



On C-co-epi-retractable modules

Abdoul Djibril Diallo¹, Papa Cheikhou Diop^{2,*}, Mamadou Barry¹

¹ *Département de Mathématiques et Informatique, Faculté des Sciences et Techniques, Université Cheikh Anta Diop, Dakar, Sénégal*

² *Département de Mathématiques, UFR Sciences et Technologies, Université de Thiès, Thiès, Sénégal*

Abstract. In this paper, we introduce the notion of c-co-epi-retractable modules. An R -module M is called c-co-epi-retractable if it contains a copy of its factor module by a complement submodule. The ring R is called c-co-pri if R_R is c-co-epi-retractable. Conditions are found under which, a c-co-epi-retractable module is extending, retractable, semi-simple, quasi-injective, injective and simple. Also, we investigate when c-co-epi-retractable modules have finite uniform dimension. Finally, right SI -rings, semi-simple artinian rings and quasi-Frobenius rings are characterized in terms of c-co-epi-retractable modules.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classifications: 13B10,13C05,13C13

Key Words and Phrases: co-epi-retractable modules, c-co-epi-retractable modules, extending modules, Rickart modules

1. Introduction

Throughout all rings are associative with identity and all modules are unitary right module. In [10], Ghorbani introduced the co-epi-retractable modules. An R -module M is called co-epi-retractable if it contains any of its factor modules. A ring R is called co-pri if R_R is a co-epi-retractable module. It is was shown in [10], that a ring R is co-pri iff its right ideals is the right annihilator of an element of R . Also co-pi-retractable modules have been investigated by Mostafanasab [15]. He studied the simplicity and the semi-simplicity of co-pi-retractable modules. Recall that a module M is called extending if every complement submodule is a direct summand. Motivated by the definition of a co-epi-retractable module and the definition of a extending module, we say that a module is c-co-epi-retractable if it contains a copy of its factor modules by a complement submodule. Every co-epi-retractable module and every extending module is c-co-epi-retractable. In particular uniform modules and semi-simple modules are c-co-epi-retractable. In this

*Corresponding author.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.29020/nybg.ejpam.v12i3.3461>

Email addresses: cheikpapa@yahoo.fr (P. C. Diop),
dialloabdoulaziz58@yahoo.fr (A. D. Diallo), mansabadion1@hotmail.com (M. Barry)

paper, we investigate when c-co-epi-retractable modules are extending, continuous, quasi-continuous, semi-simple, retractable, quasi-injective, injective and simple. Also, we prove under certain conditions that a c-co-epi-retractable module has finite uniform dimension. Finally, we characterize some well-known rings with the help of c-co-epi-retractable modules.

Our paper is structured as follows:

In the second section, we give preliminary definitions and results which we will use throughout this paper.

In the third section, we define the c-co-epi-retractable modules. Our aim in this section is to work on the concept of c-co-epi-retractable modules. We show, among others, the following results.

- (1) For an R -module with regular endomorphism ring, the properties, c-co-epi-retractable, extending, continuous and quasi-continuous are all equivalent.
- (2) If M is a c-co-epi-retractable module with $Udim(M) = n \geq 2$, then M is retractable and for any $0 \neq C \subseteq_c M$, M/C is uniform.
- (3) Let R be a right self-injective ring and M be a self-hereditary R -module. Then M is c-co-epi-retractable iff it is finitely generated semi-simple injective.
- (4) Let M be a c-co-epi-retractable R -module such that S satisfies DCC for cyclic right ideals. If for any finitely generated right ideal $I \subseteq S$, $r(KerI) = I$ then M has finite uniform dimension.
- (5) The following conditions are equivalent for a right SI -ring R :
 - (a) $R_R^{(\mathbb{N})}$ is extending.
 - (b) Every R -module is c-co-epi-retractable.
 - (c) Every R -module is extending.

For an R -module M , $S = End_R(M)$ denotes the endomorphism ring of M . For $\phi \in S$, $Ker\phi$ and $Im\phi$ stand for kernel and image of ϕ , respectively. The notations $N \leq M$, $N \leq_e M$ and $N \leq^\oplus M$ mean that N is a submodule of M , an essential submodule and a direct summand of M , respectively. Also $E(M)$ denotes the injective hull of M .

