



*Special Issue Dedicated to  
Professor Hari M. Srivastava  
On the Occasion of his 80th Birthday*

**Schur Geometric Convexity of Related Function for  
Holders Inequality with application**

Sreenivasa Reddy Perla<sup>1,\*</sup>, S Padmanabhan<sup>2</sup>, V Lokesha<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, The Oxford College of Engineering, Bangalore, Karnataka, India

<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, RNS Institute of Technology, Bangalore, Karnataka, India

<sup>3</sup> Department of Mathematics, VSK University, Bellary, Karnataka, India

**Abstract.** In this paper, we investigated the Schur geometric convexity of related function for Holders Inequality by using majorization inequality theory, giving a complete critical condition of Schur Geometrically convex function for Holders Inequality related function and some applications are established.

**2020 Mathematics Subject Classifications:** 26E60, 26D15, 26A51, 34K38

**Key Words and Phrases:** Holders Inequality, majorization inequality, schur geometric convex, schur geometric concave

## 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, we assume that the set of  $n$ -dimensional row vector on the real number field by  $R^n$ . Let

$$R_+^n = \{x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) : x_i \geq 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\},$$

By Holders inequality [2], we have

$$\sum_{l=1}^n r_l s_l \leq \left( \sum_{l=1}^n r_l^u \right)^{\frac{1}{u}} \left( \sum_{l=1}^n s_l^v \right)^{\frac{1}{v}} \quad (1)$$

\*Corresponding author.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.29020/nybg.ejpam.v13i5.3741>

Email addresses: [srireddy\\_sri@yahoo.co.in](mailto:srireddy_sri@yahoo.co.in) (S. R. Perla),  
[padmanabhanrnsit@gmail.com](mailto:padmanabhanrnsit@gmail.com) (S. Padmanabhan), [v.lokesha@gmail.com](mailto:v.lokesha@gmail.com) (V. Lokesha)

$$\int_r^s \phi(x)\psi(x)dx \leq \left(\int_r^s (\phi(x))^u dx\right)^{\frac{1}{u}} \left(\int_r^s (\psi(x))^v dx\right)^{\frac{1}{v}} \tag{2}$$

Here  $r_l \geq 0, s_l \geq 0, u > 1, \frac{1}{u} + \frac{1}{v} = 1$ .

The Schur convexity of functions relating to special means is a very significant research subject and has attracted the interest of many mathematicians. There are numerous articles written on this topic in recent years; (see [3] , [6]) and the references therein. As supplements to the Schur convexity of functions, the Schur geometrically convex functions and Schur harmonically convex functions were investigated by Zhang and Yang ([15], [13]), Chu, Zhang and Wang [14], Shi and Zhang ([8], [7]) , Meng, Chu and Tang [4] , Zheng, Zhang and Zhang [17]. These properties of functions have been found to be useful in discovering and proving the inequalities for special means (see [1] - [2], [11],[12]).

Dong-Sheng Wang, Chun - Ru Fu and Huan-Nan Sh [10] investigated the Schur convexity about related function of Holders inequality by using majorization inequality theory . This result gives a full essential condition of Schur convexity for Holders inequality related function, reached sharpen type of Holders inequality Under certain conditions and new inequalities for Stolarsky mean established. This paper motivates us to investigate Schur geometric convexity about related function of Holders inequality by using majorization inequality theory.

## 2. Preliminaries

To establish our main results, we need the following definitions and lemmas.

**Definition 1.** [[3], [9]] .Consider two arbitrary  $n$ -tuple elements  $\lambda, \mu \in R^n$   
 $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$  and  $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n) \in R^n$  .

(i) For the arrangements of  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  in descending order of the form if

$$\sum_{p=1}^t \lambda_{[p]} \leq \sum_{p=1}^t \mu_{[p]}$$

for  $1 \leq t \leq n - 1$ ,  $\lambda$  is said to be majorized by  $\mu$ , (in icon  $\lambda \prec \mu$ ) and

$$\sum_{p=1}^n \lambda_{[p]} = \sum_{p=1}^n \mu_{[p]},$$

where  $\lambda_{[1]} \geq \dots \geq \lambda_{[n]}$  and  $\mu_{[1]} \geq \dots \geq \mu_{[n]}$

(ii) Let  $\Psi \subseteq R^n$  ( $n \geq 2$ )  $p = 1, 2, \dots, n$   $\lambda \geq \mu$  means  $\lambda_p \geq \mu_p$  .

