#### EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF PURE AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS

Vol. 11, No. 3, 2018, 589-597 ISSN 1307-5543 – www.ejpam.com Published by New York Business Global



# The strong semilattice of $\pi$ -groups

Jiangang Zhang<sup>1,\*</sup>, Yuhui Yang<sup>2</sup>, Ran Shen<sup>3</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Normal University, Shanghai, 200234, China
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, Lyliang University, Shanxi Lyliang, 033000, China
- <sup>3</sup> College of Science, Donghua University, Shanghai, 201620, China

**Abstract.** A semigroup is called a GV-inverse semigroup if and only if it is isomorphic to a semilattice of  $\pi$ -groups. In this paper, we give the sufficient and necessary conditions for a GV-inverse semigroup to be a strong semilattice of  $\pi$ -groups. Some conclusions about Clifford semigroups are generalized.

Key Words and Phrases: GV-inverse semigroup,  $\pi$ -group, strong semilattice, homomorphism

#### 1. Introduction

First of all, we give the basic definition for this paper. Let Y be a semilattice. For each  $\alpha \in Y$ , let  $S_{\alpha}$  be a semigroup and assume that  $S_{\alpha} \cap S_{\beta} = \emptyset$  if  $\alpha \neq \beta$ . For each pair  $\alpha, \beta \in Y$  such that  $\alpha \geq \beta$ , there exists a homomorphism  $\phi_{\alpha,\beta} : S_{\alpha} \to S_{\beta}$  such that:

- (C1)  $\phi_{\alpha,\alpha} = 1_{S_{\alpha}}$  for any  $\alpha \in Y$ .
- (C2) For any  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in Y$  with  $\alpha \geq \beta \geq \gamma$ ,  $\phi_{\alpha,\beta}\phi_{\beta,\gamma} = \phi_{\alpha,\gamma}$ .

Define a multiplication on  $S = \bigcup_{\alpha \in Y} S_{\alpha}$ , in terms of the multiplications in the components  $S_{\alpha}$  and the homomorphisms  $\phi_{\alpha,\beta}$ , for each x in  $S_{\alpha}$  and y in  $S_{\beta}$ ,

$$xy = x\phi_{\alpha,\alpha\beta}y\phi_{\beta,\alpha\beta}.$$

Then S with the multiplication defined above is a strong semilattice Y of semigroup  $S_{\alpha}$ , to be denoted by  $S[Y; S_{\alpha}, \phi_{\alpha,\beta}]$ . The homomorphisms  $\phi_{\alpha,\beta}$  are called the structure homomorphisms of S. And if  $S_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{H}$  for all  $\alpha \in Y$  and some class of semigroups  $\mathfrak{H}$ , then S is a strong semilattice of type  $\mathfrak{H}$ .

As is well known, a semigroup is a Clifford semigroup if and only if it is isomorphic to a strong semilattice of groups. A semigroup S is a  $\pi$ -group if it is a nil-extension of a group, which means that there exists a subgroup G of S and G is an ideal, and for any  $a \in S$ , there exists a number  $n \in N$  such that  $a^n \in G$ , where N is the natural number set. A semigroup is called a GV-inverse semigroup if and only if it is isomorphic to a semilattice of  $\pi$ -groups. It is natural to ask how about the strong semilattice of  $\pi$ -groups. In this paper, we give the sufficient and necessary conditions for a GV-inverse semigroup to be a strong semilattice of  $\pi$ -groups.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.29020/nybg.ejpam.v11i3.3274

Email addresses: jgzhang@shnu.edu.cn (J. Zhang), ranshen@dhu.edu.cn (R. Shen)

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author.

### 2. Preliminaries

The class of  $\pi$ -regular semigroups is one of the important classes of non-regular semigroups. Recall that a semigroup S is said to be a  $\pi$ -regular semigroup if for any  $a \in S$ , there exists a positive integer m such that  $a^m \in a^m S a^m$ . Denote  $r(a) = \min\{m \in N : a^m \in a^m S a^m\}$  and call it the least regular index of a. A  $\pi$ -regular semigroup is called a GV-semigroup if every regular element is completely regular. Furthermore, a GV-semigroup S is called a GV-inverse semigroup if every regular element of S possesses a unique inverse. GV-semigroups and GV-inverse semigroups are the generalizations of completely regular semigroups and Clifford semigroups in the range of  $\pi$ -regular semigroups respectively.

Throughout this paper, we denote the set of all regular elements of a  $\pi$ -regular semi-group S by RegS. We will write maps on the right of the objects on which they act.

Let S be a  $\pi$ -regular semigroup. Generalized Green's equivalences were defined by:

$$a\mathcal{L}^*b \Leftrightarrow S^1a^{r(a)} = S^1b^{r(b)}, \ a\mathcal{R}^*b \Leftrightarrow a^{r(a)}S^1 = b^{r(b)}S^1, \ a\mathcal{J}^*b \Leftrightarrow S^1a^{r(a)}S^1 = S^1b^{r(b)}S^1$$
  
$$\mathcal{H}^* = \mathcal{L}^* \cap \mathcal{R}^*, \ \mathcal{D}^* = \mathcal{L}^* \vee \mathcal{R}^*.$$

If S is a regular semigroup, then  $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}^*$  on S for any  $\mathcal{K} \in \{\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}\}$ . The class of  $\pi$ -regular semigroups and some of its subclasses have been studied in in [1], [2], [4], [5], [6].

