



## Generalization of Dunkl Dini Lipschitz Functions

Salah El Ouadiah<sup>1,\*</sup>, Radouan Daher<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> *Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences Ain Chock, University Hassan II Morocco*

**Abstract.** Using a generalized spherical mean operator, we obtain a generalization of Younis's Theorem 5.2 in [12] for the Dunkl transform for functions satisfying the  $d$ -Dunkl Dini Lipschitz condition in the space  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d, w_l(x)dx)$ ,  $1 < p \leq 2$ , where  $w_l$  is a weight function invariant under the action of an associated reflection group.

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classifications:** 42B37

**Key Words and Phrases:** Dunkl transform, Dunkl kernel, generalized spherical mean operator

### 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Younis's Theorem 5.2 [12] characterized the set of functions in  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  satisfying the Dini Lipschitz condition by means of an asymptotic estimate growth of the norm of their Fourier transforms, namely we have

**Theorem 1.** [12] *Let  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . Then the following are equivalents*

$$(i) \quad \|f(x+h) - f(x)\|_2 = O\left(\frac{h^\eta}{(\log \frac{1}{h})^\delta}\right), \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow 0, 0 < \eta < 1, \delta \geq 0$$

$$(ii) \quad \int_{|\lambda| \geq s} |\widehat{f}(\lambda)|^2 d\lambda = O\left(\frac{s^{-2\eta}}{(\log s)^{2\delta}}\right), \quad \text{as } s \rightarrow \infty,$$

where  $\widehat{f}$  stands for the Fourier transform of  $f$ .

In this paper, we obtain a generalization of Theorem 1.1 for the Dunkl transform on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  in the space  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d, w_l(x)dx)$ ,  $1 < p \leq 2$ . For this purpose, we use a generalized spherical mean operator.

We consider the Dunkl operators  $D_j, 1 \leq j \leq d$ , on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  which are the differential-difference operators introduced by Dunkl in [3]. These operators are very important in pure mathematics and in physics. The theory of Dunkl operators provides generalizations of various multivariable analytic structures, among others we cite the exponential function,

\*Corresponding author.

*Email addresses:* salahwadih@gmail.com (S. El Ouadiah), rjdaher024@gmail.com (R. Daher)

the Fourier transform and the translation operator. For more details about these operators see [6, 5]. The Dunkl Kernel  $E_l$  has been introduced by Dunkl in [4]. This Kernel is used to define the Dunkl transform.

Let  $R$  be a root system in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $W$  the corresponding reflection group,  $R_+$  a positive subsystem of  $R$  ( see [6, 5, 1, 8, 9]) and  $l$  a non-negative and  $W$ -invariant function defined on  $R$ . The Dunkl operator is defined for  $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  by

$$D_j f(x) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(x) + \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} l(\alpha) \alpha_j \frac{f(x) - f(\sigma_\alpha(x))}{\langle \alpha, x \rangle}, x \in \mathbb{R}^d (1 \leq j \leq d).$$

Here  $\langle, \rangle$  is the usual Euclidean scalar product on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with the associated norm  $|\cdot|$  and  $\sigma_\alpha$  the reflection with respect to the hyperplane  $H_\alpha$  orthogonal to  $\alpha$ , and  $\alpha_j = \langle \alpha, e_j \rangle$ ,  $(e_1, e_2, \dots, e_d)$  being the canonical basis of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

We consider the weight function

$$w_l(x) = \prod_{\zeta \in R_+} |\langle \zeta, x \rangle|^{2l(\zeta)}, x \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

where  $w_l$  is  $W$ -invariant and homogeneous of degree  $2\gamma$  where

$$\gamma = \gamma(R) = \sum_{\zeta \in R_+} l(\zeta) \geq 0.$$

The Dunkl kernel  $E_l$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$  has been introduced by C. F. Dunkl in [4]. For  $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , the function  $x \mapsto E_l(x, y)$  is the unique solution on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  of the following initial problem

$$\begin{cases} D_j u(x, y) = y_j u(x, y) & \text{si } 1 \leq j \leq d \\ u(0, y) = 0 & \text{for all } y \in \mathbb{R}^d \end{cases}$$

$E_l$  is called the Dunkl kernel.