The function  $\omega : \Psi \rightarrow R$  is declining if and just if  $-\omega$  is escalating.

(iii) For  $\zeta, \eta \in [0, 1]$  with  $\zeta + \eta = 1$ ,  $\Psi \subseteq R^n$  is a convex set, if  $(\zeta\lambda_1 + \eta\mu_1, \dots, \zeta\lambda_n + \eta\mu_n) \in \Psi$  for all  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$ .

(iv) the function  $\omega : \Psi \rightarrow R$  is considered to be Schur-convex whenever  $\lambda \prec \mu$  on  $\Psi$  implies  $\omega(\lambda) \leq \omega(\mu)$ .  $\omega$  is Schur concave on  $\Psi$  if  $-\omega$  is Schur convex.

**Lemma 1.** [5]. Let  $\omega : \Psi \rightarrow R$  be differentiable in  $\Psi^0$  and continuous on  $\Psi$  and  $\Psi \subseteq R^n$  be symmetric with non-empty interior  $\Psi^0$ , then  $\omega$  is Schur convex on  $\Psi$  if and only if  $\omega$  is symmetric on  $\Psi$  and

$$(p - q) \left( \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial p} - \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial q} \right) \geq 0 (\leq 0) \tag{3}$$

**Definition 2.** [8]. If  $(\lambda_1^\zeta \mu_1^\eta, \dots, \lambda_n^\zeta \mu_n^\eta) \in \Psi$  for all  $\lambda$  and  $\mu \in \Psi$  and  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$  and  $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n) \in R_+^n$ , then  $\Psi \subseteq R^n$  is identified as geometrically convex set, where  $\zeta, \eta \in [0, 1]$  with  $\zeta + \eta = 1$ .

If  $(\ln \lambda_1, \dots, \ln \lambda_n) \prec (\ln \mu_1, \dots, \ln \mu_n)$  on  $\Psi$  implies  $\omega(\lambda) \leq \omega(\mu)$  and  $\Psi \subseteq R_+^n$ , then the function  $\omega : \Psi \rightarrow R_+$  is called as Schur geometrically convex function on  $\Psi$ .

**Lemma 2.** [8]. Let  $\omega : \Psi \rightarrow R$  be differentiable in  $\Psi^0$  and continuous on  $\Psi$  and  $\Psi \subseteq R^n$  be symmetric with non-empty interior  $\Psi^0$ , then  $\omega$  is Schur Schur-geometrically convex (Schur-geometrically concave) function. If  $\omega$  is symmetric on  $\Psi$  and

$$(\ln p - \ln q) \left( p \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial p} - q \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial q} \right) \geq 0 (\leq 0). \tag{4}$$

**Lemma 3.** [16]. (Chebyshev's inequality) If progressions  $r_n \geq 0, s_n \geq 0$  we have

(i) When  $r_n, s_n$  have opposite monotonicity, then

$$\sum_{l=1}^n r_l \sum_{l=1}^n s_l \geq n \sum_{l=1}^n s_l r_l \tag{5}$$

(ii) When  $r_n, s_n$  have same monotonicity, then

$$\sum_{l=1}^n r_l \sum_{l=1}^n s_l \leq n \sum_{l=1}^n s_l r_l \tag{6}$$

**Lemma 4.** [16]. If  $\phi(x)$  is the convex (concave) function on the interval then

$$\phi\left(\frac{r+s}{2}\right) \leq (\geq) \frac{1}{s-r} \int_s^r \phi(x) dx \leq (\geq) \left(\frac{\phi(r) + \phi(s)}{2}\right) \tag{7}$$

**Lemma 5.** [9]. Let  $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3 \dots x_n) \in R^n$  and  $A_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$ . then

$$u = \underbrace{(A_n(x), A_n(x), \dots, A_n(x))}_n \prec (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = x$$

**Lemma 6.** [2]. (Young’s inequality) Suppose  $r, s \geq 0, u \geq 1, \frac{1}{u} + \frac{1}{v} = 1$  then

$$\frac{1}{u}r^u + \frac{1}{v}s^u \geq rs \tag{8}$$

**Lemma 7.** Suppose  $r, s \geq 0, u \geq 1, \frac{1}{u} + \frac{1}{v} = 1$  then

$$rs \leq \frac{1}{u}(r^u + s^u) + \frac{1}{v}(r^v + s^v) - \frac{r^2 + s^2}{2} \tag{9}$$

**Lemma 8.** when  $1 \geq r \geq s \geq 0, u \geq v \geq 1$  then

$$\frac{1}{u}r^u + \frac{1}{v}s^v \leq \frac{1}{u}s^u + \frac{1}{v}r^v \tag{10}$$

### 3. Main Results

In this paper, by using the principle of majorization as an example, combined with majorization inequality, the Schur-geometrically convexity of Related Function for Holder’s Inequality gives sharpening inequality of the Holders under certain conditions.

