcal{G}\text{-}\lim_n a_{nk} \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}), \tag{14}$$

$$\exists \mathcal{G}\text{-}\lim_n \sum_k a_{nk};$$

- (ii) $A : c_0 \xrightarrow{b^*\mathcal{G}} c$ if and only if (13) and (14) hold;
- (iii) $A : \ell_1 \xrightarrow{b^*\mathcal{G}} c$ if and only if (14) is satisfied, $h_n = \sup_k |a_{nk}| < \infty$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) and (h_n) is \mathcal{G} -bounded;
- (iv) $A : \ell_p \xrightarrow{b^*\mathcal{G}} c$ if and only if (14) is satisfied and

$$\sum_k |a_{nk}|^q = O_{\mathcal{G}}(1).$$

Letting $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_T$ in Propositions 2, 3 and Corollary 1, we get the characterizations of analogical matrix maps in the sense of b^*T -statistical convergence. We also remark that the matrix maps in the sense of $b\mathcal{G}$ - and bst_T -convergence were studied in [15].

At the beginning of Section 2 we remarked that a weakly \mathcal{G} -convergent sequence is not necessary \mathcal{G} -bounded. This fact leads us to a new variant of weak \mathcal{G} -convergence.

Definition 3. A sequence $\gamma = (x_n) \in \omega(X)$ is said to be weakly $b^*\mathcal{G}$ -convergent to $l \in X$, briefly $wb^*\mathcal{G}\text{-}\lim_n x_n = l$, if γ is weakly \mathcal{G} -convergent to l and there is a set $K \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{G})$ such that the sequence $(x'(x_k))_{k \in K}$ is bounded for every $x' \in X'$. For $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_T$ we get the notion of weak b^*T -statistical convergence, in this case we write $wb^*st_T\text{-}\lim_n x_n = l$.

Using bounded linear functionals $F_z : X' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, F_z x' = x'(z)$ ($x' \in X', z \in X$), we can say that $wb^*\mathcal{G}\text{-}\lim_n x_n = l$ ($wb^*st_T\text{-}\lim_n x_n = l$) if and only if the sequence (F_{x_n}) is $b^*\mathcal{G}$ -convergent (b^*T -statistically convergent) to F_l . Thus, since $\|F_z\| = \|z\|$, by Theorems 4 and 5 we get the following characterizations of these new types of weak convergence.

Proposition 4. Let $\gamma = (x_n) \in \omega(X)$ and $l \in X$. Assume that X' has a countable fundamental set Φ' .

- (i) If \mathcal{G} is an ideal with the property (AP), then $wb^*\mathcal{G}\text{-}\lim_n x_n = l$ if and only if

$$\|x_n\| = O_{\mathcal{G}}(1), \tag{15}$$

$$\mathcal{G}\text{-}\lim_n \phi'(x_n) = \phi'(l) \quad (\phi' \in \Phi'). \tag{16}$$

- (ii) If T is a regular matrix, then $wb^*st_T\text{-}\lim_n x_n = l$ if and only if (15) and (16) are satisfied with st_T instead of \mathcal{G} .

Finally we apply Proposition 4 to Banach sequence spaces $c_0(X)$ and $\ell_p(X)$ with $1 < p < \infty$. It is known that the dual spaces $c_0(X)'$ and $\ell_p(X)'$ are isometrically isomorphic, respectively, to $\ell_1(X')$ and $\ell_q(X')$, where $1/p + 1/q = 1$ (see, for example, [18]). If Φ' is a fundamental set of X' , then $\mathcal{E}_0(\Phi')$ is the fundamental set of $\ell_1(X')$ and $\ell_q(X')$. Thus from Proposition 4 we get the following two corollaries.

Corollary 2. Let $\gamma_n = (x_{ni})$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) and $\gamma_0 = (x_i)$ be the elements of $c_0(X)$. Assume that the dual X' has a countable fundamental set Φ' .

(i) If \mathcal{I} is an ideal with the property (AP), then $wb^*\mathcal{I}\text{-}\lim_n x_n = x_0$ if and only if

$$\|x_n\|_\infty = O_{\mathcal{I}}(1), \quad (17)$$

$$\mathcal{I}\text{-}\lim_i \phi'(x_{ni}) = \phi'(x_i) \quad (\phi' \in \Phi', n \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (18)$$

(ii) If T is a non-negative regular matrix, then $wb^*st_T\text{-}\lim_n x_n = x_0$ if and only (17) and (18) hold with st_T instead of \mathcal{I} .