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we are going to give preliminary definitions and results which we will use throughout this paper.

Definition 1. 1. An R -module M is called *CS module* if every complement submodule of M is a direct summand.

2. An R -module M is called *continuous* if it is a CS module and satisfies the following condition: (C2) Every submodule of M that is isomorphic to a direct summand M is itself a direct summand of M .

3. An R -module M is called *quasi-continuous* if it is a CS module and satisfies the following condition: (C3) If N and K are direct summands of M with $N \cap K = \{0\}$, then $N \oplus K$ is a direct summand of M .

Definition 2. Let M be an R -module, put $Z(M) = \{m \in M : \text{ann}_R(m) \leq_e R\}$. M is called nonsingular if $Z(M) = \{0\}$, and singular if $Z(M) = M$. The Goldie torsion submodule $Z_2(M)$ of M is defined by $Z(M/Z(M)) = Z_2(M)/Z(M)$. M is Z_2 -torsion if, $Z_2(M) = M$.

3. C-co-epi-retractable modules and some applications

Definition 3. An R -module M is called c -co-epi-retractable if, for every complement submodule N of M , there exists a monomorphism $f : M/N \rightarrow M$. The ring R is called c -co-pri if R_R is c -co-epi-retractable.

Remark 1. Clearly, every co-epi-retractable module is c -co-epi-retractable while the converse is not true. For example \mathbb{Q} as \mathbb{Z} -module is c -co-epi-retractable but it is not co-epi-retractable.

Lemma 1. The following statements are equivalent for an R -module M :

- (1) M is a c -co-epi-retractable module.
- (2) There exists $\varphi \in \text{End}_R(M)$ such that $\text{Ker}\varphi = N$ for any nonzero $N \subseteq_c M$.

Proposition 1. Let M be a c -co-epi-retractable R -module. Then a fully invariant complement submodule of a M is also c -co-epi-retractable.

Proof.

Let M be a c -co-epi-retractable module and $N \subseteq_c M$ with N fully invariant. Let $K \subseteq_c N$. Then, $K \subseteq_c M$. So, there is an endomorphism $f : M \rightarrow M$ such that $K = \text{Ker}f$. Then $f|_N : N \rightarrow N$ and $K = \text{Ker}(f|_N)$. Therefore, N is a c -co-epi-retractable module.

Corollary 1. Every fully invariant direct summand of a c -co-epi-retractable module is c -co-epi-retractable.

Proposition 2. Let M be an R -module with $S = \text{End}_R(M)$ regular.

Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) M is a c -co-epi-retractable module.
- (2) M is an extending module.

Proof.

(1) \Rightarrow (2) Suppose M is a c -co-epi-retractable module and K a complement submodule of M . Then, there is $0 \neq g \in \text{End}_R(M)$ such that $\text{Ker}g = K$. By our assumption, $\text{Ker}g = K$ is a direct summand of M . Therefore, M is an extending module.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) is obvious.

Corollary 2. A ring R is regular c -co-pri if and only if it is right nonsingular right continuous.

Proposition 3. A ring R is c -co-pri if and only if every complement right ideal of R is the right annihilator of an element of R .

Proof.

Let I be a right complement ideal of R . If R is c-co-pri, there is a monomorphism $f : R/I \rightarrow R$. Set $x = f(1 + I)$, then $I = r(x)$, where $r(x)$ denotes the right annihilator of x . On the other hand, if $I = r(x)$ is a right complement ideal of R for an element $x \in R$, then $R/I \cong xR$.

Let M be an R -module. The left annihilator of $N \leq M$ in $S = \text{End}_R(M)$ is denoted by $L_S(N) = \{\phi \in S : \phi N = \{0\}\}$ and the right annihilator of a left ideal I of S is $r_M(I) = \{m \in M : Im = \{0\}\}$

Recall that an R -module is called Baer if, for all $N \leq M$, $L_S(N) = Se$, with $e^2 = e \in S$. Equivalently, M is Baer if, for all ideal $I \leq_S S$, $r_M(I) = eM$ with $e^2 = e \in S$. An R -module M is called Rickart if any endomorphism of M has a direct summand kernel. A module M is called \mathcal{K} -nonsingular if, $\forall \varphi \in \text{End}(M)$, $\text{Ker} \varphi \leq_e M$ implies $\varphi = 0$.