Our primary outcome is as follows:

**Theorem 1.** Let  $r_n \geq 0$  and  $s_n \geq 0$  be any two progressions and let  $u$  and  $v$  be two non-zero arbitrary real numbers. Let

$$H_1(r) = \sum_{l=1}^n r_l s_l \leq \left( \sum_{l=1}^n r_l^u \right)^{\frac{1}{u}} \left( \sum_{l=1}^n s_l^v \right)^{\frac{1}{v}} \tag{11}$$

If  $u \geq 1$ , then  $H_1(r)$  is Schur-geometric convex on  $R_+$  with  $r_1, \dots, r_n$  and if  $u < 1$ , then  $H_1(r)$  is Schur-geometric concave on  $R_+$  with  $r_1, \dots, r_n$ .

*Proof.* : Here  $H_1(r)$  is obviously symmetric with  $r = r_1, \dots, r_n$  on  $R_+$ .

Let us assume  $r_1 > r_2$ .

Now by differentiating (11) partially with respect to  $r_1$  and  $r_2$ , we get

$$\frac{\partial H_1}{\partial r_1} = \left( \sum_{l=1}^n r_l^u \right)^{\frac{1}{u}-1} \left( \sum_{l=1}^n s_l^v \right)^{\frac{1}{v}} r_1^{u-1}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial H_1}{\partial r_2} = \left( \sum_{l=1}^n r_l^u \right)^{\frac{1}{u}-1} \left( \sum_{l=1}^n s_l^v \right)^{\frac{1}{v}} r_2^{u-1}$$

Consider,

$$\Delta_1 = (\ln r_1 - \ln r_2) \left( r_1 \frac{\partial H_1}{\partial r_1} - r_2 \frac{\partial H_1}{\partial r_2} \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \Delta_1 = (\ln r_1 - \ln r_2) \left( \sum_{l=1}^n r_l^u \right)^{\frac{1}{u}-1} \left( \sum_{l=1}^n s_l^v \right)^{\frac{1}{v}} (r_1^u - r_2^u)$$

It is easy to see that, when  $u \geq 1$ , then  $\Delta_1 \geq 0$  and when  $u \leq 1$ , then  $\Delta_1 \leq 0$ .

Hence, by Lemma 2, if  $u \geq 1$ , then  $H_1(r)$  is Schur-geometric convex on  $R_+$  with  $r_1, \dots, r_n$  and if  $u \leq 1$ , then  $H_1(r)$  is Schur-geometric concave on  $R_+$  with  $r_1, \dots, r_n$ .

This completes proof of Theorem 1.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $r_n \geq 0$  and  $s_n \geq 0$  be any two progressions and let  $u$  and  $v$  be two non-zero arbitrary real numbers. Let

$$H_2(s) = n^{\frac{1}{u}} A_{n,r} \left( \sum_{l=1}^n s_l^v \right)^{\frac{1}{v}} \tag{12}$$

If  $v \geq 1$ , then  $H_2(s)$  is Schur-geometric convex on  $R_+$  with  $s_1, \dots, s_n$  and

if  $v \leq 1$ ,  $H_2(s)$  is Schur-geometric concave on  $R_+$  with  $s_1, \dots, s_n$ . Here  $A_{n,r} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{l=1}^n r_l$ .

*Proof.* : Here  $H_2(r)$  is obviously symmetric with  $s = s_1, \dots, s_n$  on  $R_+$ . Let us assume  $s_1 > s_2$ .