For notations and terminologies not mentioned here, the reader is referred to [1] and [3].

## 3. Strong Semilattice of $\pi$ -groups

In this section, we characterize the strong semilattice of  $\pi$ -groups. At first, we give some characterizations of GV-inverse semigroups.

**Lemma 1.** ([1]) Let S be a semigroup and x be an element of S such that  $x^n$  lies in a subgroup G of S for some positive integer n. If e is the identity of G, then

- (i)  $ex = xe \in G$ .
- (ii)  $x^m \in G$  for every  $m \ge n$  and  $m \in N$ .

**Lemma 2.** ([1]) Let S be a semigroup. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) S is a GV-inverse semigroup.
- (ii) S is  $\pi$ -regular, and a = axa implies that ax = xa.
- (iii) S is a semilattice of  $\pi$ -groups.

For convenience, we always denote a GV-inverse semigroup by  $S = \bigcup_{\alpha \in Y} S_{\alpha}$  in this section, where Y is a semilattice,  $S_{\alpha}$  is a  $\pi$ -group for each  $\alpha \in Y$  by Lemma 2. Further, we write  $S_{\alpha} = G_{\alpha} \cup Q_{\alpha}$ , where  $G_{\alpha}$  is the group kernel of  $S_{\alpha}$ , and the identity of  $G_{\alpha}$  is denoted by  $e_{\alpha}$  for any  $\alpha \in Y$ . And  $Q_{\alpha} = S_{\alpha} \backslash G_{\alpha}$  is the set of non-regular elements of  $S_{\alpha}$  and it is a partial semigroup by the definition of  $\pi$ -group. Certainly, if  $S_{\alpha}$  is just a group, then  $Q_{\alpha}$  is an empty set.

According to the results in [1], we know that  $\mathcal{H}^* = \mathcal{L}^* = \mathcal{R}^* = \mathcal{D}^* = \mathcal{J}^*$  on a GV-inverse semigroup. On the other hand, we have the following results.

**Lemma 3.** [5] Let S be a GV-inverse semigroup. Then for any  $K \in \{\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}\}$ ,  $K \subseteq K^*$  on S.

**Lemma 4.** Let S be a GV-inverse semigroup and RegS be an ideal of S. For any  $\alpha \in Y$ , if  $a \in G_{\alpha}$ , then  $H_a = L_a = R_a = J_a = G_{\alpha}$ ; if  $a \in Q_{\alpha}$ , then  $J_a = \{a\}$ .

*Proof.* For any  $\alpha \in Y$ , let  $a, b \in Q_{\alpha}$ . Suppose that  $a\mathcal{R}b$ . Then there exist  $s \in S_{\beta}$  and  $t \in S_{\gamma}$  such that a = bs, b = at for some  $\beta, \gamma \in Y$  with  $\beta, \gamma \geq \alpha$ . Further,

$$a = bs = ats = a(ts)^2 = \dots = a(ts)^m,$$
  

$$b = at = bst = b(st)^2 = \dots = b(st)^m$$

where  $m \ge \max\{r(st), r(ts)\}$ . By Lemma 1,  $(ts)^m, (st)^m \in G_{\beta\gamma} \subseteq RegS$ . Since RegS is an ideal of S, we get that s = t = 1 and a = b, so  $R_a = \{a\}$ . Similarly,

$$K_a = \{a\} \text{ for any } \mathcal{K} \in \{\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}\}, a \in Q_\alpha.$$
 (1)

On the other hand, by Lemma 2, it is easy to see that if  $a \in G_{\alpha}$ ,

$$H_a = L_a = R_a = J_a = G_\alpha. \tag{2}$$

Let S be a GV-inverse semigroup. Define a mapping  $\psi$  from S into RegS as follows: for any  $a \in S$ ,

$$\psi: S \to RegS; a \mapsto ae_{\alpha}, if a \in S_{\alpha}$$

where  $e_{\alpha}$  is the unique idempotent of  $\pi$ -group  $S_{\alpha}$ . Then it is obvious that  $\psi|_{G_{\alpha}}=1_{G_{\alpha}}$ . For any  $a,b\in S$ , define the following relation:  $a\widetilde{\psi}b$  if and only if  $a\psi=b\psi$ . Then it is clear that  $\widetilde{\psi}$  is an equivalence on S and  $\widetilde{\psi}\mid_{RegS}=\varepsilon$ , where  $\varepsilon$  is the equality relation. Since  $a\widetilde{\psi}ae_{\alpha}$  for any  $a\in S_{\alpha}$ ,  $\widetilde{\psi}\mid_{S}=\varepsilon$  if and only if S is a Clifford semigroup. In general,  $\widetilde{\psi}\mid_{S\backslash RegS}$  is not necessary the equality relation. Next, we give an example.

**Example 1.** Let  $S = \{e, a, b\}$  with the following Cayley table

It is clear that S is a  $\pi$ -group and  $RegS = \{e\}$  and  $a\psi = ae = e = be = b\psi$ , but  $a \neq b$ .