Lemma 2. ([16], Lemma 2.14)

Any \mathcal{K} -nonsingular extending module is Baer.

In the two following results, we show that for a c-co-epi-retractable R -module or a module with c-co-pri endomorphism ring the properties Rickart and Baer are equivalent.

Proposition 4. Let M be a c-co-epi-retractable R -module. Then M is Rickart if and only if M is Baer.

Proof.

Suppose M is Rickart. Since, M is c-co-epi-retractable, it is also extending by Proposition 2. Now, suppose $\text{Ker} f \leq_e M$ for some $f \in \text{End}_R(M)$. The property of Rickart implies that $\text{Ker} f \leq^\oplus M$, and so $\text{Ker} f = M$. Consequently, $f = 0$. Therefore, according to Lemma 2, M is Baer. The converse implication is clear.

Corollary 3. Let R be a c-co-pri ring. Then R is Baer if and only if R is right Rickart.

Proposition 5. Let M be an R -module for which S is c-co-pri. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) M is Baer.
- (2) M is Rickart.
- (3) S is right Rickart.

Proof.

(1) \Rightarrow (2) This is clear.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Follows from Proposition 2.2.1 in [13].

(3) \Rightarrow (1) Let N be a submodule of M . Since S is right Rickart, it is also right nonsingular. Thus, $L_S(N)$ is a complement right ideal in S . Because S is c-co-pri, it follows from Proposition 2 that S is right extending. Therefore, $L_S(N) = S(1 - e)$ for some $e = e^2 \in S$,

and hence M is Baer.

Recall that an R -module N is said to subgenerated by M if N is isomorphic to a submodule of an M -generated module, i.e N is a kernel of a morphism between M -generated modules.

We denote by $\sigma[M]$, the full subcategory of $\text{mod-}R$ whose objects are all R -modules subgenerated by M .

Recall that an R -module M is self-hereditary if every submodule of M is projective in $\sigma[M]$.

Theorem 1. *Let R be a right self-injective ring and M be a self-hereditary R -module. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) M is c -co-epi-retractable.
- (2) M is extending.
- (3) M is continuous.
- (4) M is finitely generated semi-simple injective.

Proof.

(1) \Rightarrow (2) Suppose M is c -co-epi-retractable. Thus for any complement submodule C of M , there exists a submodule N of M such that $M/C \cong N$. Consequently, the property of self-hereditary implies that C is a direct summand of M . Hence M is extending.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Suppose M is extending. Thus, according to Theorem 10.5 in [7], M is nonsingular and has finite uniform dimension. Hence, there exists uniform independent submodules U_1, \dots, U_n of M such that $V = U_1 \oplus U_2 \oplus \dots \oplus U_n$ is an essential submodule of M . Set $0 \neq u_i \in U_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$. Then, $U_i = u_i R$. It is easy to see that $M = V$. Therefore, M is finitely generated semi-simple injective. This means that M is continuous.

(3) \Rightarrow (4) Follows from an argument similar to the one in (2) \Rightarrow (3).

(4) \Leftrightarrow (1) It is easy to see.

Corollary 4. *A right self-injective right hereditary ring is semi-simple artinian.*

Recall that a module M is said to be retractable if for any $0 \neq N \leq M$, there exists a nonzero homomorphism from M to N . A module M has finite uniform dimension n (written $Udim(M) = n$) if there is an essential submodule $V \leq_e M$ that is a direct sum of n uniform submodules.