Now by differentiating (12) partially with respect to  $s_1$  and  $s_2$ , we get

$$\frac{\partial H_2}{\partial s_1} = n^{\frac{1}{u}} A_{n,r} \left( \sum_{l=1}^n s_l^v \right)^{\frac{1}{v}} s_1^{v-1}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial H_2}{\partial s_2} = n^{\frac{1}{u}} A_{n,r} \left( \sum_{l=1}^n s_l^v \right)^{\frac{1}{v}} s_2^{v-1}$$

Consider,

$$\Delta_2 = (\ln s_1 - \ln s_2) \left( s_1 \frac{\partial H_1}{\partial s_1} - s_2 \frac{\partial H_1}{\partial s_2} \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \Delta_2 = (\ln s_1 - \ln s_2) n^{\frac{1}{u}} A_{n,r} \left( \sum_{l=1}^n s_l^v \right)^{\frac{1}{v}} (s_1^v - s_2^v)$$

It is easy to see that, when  $v \geq 1$ , then  $\Delta_2 \geq 0$  and when  $v \leq 1$ , then  $\Delta_2 \leq 0$ .

Hence, by Lemma 2, if  $v \geq 1$ , then  $H_2(s)$  is Schur-geometric convex on  $R_+$  with  $s_1, \dots, s_n$  and if  $v \leq 1$ , then  $H_2(s)$  is Schur-geometric concave on  $R_+$  with  $s_1, \dots, s_n$ . This completes proof of Theorem 2.

**Theorem 3.** Let  $\phi(x)$  and  $\psi(x)$  be two continuous functions with  $\phi(x) > 0$ ,  $\psi(x) > 0$  and let  $\int_r^s \phi(x)\psi(x)dx \neq 0$ ,  $\int_r^s (\phi(x))^u dx \neq 0$ ,  $\int_r^s (\psi(x))^v dx \neq 0$ , where  $u$  and  $v$  are arbitrary real numbers. Let

$$H_3(r, s) = \begin{cases} \left[ \frac{\int_r^s (\psi(x))^v dx}{\int_r^s \phi(x)\psi(x)dx} \right]^u \left[ \frac{\int_r^s (\phi(x))^u dx}{\int_r^s \phi(x)\psi(x)dx} \right]^v, & \text{if } r \neq s \\ (\phi(x)\psi(x))^{uv-u-v}, & \text{if } r = s \end{cases} \tag{13}$$

Then  $H_3(r, s)$  is Schur-geometric concave(convex) with  $r, s$  if and only if:

$$\frac{v(\phi^u(s) + \phi^u(r))}{\int_r^s \phi^u(x)dx} + \frac{u(\psi^v(s) + \psi^v(r))}{\int_r^s \psi^v(x)dx} \leq (\geq) \frac{(\phi(s)\psi(s) + \phi(r)\psi(r))(u+v)}{\int_r^s \phi(x)\psi(x)dx} \tag{14}$$

*Proof.* : Here  $H_3(r, s)$  is obviously symmetric with  $r = r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n$  and  $s = s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n$  on  $R_+$ .

Let us assume  $s > r$ .

From (13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} H_3(r, s) &= \left[ \frac{\int_r^s (\psi(x))^v dx}{\int_r^s \phi(x)\psi(x)dx} \right]^u \left[ \frac{\int_r^s (\phi(x))^u dx}{\int_r^s \phi(x)\psi(x)dx} \right]^v \\ \Rightarrow H_3(r, s) &= \frac{\left( \int_r^s \phi^u(x)dx \right)^v \left( \int_r^s \psi^v(x)dx \right)^u}{\left( \int_r^s \phi(x)\psi(x)dx \right)^{u+v}} \end{aligned}$$