**Lemma 5.** Let S be a GV-inverse semigroup. For any  $a, b \in S_{\alpha}$ , if  $a\psi = b\psi$ , then there exists  $M \in N$  such that  $a^m = b^m$  for any  $m \in N$  with  $m \geq M$ . In particular,  $(a\psi)^{r(a)} = a^{r(a)}$ . And if  $a\psi = a^{r(a)}$ , then  $a^{r(a)^2 - r(a)} = e_{\alpha}$ .

Proof. Let  $a, b \in S_{\alpha}$ . If  $a\psi = b\psi$ , then  $ae_{\alpha} = be_{\alpha}$ , and  $(ae_{\alpha})^n = (be_{\alpha})^n$  for any  $n \in N$ . By Lemma 1,  $a^ne_{\alpha} = b^ne_{\alpha}$ . Take  $M = \max\{r(a), r(b)\}$ , then for any  $m \in N$  with  $m \geq M$ ,  $a^m, b^m \in RegS$  and  $a^m = b^m$ . In particular,  $(a\psi)^{r(a)} = a^{r(a)}e_{\alpha} = a^{r(a)}$ . If  $a\psi = a^{r(a)}$ , then  $a\psi = (a\psi)^{r(a)}$  and  $(a\psi)^{r(a)-1} = e_{\alpha}$ , and hence  $a^{r(a)^2-r(a)} = e_{\alpha}$ .

**Lemma 6.** Let S be a GV-inverse semigroup and RegS be an ideal of S. Then for any  $\alpha, \beta \in Y$  and  $a \in S_{\alpha}$ ,  $e_{\beta} \in S_{\beta}$ ,  $ae_{\beta} = e_{\beta}a$ , which means that  $E(S) \subseteq C(S)$ , where C(S) is the center of S.

*Proof.* By Lemma 2, RegS is a Clifford subsemigroup of S, then  $ae_{\beta}, e_{\beta}a \in G_{\alpha\beta}$ . And hence  $ae_{\beta} = e_{\alpha\beta}(ae_{\beta})e_{\alpha\beta} = (e_{\alpha\beta}a)e_{\alpha\beta} = e_{\alpha\beta}(e_{\beta}a)e_{\alpha\beta} = e_{\beta}a$ .

**Lemma 7.** Let S be a GV-inverse semigroup and RegS be an ideal of S. Then  $\psi$  is a homomorphism and  $\widetilde{\psi}$  is the least Clifford congruence on S.

*Proof.* Let  $a, b \in S$  and  $a \in S_{\alpha}$ ,  $b \in S_{\beta}$ . Then  $a\psi = ae_{\alpha}$  and  $b\psi = be_{\beta}$ . By Lemma 6, we can get that

$$a\psi b\psi = ae_{\alpha}be_{\beta} = ae_{\alpha}e_{\beta}b = ae_{\alpha\beta}b = abe_{\alpha\beta} = (ab)\psi.$$

So  $\psi$  is a homomorphism and it is easy to understand that  $\widetilde{\psi}$  is a congruence on S. For any  $a \in S$ , it is easy to see that  $a\widetilde{\psi}a\psi (\in RegS)$  and so  $\widetilde{\psi}$  is a regular congruence. Further, since  $a\widetilde{\psi}b$  if and only if a = b for any  $a, b \in RegS$ ,  $\widetilde{\psi}$  is the least Clifford congruence on S.

**Definition 1.** Let S be a partial semigroup and T be a semigroup. A mapping  $f: S \to T$  is called a partial semigroup homomorphism if (ab)f = (af)(bf) for any  $a, b \in S$  and  $ab \in S$ .

Now we give the main result of this paper.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $S = \bigcup_{\alpha \in Y} S_{\alpha}$  be a GV-inverse semigroup. If the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i)  $RegS = \bigcup_{\alpha \in Y} G_{\alpha}$  is a Clifford subsemigroup of S, denoted by  $G[Y; G_{\alpha}, \theta_{\alpha,\beta}]$ , and it is an ideal of S, which means that S is a nil-extension of a Clifford semigroup.
- (ii) For any  $\alpha, \beta \in Y$  with  $\alpha \geq \beta$ , if  $Q_{\alpha} \neq \emptyset$ , there is a partial semigroup homomorphism  $\varphi_{\alpha,\beta}: Q_{\alpha} \to S_{\beta}$  such that
  - (1)  $\varphi_{\alpha,\alpha} = 1_{Q_{\alpha}}$  for any  $\alpha \in Y$ .
- (2) For any  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in Y$  with  $\gamma \leq \alpha\beta$  and  $a \in Q_{\alpha}, b \in Q_{\beta}$ , if  $ab \notin Q_{\alpha\beta}$ , then  $(a\varphi_{\alpha,\gamma})(b\varphi_{\beta,\gamma}) \notin Q_{\gamma}$ .
- (3) For any  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in Y$  with  $\alpha \geq \beta \geq \gamma$  and  $a \in Q_{\alpha}$ , if  $a\varphi_{\alpha,\beta} \in Q_{\beta}$ , then  $a\varphi_{\alpha,\beta}\varphi_{\beta,\gamma} = a\varphi_{\alpha,\gamma}$ . If  $a\varphi_{\alpha,\beta} \notin Q_{\beta}$ , then  $a\varphi_{\alpha,\gamma} \notin Q_{\gamma}$ .
  - (4) For any  $\alpha, \beta \in Y$  and  $a \in Q_{\alpha}$ ,  $b \in Q_{\beta}$ , if  $ab \in Q_{\alpha\beta}$ , then  $ab = (a\varphi_{\alpha,\alpha\beta})(b\varphi_{\beta,\alpha\beta})$ .
- (iii) For any  $\alpha, \beta \in Y$  with  $\alpha \geq \beta$ ,  $\varphi_{\alpha,\beta}\psi = \psi\theta_{\alpha,\beta}$ , where  $\psi$  is the homomorphism in Lemma 7.