**Lemma 1.** [6] Let  $z, w \in \mathbb{C}^d$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$

1.  $E_l(z, 0) = 1, \quad E_l(z, w) = E_l(w, z), \quad E_l(\lambda z, w) = E_l(z, \lambda w).$
2. For all  $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d, x \in \mathbb{R}^d, z \in \mathbb{C}^d$ , we have

$$|\partial_z^\nu E_l(x; z)| \leq |x|^{|\nu|} \exp(|x| |\operatorname{Re} z|),$$

where

$$\partial_z^\nu = \frac{\partial^{|\nu|}}{\partial z_1^{\nu_1} \dots \partial z_d^{\nu_d}}, |\nu| = \nu_1 + \dots + \nu_d.$$

In particular  $|\partial_z^\nu E_l(ix; z)| \leq |x|^{|\nu|}$  for all  $x, z \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

We denote by  $L_l^p(\mathbb{R}^d) = L^p(\mathbb{R}^d, w_l(x)dx)$ ,  $1 < p \leq 2$ , the space of measurable functions on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with the norm

$$\|f\|_{p,l} = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)|^p w_l(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty.$$

The Dunkl transform is defined for  $f \in L^1_l(\mathbb{R}^d) = L^1(\mathbb{R}^d, w_l(x)dx)$  by

$$\mathcal{F}(f)(\xi) = \widehat{f}(\xi) = c_l^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)E_l(-i\xi, x)w_l(x)dx,$$

where the constant  $c_l$  is given by

$$c_l = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-\frac{|z|^2}{2}} w_l(z)dz.$$

The Dunkl transform shares several properties with its counterpart in the classical case, we mention here in particular that Plancherel's Theorem holds in  $L^2_l(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , when both  $f$  and  $\widehat{f}$  are in  $L^1_l(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , we have the inversion formula

$$f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \widehat{f}(\xi)E_l(ix, \xi)w_l(\xi)d\xi, x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

By Plancherel's Theorem and the Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem (see [10]), we get for  $f \in L^p_l(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with  $1 < p \leq 2$  and  $q$  such that  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ ,

$$\|\mathcal{F}(f)\|_{q,l} \leq K\|f\|_{p,l}, \tag{1}$$

where  $K$  is a positive constant.

The generalized spherical mean value of  $f \in L^p_l(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is defined by

$$M_h f(x) = \frac{1}{d_l} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \tau_x f(hy)d\mu_l(y), x \in \mathbb{R}^d, h > 0.$$

where  $\tau_x$  Dunkl translation operator (see [9, 11]),  $\mu$  be the normalized surface measure on the unit sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and set  $d\mu_l(y) = w_l(y)d\mu(y)$ ,  $\mu_l$  is a W-invariant measure on  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  and  $d_l = \mu_l(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ .

We see that  $M_h f \in L^p_l(\mathbb{R}^d)$  whenever  $f \in L^p_l(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and

$$\|M_h f\|_{p,l} \leq \|f\|_{p,l}.$$

for all  $h > 0$ .

For  $\beta \geq \frac{-1}{2}$ , we introduce the Bessel normalized function of the first kind  $j_\beta$  defined by

$$j_\beta(z) = \Gamma(\beta + 1) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n (z/2)^{2n}}{n! \Gamma(n + \beta + 1)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{2}$$

**Lemma 2.** (Analog of lemma 2.9 in [2]) *The following inequality is true*

$$|1 - j_\beta(x)| \geq c,$$

with  $|x| \geq 1$ , where  $c > 0$  is a certain constant which depend only on  $\beta$ .

Moreover, from (1) we see that

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{j_{\gamma+\frac{d}{2}-1}(z) - 1}{z^2} \neq 0. \quad (3)$$

**Lemma 3.** [7] Let  $f \in L_l^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Then

$$\widehat{M_h f}(\xi) = j_{\gamma+\frac{d}{2}-1}(h|\xi|)\widehat{f}(\xi).$$

The first and higher order finite differences of  $f(x)$  are defined as follows

$$Z_h f(x) = (M_h - I)f(x),$$

where  $I$  is the identity operator  $L_l^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

$$Z_h^k f(x) = Z_h(Z_h^{k-1} f(x)) = (M_h - I)^k f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{k-i} \binom{k}{i} M_h^i f(x),$$

where  $M_h^0 f(x) = f(x)$ ,  $M_h^i f(x) = M_h(M_h^{i-1} f(x))$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $k = 1, 2, \dots$

From Lemma 3, we obtain

$$\widehat{Z_h^k f}(\xi) = (j_{\gamma+\frac{d}{2}-1}(h|\xi|) - 1)^k \widehat{f}(\xi).$$

By (1), we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |1 - j_{\gamma+\frac{d}{2}-1}(h|\xi|)|^{qk} |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^q w_l(\xi) d\xi \leq K^q \|Z_h^k f(x)\|_{p,l}^q, \quad (4)$$

where  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ .

## 2. Dunkl Dini Lipschitz Condition

**Definition 1.** Let  $f \in L_l^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , and define

$$\|Z_h^k f(x)\|_{p,l} \leq C \frac{h^\eta}{(\log \frac{1}{h})^\delta}, \quad \delta \geq 0,$$

i.e.,

$$\|Z_h^k f(x)\|_{p,l} = O\left(\frac{h^\eta}{(\log \frac{1}{h})^\delta}\right),$$

for all  $x$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and for all sufficiently small  $h, C$  being a positive constant. Then we say that  $f$  satisfies a  $d$ -Dunkl Dini Lipschitz of order  $\eta$ , or  $f$  belongs to  $Lip(\eta, \delta)$ .