Now by differentiating this partially with respect to  $s$  and  $r$ , we get

$$\frac{\partial H_3}{\partial s} = \frac{v \left( \int_r^s \phi^u(x)dx \right)^{v-1} \phi^u(s) \int_r^s (\psi^v(x)dx)^u \int_r^s (\phi(x)\psi(x)dx)^{u+v}}{\left( \int_r^s \phi(x)\psi(x)dx \right)^{2(u+v)}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \frac{u \left( \int_r^s \psi^v(x) dx \right)^{u-1} \psi^v(s) \int_r^s \left( \phi^v(x) dx \right)^u \int_r^s \left( \phi(x)\psi(x) dx \right)^{u+v}}{\left( \int_r^s \phi(x)\psi(x) dx \right)^{2(u+v)}} \\
 & - \frac{(u+v) \left( \int_r^s \phi(x)\psi(x) dx \right)^{(u+v-1)} \phi(s)\psi(s) \left( \int_r^s \phi^u(x) dx \right)^v \left( \int_r^s \psi^v(x) dx \right)^u}{\left( \int_r^s \phi(x)\psi(x) dx \right)^{2(u+v)}} \\
 \frac{\partial H_3}{\partial r} = & \frac{v \left( \int_r^s \phi^u(x) dx \right)^{v-1} \phi^u(r) \int_r^s \left( \psi^v(x) dx \right)^u \int_r^s \left( \phi(x)\psi(x) dx \right)^{u+v}}{\left( \int_r^s \phi(x)\psi(x) dx \right)^{2(u+v)}} \\
 & - \frac{u \left( \int_r^s \psi^v(x) dx \right)^{u-1} \psi^v(r) \int_r^s \left( \phi^v(x) dx \right)^v \int_r^s \left( \phi(x)\psi(x) dx \right)^{u+v}}{\left( \int_r^s \phi(x)\psi(x) dx \right)^{2(u+v)}} \\
 & + \frac{(u+v) \left( \int_r^s \phi(x)\psi(x) dx \right)^{(u+v-1)} \phi(r)\psi(r) \left( \int_r^s \phi^u(x) dx \right)^v \left( \int_r^s \psi^v(x) dx \right)^u}{\left( \int_r^s \phi(x)\psi(x) dx \right)^{2(u+v)}}
 \end{aligned}$$

Consider,

$$\Delta_3 = (\ln s - \ln r) \left( s \frac{\partial H_3}{\partial s} - r \frac{\partial H_3}{\partial r} \right)$$

This implies that,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta_3 = & \frac{(\ln s - \ln r)}{\left( \int_r^s \phi(x)\psi(x) dx \right)^{2(u+v)}} \left[ v \left( \int_r^s \phi^u(x) dx \right)^{v-1} \left( \int_r^s \psi^v(x) dx \right)^u \right. \\
 & \times \left( \int_r^s \phi(x)\psi(x) dx \right)^{u+v} (s\phi^u(s) + r\phi^u(x)) + u \left( \int_r^s \psi^v(x) dx \right)^{u-1} \\
 & \times \left( \int_r^s \phi^u(x) dx \right)^v \int_r^s \left( \phi(x)\psi(x) dx \right)^{u+v} (s\psi^v(s) + r\psi^v(r))
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -(u+v) \int_r^s \left( \phi(x)\psi(x)dx \right)^{u+v-1} \left( \int_r^s \phi^u(x)dx \right)^v \left( \int_r^s \psi^v(x)dx \right)^u \times \left( s\phi(s)\psi(s)+r\phi(r)\psi(r) \right) \Big] \\
 & = \frac{(\ln s - \ln r)}{\left( \int_r^s \phi(x)\psi(x)dx \right)^{2(u+v)}} \left[ v \int_r^s \left( \phi(x)\psi(x)dx \right)^{u+v-1} \left( \int_r^s \phi^u(x)dx \right)^{v-1} \right. \\
 & \times \left( \int_r^s \psi^v(x)dx \right)^u \left\{ \int_r^s \phi(x)\psi(x)dx (s\phi^u(s)+r\phi^u(r)) - \left( \int_r^s \phi^u(x)dx \right) \times \left( s\phi(s)\psi(s)+r\phi(r)\psi(r) \right) \right\} \\
 & \quad \left. + u \int_r^s \left( \phi(x)\psi(x)dx \right)^{u+v-1} \left( \int_r^s \phi^u(x)dx \right)^v \right. \\
 & \times \left( \int_r^s \psi^v(x)dx \right)^{u-1} \left\{ \int_r^s \phi(x)\psi(x)dx (s\psi^v(s)+r\psi^v(r)) - \left( \int_r^s \psi^v(x)dx \right) \times \left( s\phi(s)\psi(s)+r\phi(r)\psi(r) \right) \right\} \Big] \\
 & = \frac{(\ln s - \ln r)}{\left( \int_r^s \phi(x)\psi(x)dx \right)^{2(u+v)}} \left( \int_r^s \phi(x)\psi(x)dx \right)^{u+v-1} \left( \int_r^s \phi^u(x)dx \right)^{v-1} \left( \int_r^s \psi^v(x)dx \right)^{u-1} \\
 & \left\{ v \int_r^s \psi^v(x)dx \left[ \int_r^s \phi(x)\psi(x)dx (s\phi^u(s) + r\phi^u(r)) - \int_r^s \phi^u(x)dx (s\phi(s)\psi(s) + r\phi(r)\psi(r)) \right] \right. \\
 & \left. + u \int_r^s \phi^u(x)dx \left[ \int_r^s \phi(x)\psi(x)dx (s\psi^v(s)+r\psi^v(r)) - \int_r^s \psi^v(x)dx (s\phi(s)\psi(s)+r\phi(r)\psi(r)) \right] \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\frac{(\ln s - \ln r)}{\left( \int_r^s \phi(x)\psi(x)dx \right)^{2(u+v)}} \left( \int_r^s \phi(x)\psi(x)dx \right)^{u+v-1} \left( \int_r^s \phi^u(x)dx \right)^{v-1} \left( \int_r^s \psi^v(x)dx \right)^{u-1} \geq 0$$