Remark 2. *A c -co-epi-retractable module with finite uniform dimension need not be retractable. In fact, the \mathbb{Z} -module \mathbb{Q} is c -co-epi-retractable with finite uniform dimension but it is not retractable. Clearly, a c -co-epi-retractable need not to have a finite uniform dimension. For example extending modules are c -co-epi-retractable which need not have finite uniform dimension.*

Proposition 6. *If M is a c -co-epi-retractable R -module with $Udim(M) = n \geq 2$, then the following assertions are verified:*

- (1) M is retractable.
- (2) For every $0 \neq C \subseteq_c M$, M/C is uniform.

Proof.

(1) Let $0 \neq N \leq M$. Since $Udim(N) < \infty$, N contains a uniform submodule, say U . After replacing U by an essential closure, we may assume that U is a complement submodule of M . By the c-co-epi-retractable condition on M , there exists a monomorphism $f : M/U \rightarrow M$. Consider the inclusion map $i : U \rightarrow M$. Thus, $f = ij$ is a monomorphism where $j : M/U \rightarrow U$. Consequently, j is a monomorphism. Now, consider the inclusion map $i_1 : U \rightarrow N$. So, $i_1 j \pi : M \rightarrow N$ is a nonzero homomorphism where $\pi : M \rightarrow M/U$ is the natural surjection. Therefore, M is retractable.

(2) Since $Udim(M) = n \geq 2$, there exist complements submodules $C_i \subseteq_c M (1 \leq i \leq n)$ such that each M/C_i is uniform and $C_1 \cap \dots \cap C_n = 0$. Thus, there exists a monomorphism $f : M \rightarrow \bigoplus_i^n M/C_i$. Let $0 \neq C \subseteq_c M$. Since M is c-co-epi-retractable, there exists a monomorphism $g : M/C \rightarrow M$. Hence, $h = fg : M/C \rightarrow \bigoplus_i^n M/C_i$ is a monomorphism. Consider the inclusion map $i : M/C_i \rightarrow \bigoplus_i^n M/C_i$. Thus, $h = ii_1$ is a monomorphism where $i_1 : M/C \rightarrow M/C_i$. Therefore, i_1 is a monomorphism. It follows that M/C is uniform.

Corollary 5. *An R -module M is simple if and only if M is Artinian c-co-epi-retractable and every endomorphisme of M is a monomorphism.*

Let N and M be R -modules and $S = End_R(M)$. We denote by \mathcal{N} the set of R -submodules of N and by \mathcal{H} the set of S -submodules of $Hom_R(N, M)_S$.

For $X \in \mathcal{H}$ we put:

$$Ker(X) = \cap \{Ker g | g \in X\} \in \mathcal{N}.$$

In the next result, we investigate when c-co-epi-retractable R -modules have finite uniform dimension.

Proposition 7. *Let M be a c-co-epi-retractable R -module such that S satisfies DCC for cyclic right ideals. If for any finitely generated right ideal $I \subseteq S$, $r(Ker I) = I$, then has finite uniform dimension.*

Proof.

In view of Proposition 6.30 in [12], we need to show that the complements in M satisfy ACC. Now, let $C_1 \subseteq C_2 \subseteq \dots$ be an ascending chain of complement submodules of M . By the c-co-epi-retractable condition on M , there is $f_i \in S$ such that each C_i is of the form $Ker f_i = Ker f_i S$. With applying $r(-)$ to this chain, we see that $f_1 S \supseteq f_2 S \supseteq \dots$. By our assumption, there is some n such that $f_i S = f_n S$ for all $i \geq n$. Hence, $C_i = C_n$ for all $i \geq n$. Therefore, M has finite uniform dimension.

Recall that an R -module M is said to be have the summand sum property (*SSP*, for short) if, the sum of any two direct summands of M is again a direct summand of M .

Corollary 6. *Let M be a quasi-injective R -module such that $R \oplus M$ has the SSP. Assume that S satisfies DCC for cyclic right ideals. Then M is finitely generated semi-simple.*

Proof.

Since $R \oplus M$ has the *SSP*, we infer from Proposition 3.4 in [9] that every cyclic submodule of M is a direct summand of M . Since M is quasi-injective, $r(\text{Ker}I) = I$ for any finitely generated right ideal $I \subseteq S$ by ([19], 28.1). But M is *c-co-epi-retractable*. Then, according to Proposition 7, M has finite uniform dimension. Therefore, M is finitely generated semi-simple.