Corollary 3. Let $x_n = (x_{ni})$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) and $x_0 = (x_i)$ be the elements of $\ell_p(X)$ ($1 < p < \infty$). Assume that X' has a countable fundamental set Φ' .

(i) If \mathcal{I} is an ideal with the property (AP), then $wb^*\mathcal{I}\text{-}\lim_n x_n = x_0$ if and only if (18) is true and

$$\|x_n\|_p = O_{\mathcal{I}}(1). \quad (19)$$

(ii) If T is a non-negative regular matrix, then $wb^*st_T\text{-}\lim_n x_n = x_0$ if and only (18) and (19) hold with st_T instead of \mathcal{I} .

Proposition 4(ii) and Corollary 3(ii), for $T = C_1$, may be considered as some corrected versions, respectively, of Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 3.2 from [2].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS The author is thankful for the support by institutional research funding IUT20-57 of the Estonian Ministry of Education and Research.

References

- [1] M. Balcerzak, K. Dems, and A. Komisarski. *Statistical convergence and ideal convergence for sequences of functions*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 328, 715–729. 2007.
- [2] VK. Bhardwaj and I. Bala. *On weak statistical convergence*, International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, Art. ID 38530, 9, 2007
- [3] J. Connor. *On strong matrix summability with respect to a modulus and statistical convergence*, Canadian Mathematical Bulletin, 32, 194–198. 1989.
- [4] J. Connor. *A topological and functional analytic approach to statistical convergence*, Applied and Numerical Harmonic Analysis, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, pp. 403–413.
- [5] J. Connor, M. Ganichev, and V. Kadets. *A characterization of Banach spaces with separable duals via weak statistical convergence*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 244, 251–261. 2000.
- [6] G. Di. Maio and Lj. D. R. Kočinac. *Statistical convergence in topology*, Topology and its Applications, 156, 28–45. 2008.

- [7] J. Fast. *Sur la convergence statistique*, Colloquium Mathematicum, 2, 241–244. 1951.
- [8] A. R. Freedman. *Generalized limits and sequence spaces*, Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society, 13, 224–228. 1981.
- [9] A. R. Freedman and J. J. Sember. *Densities and summability*, Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 95, 293–305. 1981.
- [10] J. A. Fridy and C. Orhan. *Statistical limit superior and limit inferior*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 125, 3625–3631. 1997.
- [11] H. Heuser. *Funktionalanalysis*, B. G. Teubner, Stuttgart, 1986.
- [12] G. Kangro. *On matrix transformations of sequences in Banach spaces*, Izvestiya Akademii Nauk Éstonskoi SSR. Seriya Tehnicheskikh i Fiziko-Matematicheskikh Nauk, 5, 108–128. 1956. (in Russian)
- [13] E. Kolk. *Statistically convergent sequences in normed spaces*, Reports of conference "Methods of Algebra and Analysis" (September 21–23, 1988, Tartu, Estonia), 63–66. 1988. (in Russian)
- [14] E. Kolk. *The statistical convergence in Banach spaces*, Tartu ÜL. Toimetised, 928:41–52 1991.
- [15] E. Kolk. *Banach-Steinhaus type theorems for statistical and \mathcal{I} -convergence with applications to matrix maps*, The Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics, 40, 279–289. 2010.
- [16] P. Kostyrko, T. Šalát, and W. Wilczyński. \mathcal{I} -convergence, Real Analysis Exchange, 26, 669–686. 2000/2001.
- [17] G. Köthe. *Topologische Lineare Räume. I*, Die Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 107, Springer-Verlag, Berlin–Heidelberg–New York, 1966.
- [18] I. E. Leonard. *Banach sequence spaces*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 54, 245–265. 1976.
- [19] I.J. Maddox. *Infinite Matrices of Operators*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 786, Springer-Verlag, Berlin–Heidelberg–New York, 1980.
- [20] I. J. Maddox. *Statistical convergence in a locally convex space*, Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society, 104, 141–145. 1988.
- [21] S. Pehlivan, C. Şençimen and Z. H. Yaman. *On weak ideal convergence in normed spaces*, Journal of Interdisciplinary Mathematics, 13, 153–162. 2010.
- [22] I. J. Schoenberg. *The integrability of certain functions and related summability methods*, The American Mathematical Monthly, 66, 361–375. 1959.

- [23] H. Steinhaus. *Sur la convergence ordinaire et la convergence asymptotique*, Colloquium Mathematicum, 2, 73–74. 1951.
- [24] K. Zeller. *Verallgemeinerte Matrixtransformationen*, Mathematische Zeitschrift, 56, 18–20. 1952.
- [25] A. Zygmund. *Trigonometric Series*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1979.