**Definition 2.** If however

$$\frac{\|Z_h^k f(x)\|_{p,l}}{\frac{h^\eta}{(\log \frac{1}{h})^\delta}} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow 0,$$

i.e.,

$$\|Z_h^k f(x)\|_{p,l} = O\left(\frac{h^\eta}{(\log \frac{1}{h})^\delta}\right), \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow 0, \delta \geq 0,$$

then  $f$  is said to belong to the little  $d$ -Dunkl Dini Lipschitz class  $lip(\eta, \delta)$ .

**Remark.** It follows immediately from these definitions that

$$lip(\eta, \delta) \subset Lip(\eta, \delta).$$

**Theorem 2.** Let  $\eta > 1$ . If  $f \in Lip(\eta, \delta)$ , then  $f \in lip(1, \delta)$ .

*Proof.* For  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $h$  small and  $f \in Lip(\eta, \delta)$  we have

$$\|Z_h^k f(x)\|_{p,l} \leq C \frac{h^\eta}{(\log \frac{1}{h})^\delta}.$$

Then

$$\left(\log \frac{1}{h}\right)^\delta \|Z_h^k f(x)\|_{p,l} \leq Ch^\eta.$$

Therefore

$$\frac{\left(\log \frac{1}{h}\right)^\delta}{h} \|Z_h^k f(x)\|_{p,l} \leq Ch^{\eta-1},$$

which tends to zero with  $h \rightarrow 0$ . Thus

$$\frac{\left(\log \frac{1}{h}\right)^\delta}{h} \|Z_h^k f(x)\|_{p,l} \rightarrow 0, \quad h \rightarrow 0.$$

Then  $f \in lip(1, \delta)$ .

**Theorem 3.** If  $\eta < \nu$ , then  $Lip(\eta, 0) \supset Lip(\nu, 0)$  and  $lip(\eta, 0) \supset lip(\nu, 0)$ .

*Proof.* We have  $0 \leq h \leq 1$  and  $\eta < \nu$ , then  $h^\nu \leq h^\eta$ .

Then the proof of the theorem is immediate.

### 3. New Results on Dunkl Dini Lipschitz Class

**Theorem 4.** Let  $\eta > 2k$ . If  $f$  belong to the  $d$ -Dunkl Dini Lipschitz class, i.e.,

$$f \in Lip(\eta, \delta), \quad \eta > 2k, \delta \geq 0.$$

Then  $f$  is equal to the null function in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $f \in Lip(\eta, \delta)$ . Then

$$\|Z_h^k f(x)\|_{p,l} \leq C \frac{h^\eta}{(\log \frac{1}{h})^\delta}.$$

From (4), we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |1 - j_{\gamma+\frac{d}{2}-1}(h|\xi|)|^{qk} |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^q w_l(\xi) d\xi \leq K^q C^q \frac{h^{q\eta}}{(\log \frac{1}{h})^{q\delta}}.$$

Then

$$\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |1 - j_{\gamma+\frac{d}{2}-1}(h|\xi|)|^{qk} |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^q w_l(\xi) d\xi}{h^{2qk}} \leq K^q C^q \frac{h^{q\eta-2qk}}{(\log \frac{1}{h})^{q\delta}},$$

Since  $\eta > 2k$  we have

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{h^{q\eta-2qk}}{(\log \frac{1}{h})^{q\delta}} = 0.$$

Thus

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( \frac{|1 - j_{\gamma+\frac{d}{2}-1}(h|\xi|)|}{|\xi|^2 h^2} \right)^{qk} |\xi|^{2qk} |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^q w_l(\xi) d\xi = 0.$$

and also from the formula (3) and Fatou's theorem, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\xi|^{2qk} |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^q w_l(\xi) d\xi = 0.$$

Hence  $|\xi|^{2k} \widehat{f}(\xi) = 0$  for all  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , then  $f(x)$  is the null function.

Analog of the Theorem 4, we obtain this theorem.

**Theorem 5.** Let  $f \in L_l^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . If  $f$  belong to  $lip(2, 0)$ , i.e.,

$$\|Z_h^k f(x)\|_{p,l} = O(h^2), \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow 0.$$

Then  $f$  is equal to null function in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

Now, we give another the main result of this paper analog of Theorem 1.