Corollary 7. *If M is a quasi-injective R -module such that S satisfies *DCC* for cyclic right ideals, then M is a finite direct sum of uniform submodules.*

Proof.

Suppose M is quasi-injective such that S satisfies *DCC* for cyclic right ideals. Thus by ([19], 28.1), $r(\text{Ker}I) = I$ for any finitely generated right ideal $I \subseteq S$. Therefore, according to Proposition 7, M is a finite direct sum of uniform submodules.

Corollary 8. *Let R be a right self-injective ring and M a nonsingular R -module such that S satisfies *DCC* for cyclic right ideals. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1) M is quasi-injective.
- (2) M is semi-simple injective.

Recall that an R -module M is called compressible if for every nonzero submodule N of M there is a monomorphism $f : M \rightarrow N$.

Proposition 8. *An R -module is simple if and only if it is compressible *c-co-epi-retractable* and contains a maximal complement submodule.*

Proof.

The necessity is clear. Conversely, assume that M is compressible *c-co-epi-retractable* and contains a maximal complement submodule C . Then there is a submodule N of M such that $M/C \cong N$. Hence, N is simple. By the compressible condition on M , there is a monomorphism $f : M \rightarrow N$. Thus, M is isomorphic to a submodule of M . As $f \neq 0$, $M = N$, and so M is simple.

Corollary 9. *An R -module is simple if and only if it is compressible finitely generated *c-co-epi-retractable*.*

Recall that an R -module M is cohereditary if every factor module of M is injective. Now, let us introduce the following notion.

Definition 4. *An R -module module is called *c-cohereditary* if M/C is injective for each nonzero proper complement submodule C of M . The ring R is called *c-cohereditary* if R_R is *c-cohereditary*.*

Proposition 9. *A *c-cohereditary c-co-epi-retractable* R -module M is injective. Moreover, M is a direct sum of a nonsingular module and an injective module.*

Proof.

Suppose M is c-co-epi-retractable. It is well known that $Z_2(M)$ is a complement submodule of M . By the c-co-epi-retractable condition on M , there exists a nonzero endomorphism f of M such that $\text{Ker}f = Z_2(M)$. Hence, $M/\text{Ker}f \cong \text{Im}f$. By our assumption, $\text{Im}f$ is injective, and so a direct summand of M . Thus, there exists a submodule K of M such that $M = \text{Im}f \oplus K$. By hypothesis again, $M/\text{Im}f \cong K$ is injective. Therefore, M is injective. The last part is clear since $\text{Im}f$ is nonsingular.

Corollary 10. *A c-co-pri right c-cohereditary ring is right self-injective.*

Corollary 11. *A right extending right c-cohereditary ring is right self-injective.*

Corollary 12. *Any extending c-cohereditary R -module is injective*

We end this section with some applications of c-co-epi-retractable modules regarding the characterization of right SI , semi-simple artinian and quasi-Frobenius rings. Recall that a ring R is said to be right SI if every singular R -module is injective.

Lemma 3. *(([17], Lemma 3.1) and ([11], Theorem 3))*

If R is a right SI -ring, then R is right nonsingular right hereditary and every singular R -module is semi-simple.

Lemma 4. *([4], Corollary 3.2)*

Let M be an R -module having C_3 -condition. If $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ and $f : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ is a monomorphism, then $\text{Im}f \leq^\oplus M_2$.

Theorem 2. *The following conditions are equivalent for a ring R .*

- (1) R is a right SI -ring.
- (2) Every Z_2 -torsion c-co-epi-retractable R -module is injective.
- (3) Every Goldie-torsion R -module has C_3 -condition.

Proof.

(1) \Leftrightarrow (2) Follows from Theorem 3 in [11].

The implication (1) \Rightarrow (3) is clear.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) Let M be a cyclic Z_2 -torsion R -module. It is clear that $M \oplus E(M)$ is Goldie-torsion and has the C_3 -condition by (4). Consider the inclusion map $i : M \rightarrow E(M)$. Hence, $i(M) = M \leq^\oplus E(M)$ by Lemma 4. It follows that M is injective. This means that every cyclic singular R -module is injective. Therefore, according to ([7], 17.4), R is a right SI -ring.