So  $\Delta_3$  and

$$v \int_r^s \psi^v(x)dx \left[ \int_r^s \phi(x)\psi(x)dx (s\phi^u(s) + r\phi^u(r)) - \int_r^s \phi^u(x)dx (s\phi(s)\psi(s) + r\phi(r)\psi(r)) \right]$$



$$\begin{aligned}
 &+ u \int_r^s \phi^u(x)dx \left[ \int_r^s \phi(x)\psi(x)dx (s\psi^u(s) + r\psi^u(r)) - \int_r^s \psi^v(x)dx (s\phi(s)\psi(s) + r\phi(r)\psi(r)) \right] \\
 &= \int_r^s \phi(x)\psi(x)dx \left[ v \int_r^s \psi^v(x)dx (s\phi^u(s) + r\phi^u(r)) + u \int_r^s \phi^v(x)dx (s\phi^v(s) + r\psi^v(r)) \right] \\
 &\quad - \int_r^s \phi^u(x)dx \int_r^s \psi^v(x)dx (s\phi(s)\psi(s) + r\phi(r)\psi(r))(u + v)
 \end{aligned}$$

have the same symbol.

Hence, we have  $H_3(r, s)$  is Schur- Geometric concave (convex) with  $r, s$ , if and only if:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_r^s \phi(x)\psi(x)dx \left[ v \int_r^s \psi^v(x)dx (s\phi^u(s) + r\phi^u(r)) + u \int_r^s \phi^v(x)dx (s\phi^v(s) + r\psi^v(r)) \right] \\
 &\leq (\geq) \int_r^s \phi^u(x)dx \int_r^s \psi^v(x)dx (s\phi(s)\psi(s) + r\phi(r)\psi(r))(u + v) \\
 &\Leftrightarrow \frac{v \int_r^s \psi^v(x)dx (s\phi^u(s) + r\phi^u(r)) + u \int_r^s \phi^v(x)dx (s\phi^v(s) + r\psi^v(r))}{\int_r^s \phi^u(x)dx \int_r^s \psi^v(x)dx} \\
 &\leq (\geq) \frac{(s\phi(s)\psi(s) + r\phi(r)\psi(r))(u + v)}{\int_r^s \phi(x)\psi(x)dx} \\
 &\Leftrightarrow \frac{v(s\phi^u(s) + r\phi^u(r))}{\int_r^s \phi^u(x)dx} + \frac{u(s\psi^v(s) + r\psi^v(r))}{\int_r^s \psi^v(x)dx} \leq (\geq) \frac{(s\phi(s)\psi(s) + r\phi(r)\psi(r))(u + v)}{\int_r^s \phi(x)\psi(x)dx}
 \end{aligned}$$

This completes proof of Theorem 3.

**Corollary 1.** Let  $\phi(x)$  and  $\psi(x)$  be two continuous functions and let their second order derivatives exists with

$$\phi(x > 0, \psi(x) > 0), \int_r^s \phi(x)\psi(x)dx \neq 0, \int_s^r (\phi(x))^u dx \neq 0, \int_s^r \psi(x)^u dx \neq 0.$$

If  $u, v > 1$  and  $\phi(x), \psi(x)$  are convex functions of opposite monotonicity and

$$\phi''\psi + \psi''\phi + 2\phi'\psi' < 0$$

then  $H_3(r, s)$  is Schur-geometric convex with  $r = r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n$ , and  $s = s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n$  on  $R_+$ .