**Theorem 6.** Let  $f \in L_l^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . If  $f(x)$  belong to  $Lip(\eta, \delta)$ , then

$$\int_{|\xi| \geq s} |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^q w_l(\xi) d\xi = O\left(\frac{s^{-q\eta}}{(\log s)^{q\delta}}\right), \quad s \rightarrow \infty,$$

where  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $f \in Lip(\eta, \delta)$ . Then

$$\|Z_h^k f(x)\|_{p,l} = O\left(\frac{h^\eta}{(\log \frac{1}{h})^\delta}\right), \quad h \rightarrow 0.$$

From (4), we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |1 - j_{\gamma+\frac{d}{2}-1}(h|\xi|)|^{qk} |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^q w_l(\xi) d\xi \leq K^q \|Z_h^k f(x)\|_{p,l}^q.$$

If  $|\xi| \in [\frac{1}{h}, \frac{2}{h}]$  then  $h|\xi| \geq 1$  and Lemma 2 implies that

$$1 \leq \frac{1}{c^{qk}} |1 - j_{\gamma+\frac{d}{2}-1}(h|\xi|)|^{qk}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\frac{1}{h} \leq |\xi| \leq \frac{2}{h}} |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^q w_l(\xi) d\xi &\leq \frac{1}{c^{qk}} \int_{\frac{1}{h} \leq |\xi| \leq \frac{2}{h}} |1 - j_{\gamma+\frac{d}{2}-1}(h|\xi|)|^{qk} |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^q w_l(\xi) d\xi \\ &\leq \frac{1}{c^{qk}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |1 - j_{\gamma+\frac{d}{2}-1}(h|\xi|)|^{qk} |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^q w_l(\xi) d\xi \\ &\leq \frac{K^q}{c^{qk}} \|Z_h^k f(x)\|_{p,l}^q \\ &= O\left(\frac{h^{q\eta}}{(\log \frac{1}{h})^{q\delta}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

So we obtain

$$\int_{s \leq |\xi| \leq 2s} |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^q w_l(\xi) d\xi \leq C' \frac{s^{-q\eta}}{(\log s)^{q\delta}},$$

where  $C'$  is a positive constant. Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|\xi| \geq s} |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^q w_l(\xi) d\xi &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \int_{2^i s}^{2^{i+1} s} |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^q w_l(\xi) d\xi \\ &\leq C' \left( \frac{s^{-q\eta}}{(\log s)^{q\delta}} + \frac{(2s)^{-q\eta}}{(\log 2s)^{q\delta}} + \frac{(4s)^{-q\eta}}{(\log 4s)^{q\delta}} + \dots \right) \\ &\leq C' \frac{s^{-q\eta}}{(\log s)^{q\delta}} (1 + 2^{-q\eta} + (2^{-q\eta})^2 + (2^{-q\eta})^3 + \dots) \\ &\leq K_\eta \frac{s^{-q\eta}}{(\log s)^{q\delta}}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $K_\eta = C'(1 - 2^{-q\eta})^{-1}$  since  $2^{-q\eta} < 1$ .

Consequently

$$\int_{|\xi| \geq s} |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^q w_l(\xi) d\xi = O\left(\frac{s^{-q\eta}}{(\log s)^{q\delta}}\right), \quad \text{as } s \rightarrow \infty.$$

### References

- [1] C. F Dunkl and Y Xu. Orthogonal polynomials of several variables. *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2nd edition*, 2001.
- [2] E. S Belkina and S. S Platonov. Equivalence of  $k$ -functionals and modulus of smoothness constructed by generalized dunkl translations. *Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat.*, 52(8):1–11, 2008.
- [3] C. F Dunkl. Differential- difference operators associated to reflection groups. *Trans. Am. Math Soc.*, 313(1):167–183, 1989.
- [4] C. F Dunkl. Integral kernels with reflection group invariance. *Canad. J. Math.*, 43(6):1213–1227, 1991.
- [5] C. F Dunkl. Hankel transforms associated to finite reflection groups. *Contemp. Math.*, 138(1):123–138, 1992.
- [6] M. F De Jeu. The dunkl transform. *Inv. Math.*, 113(1):147–162, 1993.
- [7] M Maslouhi. An analog of titchmarshs theorem for the dunkl transform. *Integral Transform Spec. Funct.*, 21(10):771–778, 2010.
- [8] M Rslr and M Voit. Markov processes with dunkl operators. *Adv. Appl. Math.*, 21(4):575–643, 1998.
- [9] S Thangavelu and Y Xu. Convolution operator and maximal function for dunkl transform. *J. Anal. Math.*, 97(1):25–56, 2005.
- [10] E. C Titchmarsh. Introduction to the theory of fourier integrals. *Clarendon Press, Oxford*, 1937.
- [11] K Trimèche. Paley-wiener theorems for the dunkl transform and dunkl transform operators. *Integral Transf. Spec. Funct.*, 13(1):17–38, 2002.
- [12] M. S Younis. Fourier transforms of dini-lipschitz functions. *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, 9(2):301–312, 1986.