The following lemmas are crucial in the establishment of the next theorem.

Lemma 5. *([7], Corollary 11.4)*

Let R be ring such that $R_R^{(A)}$ is extending, then the following statements hold true:

- (1) Every nonsingular R -module is extending.
- (2) Every nonsingular R -module is projective.

Lemma 6. ([7], 7.11)

An R -module M is extending if and only if $M = Z_2(M) \oplus M'$, for some submodule M' of M , such that M' and $Z_2(M)$ are both extending and $Z_2(M)$ is M' -injective.

Now, we are able to prove the following result.

Theorem 3. For a right SI -ring R , the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) $R_R^{(\mathbb{N})}$ is extending.
- (2) $R_R^{(\mathbb{N})}$ is c -co-epi-retractable.
- (3) Every R -module is extending.
- (4) Every R -module is c -co-epi-retractable.

Proof.

(1) \Rightarrow (2) It is easy to see.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Suppose $R_R^{(\mathbb{N})}$ is c -co-epi-retractable. Hence, for every complement right ideal I of $R^{(\mathbb{N})}$, there exists a right ideal J of $R^{(\mathbb{N})}$ such that $R^{(\mathbb{N})}/I \cong J$. Then it follows from Lemma 3 that $R^{(\mathbb{N})}$ is an extending R -module. Thus, by ([17], Propositions 3.4 and 3.9), R is right Noetherian. So, according to Corollary 11.12 in [7], $R_R^{(A)}$ is extending for any index set A . Now, let M be any R -module. Thus, by Lemma 5, $M = Z_2(M) \oplus N$ for some submodule N of M and clearly N is nonsingular. In view of Lemma 5 again, N is an extending module. Moreover, since R is right nonsingular, $Z_2(M) = Z(M)$ is singular. Hence, $Z_2(M)$ is injective. Therefore, according to Lemma 6, M is extending, as desired.

(3) \Rightarrow (4) is clear.

(4) \Rightarrow (1) By (4), $R_R^{(\mathbb{N})}$ is c -co-epi-retractable. But R is right hereditary. Thus, by the proof of (2) \Rightarrow (3), $R_R^{(\mathbb{N})}$ is extending.

Corollary 13. The the following conditions are equivalent for a ring R :

- (1) R is semi-simple artinian.
- (2) R is a regular right SI -ring and $R_R^{(\mathbb{N})}$ is c -co-epi-retractable.
- (3) R is a regular right SI -ring and $R_R^{(\mathbb{N})}$ is extending.
- (4) R is right SI -ring and $R_R^{(\mathbb{N})}$ is continuous.
- (5) R is right SI -ring and $R_R^{(\mathbb{N})}$ is quasi-continuous.

Proof.

(1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (4) \Rightarrow (5) are clear.

(5) \Rightarrow (1) Assume that R is a right SI -ring such that $R_R^{(\mathbb{N})}$ is quasi-continuous. In particular, $R_R^{(\mathbb{N})}$ is extending. Then, by Theorem 3 every R -module is extending. So by ([7], 13.5), R is an Artinian serial ring. Thus, by ([6], Proposition 6.1 (4)), $R_R^{(\mathbb{N})}$ is quasi-injective, and hence R_R is quasi-injective. Consequently, R is right self-injective by ([12], Remark 6.71(2B)). Since R is right Artinian, R_R has finite uniform dimension. Because R is right SI , it is right nonsingular by Lemma 3. Now, R_R is nonsingular extending and has finite uniform dimension. Thus, R_R is a finite direct sum of uniform submodules. But R is right self-injective. Then as in the proof of (2) \Rightarrow (3) in theorem 1, R_R is semi-simple. Therefore, R is semi-simple artinian.

Corollary 14. *If R is a right SI -ring such that $R_R^{(\mathbb{N})}$ is c -co-epi-retractable, then all R -modules with a regular endomorphism ring are quasi-injective.*

Proof.