Define a mapping  $\phi_{\alpha,\beta}: S_{\alpha} \to S_{\beta}$  for any  $\alpha, \beta \in Y$  with  $\alpha \geq \beta$  and  $\alpha \in S_{\alpha}$ ,

$$a\phi_{\alpha,\beta} = \begin{cases} a\theta_{\alpha,\beta}, & a \in G_{\alpha}, \\ a\varphi_{\alpha,\beta}, & a \in Q_{\alpha}. \end{cases}$$

Then S is a strong semilattice of  $\pi$ -groups, denoted by  $S[Y; S_{\alpha}, \phi_{\alpha,\beta}]$ . Conversely, every strong semilattice of  $\pi$ -groups can be so obtained.

*Proof.* Let S be a GV-inverse semigroup and the given conditions are satisfied. In order to prove that S is a strong semilattice of  $\pi$ -groups, we firstly show that the mapping  $\phi_{\alpha,\beta}$  defined is a homomorphism from  $S_{\alpha}$  to  $S_{\beta}$ . For any  $\alpha,\beta\in Y$  with  $\alpha\geq\beta$ , suppose that  $a,b\in S_{\alpha}$ .

Case 1: if  $a \in Q_{\alpha}$ ,  $b \in Q_{\alpha}$  and  $ab \in Q_{\alpha}$ , since  $\varphi_{\alpha,\beta}$  is a partial semigroup homomorphism,

$$(ab)\phi_{\alpha,\beta} = (ab)\varphi_{\alpha,\beta} = (a\varphi_{\alpha,\beta})(b\varphi_{\alpha,\beta}) = (a\phi_{\alpha,\beta})(b\phi_{\alpha,\beta}).$$

Case 2: if  $a \in Q_{\alpha}$ ,  $b \in Q_{\alpha}$  and  $ab \in G_{\alpha}$ , since  $G_{\alpha}$  is the group kernel of  $S_{\alpha}$ ,

$$(ab)\phi_{\alpha,\beta} = (abe_{\alpha})\phi_{\alpha,\beta} = ((ae_{\alpha})(be_{\alpha}))\theta_{\alpha,\beta} = (ae_{\alpha})\theta_{\alpha,\beta}(be_{\alpha})\theta_{\alpha,\beta}$$

$$= (a\psi\theta_{\alpha,\beta})(b\psi\theta_{\alpha,\beta}) = (a\varphi_{\alpha,\beta}\psi)(b\varphi_{\alpha,\beta}\psi)(by \text{ Condition (iii)})$$

$$= (a\varphi_{\alpha,\beta}e_{\beta})(b\varphi_{\alpha,\beta}e_{\beta}) = a\varphi_{\alpha,\beta}b\varphi_{\alpha,\beta}e_{\beta}$$

$$= a\varphi_{\alpha,\beta}b\varphi_{\alpha,\beta} = a\phi_{\alpha,\beta}b\phi_{\alpha,\beta} \text{ (by Condition (ii)(2))}.$$

Case 3: if  $a \in Q_{\alpha}$ ,  $b \in G_{\alpha}$ , then  $ab \in G_{\alpha}$  since  $G_{\alpha}$  is the group kernel of  $S_{\alpha}$ ,

$$(ab)\phi_{\alpha,\beta} = (ab)\theta_{\alpha,\beta} = (a(e_{\alpha}b))\theta_{\alpha,\beta} = ((ae_{\alpha}b)\theta_{\alpha,\beta} = (ae_{\alpha})\theta_{\alpha,\beta}b\theta_{\alpha,\beta}$$
$$= (a\psi\theta_{\alpha,\beta})b\theta_{\alpha,\beta} = (a\varphi_{\alpha,\beta}e_{\beta})(b\theta_{\alpha,\beta}) \text{ (by Condition (iii))}$$
$$= (a\varphi_{\alpha,\beta})(e_{\beta}b\theta_{\alpha,\beta}) = a\varphi_{\alpha,\beta}b\theta_{\alpha,\beta} = a\phi_{\alpha,\beta}b\phi_{\alpha,\beta}.$$