Let M be an R -module with a regular endomorphism ring. Thus, by Theorem 3, M is extending. It follows that M is quasi-continuous. On the other hand, R is Artinian serial by ([7], 13.5). Hence by ([6], Proposition 6.1 (4)), M is quasi-injective.

Note that along the lines of the proof of the above Theorem we have shown that if R is a right SI -ring such that $R^{(\mathbb{N})}$ is right extending, then $R^{(A)}$ is right extending for any index set A .

Theorem 4. *The following conditions are equivalent for a ring R with $\bar{R} = R/Z_2(R_R)$.*

- (1) \bar{R} is semi-simple.
- (2) Every c -co-epi-retractable R -module is \bar{R} -injective.
- (3) Every nonsingular R -module is quasi-injective.
- (4) Every nonsingular R -module is quasi-continuous.
- (5) Every nonsingular R -module has C_3 -condition.
- (6) Every submodule of a nonsingular R -module is a C_3 -module.
- (7) Every submodule of $\bar{R} \oplus \bar{R}$ is a C_3 -module.

Proof.

The implication (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) follows from a similar proof to ([1], Theorem 4.5).

The implication (1) \Rightarrow (3) is clear by ([3], Theorem 3.2).

Implications (3) \Rightarrow (4) \Rightarrow (5) \Rightarrow (6) \Rightarrow (7) are easy to see.

(7) \Rightarrow (1) By ([3], Theorem 3.2), we need to show that \bar{R} is semi-simple. Let I be a right ideal of \bar{R} . Thus $I \oplus \bar{R}$, being a submodule of $\bar{R} \oplus \bar{R}$ is a C_3 -module by (7). Now, let $i : I \rightarrow \bar{R}$ be the inclusion map. By Lemma 4, I is a direct summand of \bar{R} . Therefore, \bar{R} is semi-simple.

Corollary 15. *The following conditions are equivalent for a ring R with $R/Z_2(R) = \bar{R}$.*

- (1) R is quasi-Frobenius.
- (2) Every c -co-epi-retractable R -module is \bar{R} -injective and $Z_2(R_R)$ is an Artinian injective R -module.
- (3) Every c -co-epi-retractable R -module is \bar{R} -injective and $Z_2(R_R)$ is a Noetherian injective R -module.

Proof.

(1) \Rightarrow (2) Since R is quasi-Frobenius, it is right continuous. Hence, R is a continuous R -module. Thus, $R_R = Z_2(R_R) \oplus R'$ for a continuous R -module R' . It follows that \bar{R} is right nonsingular right continuous. Consequently, \bar{R} is regular. Since R is right Noetherian, \bar{R} , also, is right Noetherian. Thus, the property of regular implies that \bar{R} is semi-simple. Thus, in view of Theorem 4, every c -co-epi-retractable R -module is \bar{R} -injective. The last part is clear since R_R is injective and Artinian.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Assume that every c-co-epi-retractable R -module is \overline{R} -injective and $Z_2(R_R)$ is an Artinian injective ring. Since every c-co-epi-retractable R -module is \overline{R} -injective, we infer from theorem 4 that \overline{R} is a semi-simple ring. Thus, \overline{R} is semi-simple as an R -module. Since \overline{R} is a nonsingular R -module, \overline{R} is a projective R -module. So, $Z_2(R_R) \leq^\oplus R$, say $R = Z_2(R_R) \oplus R'$ where R' is semi-simple ring. By our assumption, R is right Artinian right self-injective. Consequently, R is quasi-Frobenius. Similarly, (3) is equivalent to (1).

Proposition 10. *The following statements are equivalent for a ring R .*

- (1) R is an Artinian serial ring with $J^2(R) = 0$.
- (2) Every submodule of a co-c-epi-retractable R -module is extending.
- (3) Every submodule of an extending R -module is extending.

Proof.

(1) \Rightarrow (2) follows from ([7], 13.5).

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Let M be any R -module. Then $M \oplus E(M)$, being a submodule of $E(M) \oplus E(M)$ is extending by (2). In view of Proposition 2.7 in [14], M is CS . Therefore, R is a Artinian serial ring with $J^2 = 0$ by ([7], 13.5).