Case 4: if  $a \in G_{\alpha}$ ,  $b \in Q_{\alpha}$ , then  $ab \in G_{\alpha}$ , similar to the above case,

$$(ab)\phi_{\alpha,\beta} = (ab)\theta_{\alpha,\beta} = ((ae_{\alpha})b)\theta_{\alpha,\beta} = (a(e_{\alpha}b))\theta_{\alpha,\beta}$$

$$= a\theta_{\alpha,\beta}(e_{\alpha}b)\theta_{\alpha,\beta} = a\theta_{\alpha,\beta}(be_{\alpha})\theta_{\alpha,\beta} \text{ (by Lemma 1)}$$

$$= a\theta_{\alpha,\beta}b\varphi_{\alpha,\beta}e_{\beta} = a\theta_{\alpha,\beta}b\varphi_{\alpha,\beta} \text{ (by Condition (iii))}$$

$$= a\phi_{\alpha,\beta}b\phi_{\alpha,\beta}.$$

Case 5: if  $a \in G_{\alpha}$ ,  $b \in G_{\alpha}$ , then  $ab \in G_{\alpha}$ , by Condition (i),

$$(ab)\phi_{\alpha,\beta} = (ab)\theta_{\alpha,\beta} = a\theta_{\alpha,\beta}b\theta_{\alpha,\beta} = a\phi_{\alpha,\beta}b\phi_{\alpha,\beta}.$$

And so the mapping  $\phi_{\alpha,\beta}$  defined is a homomorphism from  $S_{\alpha}$  to  $S_{\beta}$ . By Condition (i), (ii)(1) and the definition of  $\phi_{\alpha,\beta}$ , it is clear that  $\phi_{\alpha,\alpha} = 1_{S_{\alpha}}$ .

For any  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in Y$  with  $\alpha \geq \beta \geq \gamma$ , let  $a \in Q_{\alpha}$ .

If  $a\varphi_{\alpha,\beta} \in Q_{\beta}$ , by Condition (ii)(3),

$$a\phi_{\alpha,\beta}\phi_{\beta,\gamma} = a\varphi_{\alpha,\beta}\phi_{\beta,\gamma} = a\varphi_{\alpha,\beta}\varphi_{\beta,\gamma} = a\varphi_{\alpha,\gamma} = a\phi_{\alpha,\gamma}.$$

If  $a \in Q_{\alpha}$  and  $a\varphi_{\alpha,\beta} \notin Q_{\beta}$ , then  $a\varphi_{\alpha,\gamma} \notin Q_{\gamma}$  by Condition (ii)(3),

$$a\phi_{\alpha,\beta}\phi_{\beta,\gamma} = a\varphi_{\alpha,\beta}\theta_{\beta,\gamma} = (a\varphi_{\alpha,\beta}e_{\beta})\theta_{\beta,\gamma}$$

$$= (a\psi\theta_{\alpha,\beta})\theta_{\beta,\gamma} = (ae_{\alpha})\theta_{\alpha,\beta}\theta_{\beta,\gamma} \text{ (by Condition (iii))}$$

$$= (ae_{\alpha})\theta_{\alpha,\gamma} = (a\psi)\theta_{\alpha,\gamma} = a\varphi_{\alpha,\gamma}\psi \text{ (by Condition (i), (iii))}$$

$$= a\varphi_{\alpha,\gamma}e_{\gamma} = a\varphi_{\alpha,\gamma} = a\phi_{\alpha,\gamma}.$$

Let  $a \in G_{\alpha}$ .

$$a\phi_{\alpha,\beta}\phi_{\beta,\gamma} = a\theta_{\alpha,\beta}\phi_{\beta,\gamma} = a\theta_{\alpha,\beta}\theta_{\beta,\gamma} = a\theta_{\alpha,\gamma} = a\phi_{\alpha,\gamma}.$$

Now we consider the multiplication on S. For any  $\alpha, \beta \in Y$ , suppose that  $a \in S_{\alpha}$  and  $b \in S_{\beta}$ .

Case i: if  $a \in Q_{\alpha}$ ,  $b \in Q_{\beta}$ , and  $ab \in Q_{\alpha\beta}$ , then by Condition (ii)(4),

$$ab = a\varphi_{\alpha,\alpha\beta}b\varphi_{\beta,\alpha\beta} = a\varphi_{\alpha,\alpha\beta}b\varphi_{\beta,\alpha\beta}.$$

Case ii: if  $a \in Q_{\alpha}$ ,  $b \in Q_{\beta}$ , and  $ab \in G_{\alpha\beta}$ , then

$$ab = (ab)e_{\alpha\beta} = a(be_{\alpha\beta}) = ae_{\alpha\beta}(be_{\alpha\beta}) \text{ (since RegS is an ideal of S)}$$

$$= ae_{\alpha}e_{\alpha\beta}be_{\beta}e_{\alpha\beta} = (ae_{\alpha})e_{\alpha\beta}(be_{\beta})e_{\alpha\beta}$$

$$= (ae_{\alpha})\theta_{\alpha,\alpha\beta}(be_{\beta})\theta_{\beta,\alpha\beta} \text{ (since RegS is a Clifford subsemigroup)}$$

$$= (a\psi\theta_{\alpha,\alpha\beta})(b\psi\theta_{\beta,\alpha\beta}) = (a\varphi_{\alpha,\alpha\beta}e_{\alpha\beta})(b\varphi_{\beta,\alpha\beta}e_{\alpha\beta}) \text{ (by Condition (iii))}$$

$$= a\varphi_{\alpha,\alpha\beta}(e_{\alpha\beta}b\varphi_{\beta,\alpha\beta}e_{\alpha\beta}) = a\varphi_{\alpha,\alpha\beta}b\varphi_{\beta,\alpha\beta}e_{\alpha\beta}$$