Similarly, (1) and (3) are equivalent.

Proposition 11. *The following conditions are equivalent for a ring R .*

- (1) R is semi-simple artinian.
- (2) Every c-co-epi-retractable R -module is semi-simple.
- (3) Every c-co-epi-retractable R -module is injective.
- (4) Every submodule of a c-co-epi-retractable R -module is quasi-continuous.

Proof.

(1) \Rightarrow (2) This is clear.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Let M be any R -module. By (2), $E(M)$ is semi-simple, and hence $M = E(M)$. Therefore, R is semi-simple artinian.

(1) \Rightarrow (4) is clear.

(4) \Rightarrow (1) Let M be any R -module. Then $M \oplus E(M)$, being a submodule of $E(M) \oplus E(M)$ is quasi-continuous by (2). Consequently, $M \oplus E(M)$ has C_3 -condition. By Lemma 4, M injective and so R is semi-simple artinian.

(1) \Leftrightarrow (3) follows from Corollary 2 in [11].

References

- [1] Sh. Asgari, T -continuous modules, Comm. Algebra, (2017), (45) 1941-1952.
- [2] Sh. Asgari and A. Haghany, T -extending modules and T -baer modules, Comm. Algebra, (2011), (39) 1605-1023.
- [3] Sh. Asgari, A. Haghany and Y. Toloeei T -semi-simple modules and T -semi-simple rings, Comm. Algebra, (2013), (41) 1882-1902.

- [4] S. E. Atani, M. Khoramdel and S. D. P. Hesari, *C₃-modules*, Demonstratio Mathematica, 49(2016), no. 3, 282-292.
- [5] K. A. Byrd, *Rings whose quasi-injective modules are injective*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 33(1972), no. 2 :235-240.
- [6] N. Ding, Y. Ibrahim, M. Yousif and Y. Zhou, *D₄-Modules*, J. Algebra Appl. 16(9), 1750166 (25 pages), 2017.
- [7] N. V. Dung, D. V. Huynh, P. F. Smith and R. Wisbauer (1994), *Extending modules*, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics 313. Harlow: Longman.
- [8] A. Ghorbani, Co-epi-retractable modules and co-pri rings, Comm. Algebra 38(2010), no. 10, 3589-3596.
- [9] A. Hamdouni, A. C. Ozcan and A. Harmanci, *Characterizations of modules and rings by the summand intersection property and the summand sum property*, JP J. Algebra Number Theory Appl. 5(2005), no. 3, 469-490.
- [10] D.V Huynh, *Some remarks on CS modules and SI rings*, Aust. Math. Soc. 65(2002), 461-466.
- [11] D. V. Huynh and S. T. Rizvi, *An approche to Boyle's conjecture*, Proceeding of the Edinburg. Math. Society 40(1997), 267-273.
- [12] T. Y. Lam, *Lectures on modules and rings*, G.T.M.(189), Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelber, New York, 1999.
- [13] G. Lee, *Theory of Rickart modules*, Ph. D. Thesis, M.S., Graduate, School of the Ohio State University (2010).
- [14] S. H. Mohamed and B. J. Muller, *Continuous and Discrete Modules*, LMS Lecture Note Series, 147. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [15] H. Mostafanasab, *Applications of Epi-retractable and Co-epi-retractable modules*, Bull. Iranian Math. Soc. 38 (2013), no. 5, 903-917.
- [16] S. T. Rizvi and C. S. Roman, *Baer and quasi-Baer modules*. Comm. Algebra, 32 (2004): 103-123.
- [17] S. T. Rizvi and M. F. Yousif, *On continuous and singular modules*, Non commutative Ring Theory, S. K. Jain and S. R. López-Permouth, eds, Lecture Notes in Math. 1448, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1990), pp:116-124 .
- [18] P. Smith, *Modules with many homomorphisms*, J. Pure and Appl. Algebra 197(2005) 305-321.
- [19] R. Wisbauer, *Foundations of Module and Ring Theory*, Gordon and Breach Sciences Publishers, Philadelphia, 1991.