$$= a\varphi_{\alpha,\alpha\beta}b\varphi_{\beta,\alpha\beta} \text{ (by Condition (ii)(2))}$$

$$= a\varphi_{\alpha,\alpha\beta}b\varphi_{\beta,\alpha\beta}.$$

Case iii: if  $a \in Q_{\alpha}$ ,  $b \in G_{\beta}$ , then  $ab \in G_{\alpha\beta}$  by Condition (i), and

$$ab = (ab)e_{\alpha\beta} = ae_{\alpha\beta}(be_{\alpha\beta}) = (ae_{\alpha}e_{\alpha\beta})be_{\alpha\beta}$$

$$= (ae_{\alpha})\theta_{\alpha,\alpha\beta}b\theta_{\beta,\alpha\beta} = (a\psi\theta_{\alpha,\alpha\beta})b\theta_{\beta,\alpha\beta}$$

$$= (a\varphi_{\alpha,\alpha\beta}\psi)b\theta_{\beta,\alpha\beta} = a\varphi_{\alpha,\alpha\beta}e_{\alpha\beta}b\theta_{\beta,\alpha\beta} \text{ (by Condition (iii))}$$

$$= a\varphi_{\alpha,\alpha\beta}b\theta_{\beta,\alpha\beta} = a\phi_{\alpha,\alpha\beta}b\phi_{\beta,\alpha\beta}.$$

Case iv: if  $a \in G_{\alpha}$ ,  $b \in Q_{\beta}$ ,  $ab \in G_{\alpha\beta}$ , then similar to the above case

$$ab = (ab)e_{\alpha\beta} = ae_{\alpha\beta}(be_{\alpha\beta}) = ae_{\alpha\beta}be_{\beta}e_{\alpha\beta}$$

$$= a\theta_{\alpha,\alpha\beta}(be_{\beta})\theta_{\beta,\alpha\beta} = a\theta_{\alpha,\alpha\beta}(b\psi\theta_{\beta,\alpha\beta})$$

$$= a\theta_{\alpha,\alpha\beta}(b\varphi_{\beta,\alpha\beta}\psi) = a\theta_{\alpha,\alpha\beta}b\varphi_{\beta,\alpha\beta}e_{\alpha\beta} \text{ (by Condition (iii))}$$

$$= a\theta_{\alpha,\alpha\beta}b\varphi_{\beta,\alpha\beta} = a\phi_{\alpha,\alpha\beta}b\phi_{\beta,\alpha\beta}.$$

Case v: if  $a \in G_{\alpha}$ ,  $b \in G_{\beta}$ , then  $ab \in G_{\alpha\beta}$ , since RegS is a Clifford subsemigroup of S,

$$ab = a\theta_{\alpha,\alpha\beta}b\theta_{\beta,\alpha\beta} = a\phi_{\alpha,\alpha\beta}b\phi_{\beta,\alpha\beta}.$$

By now we have proved that GV-inverse semigroup S is a strong semilattice of  $\pi$ -groups if it satisfies the conditions.

Conversely, suppose that GV-inverse semigroup S is a strong semilattice of  $\pi$ -groups, denoted by  $S[Y; S_{\alpha}, \phi_{\alpha,\beta}]$ . For any  $\alpha, \beta \in Y$  and  $a \in S_{\alpha}$ ,  $b \in G_{\beta} \subseteq RegS$ ,  $ab = a\phi_{\alpha,\alpha\beta}b\phi_{\beta,\alpha\beta}$ . Because the structure homomorphisms  $\phi_{\alpha,\beta}$  preserve the Green's relations on S,  $b\phi_{\beta,\alpha\beta} \in G_{\alpha\beta}$  by Lemma 4. On the other hand,  $G_{\alpha\beta}$  is an ideal of  $S_{\alpha\beta}$ , and so  $ab \in G_{\alpha\beta} \subseteq RegS$ . Similarly, we can prove that  $ab \in RegS$  for any  $a \in RegS$ ,  $b \in S$ . And so RegS is an ideal of S. Since  $RegS = \bigcup_{\alpha \in Y} G_{\alpha}$ , it is clear that RegS is a Clifford subsemigroup of S. Denote  $\phi_{\alpha,\beta}|_{G_{\alpha}}$  by  $\theta_{\alpha,\beta}$ , then  $RegS = G[Y; G_{\alpha}, \theta_{\alpha,\beta}]$ .

By Lemma 2,  $Q_{\alpha}$  is a partial semigroup for any  $\alpha \in Y$ . Denote  $\phi_{\alpha,\beta}|_{Q_{\alpha}}$  by  $\varphi_{\alpha,\beta}$ , then it is easy to understand that  $\varphi_{\alpha,\beta}$  is a partial semigroup homomorphism from  $Q_{\alpha}$  to  $S_{\beta}$  such that Condition (ii) and (iii) are satisfied. In fact, for any  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in Y$  with  $\gamma \leq \alpha\beta$  and  $a \in Q_{\alpha}, b \in Q_{\beta}$ , since

$$(a\varphi_{\alpha,\gamma})(b\varphi_{\beta,\gamma}) = (a\phi_{\alpha,\gamma})(b\phi_{\beta,\gamma}) = (a\phi_{\alpha,\alpha\beta}\phi_{\alpha\beta,\gamma})(b\phi_{\beta,\alpha\beta}\phi_{\alpha\beta,\gamma})$$
$$= (a\phi_{\alpha,\alpha\beta}b\phi_{\beta,\alpha\beta})\phi_{\alpha\beta,\gamma} = (ab)\phi_{\alpha\beta,\gamma}.$$

If  $ab \notin Q_{\alpha\beta}$ , then  $(a\varphi_{\alpha,\gamma})(b\varphi_{\beta,\gamma}) = (ab)\phi_{\alpha\beta,\gamma} \notin Q_{\gamma}$ . So Condition (ii)(2) holds. And it is easy to see that Condition (ii)(1),(3),(4) hold by the definition of strong semilattice.

Further, for any  $\alpha, \beta \in Y$  with  $\alpha \geq \beta$  and  $a \in Q_{\alpha}$ , since  $ae_{\alpha}$  is regular,

$$a\psi\theta_{\alpha,\beta} = (ae_{\alpha})\theta_{\alpha,\beta} = (ae_{\alpha})\phi_{\alpha,\beta} = (a\phi_{\alpha,\beta})(e_{\alpha}\phi_{\alpha,\beta}) = a\varphi_{\alpha,\beta}e_{\beta} = a\varphi_{\alpha,\beta}\psi.$$

So each strong semilattice of  $\pi$ -groups satisfies the conditions. The proof is completed.

At last, we consider the homomorphisms between two strong semilattices of  $\pi$ -groups. Let  $S[Y; S_{\alpha}, \phi_{\alpha,\beta}]$  and  $T[M; T_{\alpha}, \omega_{\alpha,\beta}]$  be two strong semilattices of  $\pi$ -groups. Denote the set of non-regular elements of S and T by  $Q^S$  and  $Q^T$  respectively. Every homomorphism f from S to T induces a homomorphism from Y to M, we denoted this semilattice homomorphism by  $f_L$ , and it is obvious that  $e_{\alpha}f = e_{\alpha f_L}$  for any  $\alpha \in Y$ . On the other hand, since  $f \mid_{S_{\alpha}} \in Hom(S_{\alpha}, T_{\alpha f_L})$ , we can get a family of  $\pi$ -group homomorphisms denoted by  $\{f_{\alpha} : \alpha \in Y\}$ .

**Theorem 2.** Let S and T be be two strong semilattices of  $\pi$ -groups as defined above. Given a semilattice homomorphism  $f_L: Y \to M$  and a family of  $\pi$ -group homomorphisms  $\{f_\alpha: \alpha \in Y\}$ , where  $f_\alpha \in Hom(S_\alpha, T_{\alpha f_L})$ . Define  $f: S \to T$  by  $sf = sf_\alpha$  for any  $\alpha \in Y$ ,  $s \in S_\alpha$ . And the following conditions are satisfied.

- (1)  $f|_{Q^S}$  is a partial semigroup homomorphism from  $Q^S$  to T. And for any  $a, b \in Q^S$ , if  $ab \notin Q^S$ , then  $(af)(bf) \notin Q^T$ .
  - (2)  $\phi_{\alpha,\beta}f_{\beta}\psi = f_{\alpha}\omega_{\alpha f_L,\beta f_L}\psi$  for any  $\alpha,\beta \in Y$  with  $\alpha \geq \beta$ .

Then f is a homomorphism. Conversely, every homomorphism between two strong semilattices of  $\pi$ -groups satisfies the conditions.

*Proof.* It is obvious that f is a map from S to T. We only need to prove that f is a homomorphism. Let  $a \in S_{\alpha}$  and  $b \in S_{\beta}$ .

Case 1: if  $ab \in Q_{\alpha\beta}$ , then  $a \in Q_{\alpha}$ ,  $b \in Q_{\beta}$ , then by Condition (1), afbf = (ab)f. Case 2: if  $ab \notin Q_{\alpha\beta}$ , then  $(ab)f \notin Q^T$ ,

$$(ab)f = (ab)f_{\alpha\beta} = (a\phi_{\alpha,\alpha\beta}b\phi_{\beta,\alpha\beta})f_{\alpha\beta} = (a\phi_{\alpha,\alpha\beta}f_{\alpha\beta})(b\phi_{\beta,\alpha\beta}f_{\alpha\beta})e_{(\alpha\beta)f_L}$$
$$= (a\phi_{\alpha,\alpha\beta}f_{\alpha\beta}e_{(\alpha\beta)f_L})(b\phi_{\beta,\alpha\beta}f_{\alpha\beta}e_{(\alpha\beta)f_L}) = (a\phi_{\alpha,\alpha\beta}f_{\alpha\beta}\psi)(b\phi_{\beta,\alpha\beta}f_{\alpha\beta}\psi).$$

On the other hand,

$$afbf = (af_{\alpha})(bf_{\beta}) = (af_{\alpha}\omega_{\alpha f_{L},(\alpha\beta)f_{L}})(bf_{\beta}\omega_{\beta f_{L},(\alpha\beta)f_{L}})$$

$$= (af_{\alpha}\omega_{\alpha f_{L},(\alpha\beta)f_{L}}e_{(\alpha\beta)f_{L}})(bf_{\beta}\omega_{\beta f_{L},(\alpha\beta)f_{L}}e_{(\alpha\beta)f_{L}}) \text{ (by Condition (1))}$$

$$= (af_{\alpha}\omega_{\alpha f_{L},(\alpha\beta)f_{L}}\psi)(bf_{\beta}\omega_{\beta f_{L},(\alpha\beta)f_{L}}\psi).$$

By Condition (2), we get afbf = (ab)f.

Conversely, let f be a homomorphism from S to T,  $f_L$  and  $\{f_\alpha : \alpha \in Y\}$  be the corresponding semilattice homomorphism and the family of  $\pi$ -group homomorphisms as considered above this theorem. That Condition (1) holds is clear. We only need to prove the equation holds in Condition (2).

At first, we give the following fact. Let  $R[Z; R_{\alpha}, \chi_{\alpha,\beta}]$  be a strong semilattices of  $\pi$ -groups. For any  $\alpha, \beta \in Z$  with  $\alpha \geq \beta$  and for any  $a \in R_{\alpha}$ ,

$$a(\psi \chi_{\alpha,\beta}) = (ae_{\alpha})\chi_{\alpha,\beta} = a\chi_{\alpha,\beta}e_{\alpha}\chi_{\alpha,\beta} = a\chi_{\alpha,\beta}e_{\beta} = a(\chi_{\alpha,\beta}\psi).$$

And so

$$\psi \chi_{\alpha,\beta} = \chi_{\alpha,\beta} \psi. \tag{3}$$

Now we return to the proof. For any  $\alpha, \beta \in Y$  with  $\alpha \geq \beta$  and for any  $a \in S_{\alpha}$ ,

$$(ae_{\beta})f = (ae_{\alpha}e_{\beta})f = a(\psi\phi_{\alpha,\beta}f_{\beta}),$$

$$(af)(e_{\beta}f) = (af_{\alpha})(e_{\beta}f_L) = (af_{\alpha})(e_{\alpha}f_L)(e_{\beta}f_L) = a(f_{\alpha}\psi\omega_{\alpha}f_L,\beta}f_L).$$

And hence,

$$\psi \phi_{\alpha,\beta} f_{\beta} = f_{\alpha} \psi \omega_{\alpha f_{I},\beta f_{I}}. \tag{4}$$

Specially, for any  $\alpha \in Y$  and  $a \in S_{\alpha}$ ,

$$a(\psi f_{\alpha}) = (ae_{\alpha})f_{\alpha} = af_{\alpha}e_{\alpha}f_{\alpha} = a(f_{\alpha}\psi).$$

Which means that

$$\psi f_{\alpha} = f_{\alpha} \psi. \tag{5}$$

By the equations (3), (4) and (5), it is easy to understand that

$$\phi_{\alpha,\beta} f_{\beta} \psi = \psi \phi_{\alpha,\beta} f_{\beta} = f_{\alpha} \psi \omega_{\alpha f_L,\beta f_L} = f_{\alpha} \omega_{\alpha f_L,\beta f_L} \psi. \tag{6}$$

The proof is completed.

Corollary 1. Let  $G_1[Y_1; G_{\alpha}, \phi_{\alpha,\beta}]$  and  $G_2[Y_2; G_{\alpha}, \omega_{\alpha,\beta}]$  be two Clifford semigroups. Given a semilattice homomorphism  $f_L: Y_1 \to Y_2$  and a family of group homomorphisms  $\{f_{\alpha}: \alpha \in Y_1\}$ , where  $f_{\alpha} \in Hom(G_{\alpha}, G_{\alpha f_L})$ . Define  $f: G_1 \to G_2$  by  $sf = sf_{\alpha}$  for any  $s \in G_{\alpha}$ . And for any  $\alpha, \beta \in Y$  with  $\alpha \geq \beta$ , the following equation is satisfied.

$$\phi_{\alpha,\beta}f_{\beta}=f_{\alpha}\omega_{\alpha}f_{I},\beta}f_{I}$$
.

Then f is a homomorphism. Conversely, every homomorphism between this two Clifford semigroups satisfies the conditions.

REFERENCES 597

### References

- [1] S. Bogdanović. Semigroups with a system of subsemigroups. Institute of Mathematics, Novi Sad, Yugoslavia, 1985.
- [2] J. L. Galbiati, M. L. Veronesi, On quasi-completely regular semigroups, *Semigroup Forum*, 29(1): 271-275, 1984.
- [3] J. M. Howie, Fundamental of semigroup theory, Oxford, Clarendon Press, 1995.
- [4] K. P. Shum, X. M. Ren, Y. Q. Guo, On  $C^*$ -quasiregular semigroups, Communications in Algebra, 27(9): 4251-4274, 1999.
- [5] J. G. Zhang, R. Shen, (Generalized) Green's relations of GV-Semigroups, J. of Donghua Univ. (Eng. Ed.), 29(2): 175-177, 2012.
- [6] Y.H. Yang, J.G. Zhang, Bitranslations of completely simple semigroups and some applications, *J. of Shanghai Normal Univ. (Natural Sciences)*, 41(2): 111-119, 2013.