**Corollary 2.** Let  $\phi(x)$  and  $\psi(x)$  be two continuous functions and let their second order derivatives exists with

$$\phi(x > 0), \psi(x) > 0, \int_r^s \phi(x)\psi(x)dx \neq 0, \int_s^r (\phi(x))^u dx \neq 0, \int_s^r \psi(x)^u dx \neq 0.$$

If  $u, v < 0$  and  $\phi(x), \psi(x)$  are concave functions of opposite monotonicity then  $H_3(r, s)$  is Schur-geometric concave with  $r = r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n$ , and  $s = s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n$  on  $R_+$ .

**Corollary 3.** Let  $\phi(x)$  and  $\psi(x)$  be two continuous functions and let their second order derivatives exists with

$$\phi(x > 0), \psi(x) > 0, \int_r^s \phi(x)\psi(x)dx \neq 0, \int_s^r (\phi(x))^u dx \neq 0, \int_s^r \psi(x)^u dx \neq 0.$$

If  $-1 < u < 0, 0 < v < 1, u+v > 0$  and  $\phi(x), \psi(x)$  are concave functions of opposite monotonicity then  $H_3(r, s)$  is Schur-geometric convex with  $r = r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n$ , and  $s = s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n$  on  $R_+$ .

### 4. Application

The following applications are established by using our main results.

**Theorem 4.** Let  $r_n \geq 0$  and  $s_n \geq 0$  be any two progressions and let  $u$  and  $v$  be two non-zero arbitrary real numbers. Then

(i) if  $u \geq 1, v \geq 1$  then

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n r_i^u\right)^{\frac{1}{u}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n r_i^v\right)^{\frac{1}{v}} \geq \left(n^{\frac{1}{u}} + \frac{1}{v}\right) A_{n,r} A_{n,s}.$$

(ii) if  $u \leq 1, v \leq 1$  then

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n r_i^u\right)^{\frac{1}{u}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n r_i^v\right)^{\frac{1}{v}} \leq \left(n^{\frac{1}{u}} + \frac{1}{v}\right) A_{n,r} A_{n,s}.$$

Here

$$A_{n,r} = \frac{\sum_{l=1}^n (r_l)}{n},$$

$$A_{n,s} = \frac{\sum_{l=1}^n (s_l)}{n}$$

*Proof.* : (i) By Lemma 7 has a majorization inequality:

$$(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n) \succ \left(\frac{r_1 + r_2 + r_3 + \dots + r_n}{n}, \dots, \frac{r_1 + r_2 + r_3 + \dots + r_n}{n}\right)$$

and by Theorem 1 and by definition 1, we have

if  $u \geq 1$ , then  $H_1(r) \geq H_1(A_n, r)$ , that is

$$\left(\sum_{l=1}^n r_l^u\right)^{\frac{1}{u}} \left(\sum_{l=1}^n s_l^v\right)^{\frac{1}{v}} \geq \left(n(A_{n,r})^u\right)^{\frac{1}{u}} \left(\sum_{l=1}^n s_l^v\right)^{\frac{1}{v}} = n^{\frac{1}{u}} A_{n,r} \left(n(A_{n,s})^v\right)^{\frac{1}{v}}$$

By majorization inequality, we have

$$(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n) \succ \left(\frac{s_1 + s_2 + s_3 + \dots + s_n}{n}, \dots, \frac{s_1 + s_2 + s_3 + \dots + s_n}{n}\right)$$

and by Theorem 2 and Definition 1, we have

if  $v \geq 1$ , then  $H_2(s) \geq H_2(A_n, s)$ , that is

$$n^{\frac{1}{u}} A_{n,r} \left(\sum_{l=1}^n s_j^v\right)^{\frac{1}{v}} \geq n^{\frac{1}{u}} A_{n,r} \left(n(A_{n,s})^v\right)^{\frac{1}{v}} = n^{\left(\frac{1}{u} + \frac{1}{v}\right)} A_{n,r} A_{n,s}$$

From the above relations, we have

$$\left(\sum_{l=1}^n r_l^u\right)^{\frac{1}{u}} \left(\sum_{l=1}^n s_j^v\right)^{\frac{1}{v}} \geq n^{\left(\frac{1}{u} + \frac{1}{v}\right)} A_{n,r} A_{n,s}$$

exactness.

By Similar method the following inequality is also established,

$$\left(\sum_{l=1}^n r_l^u\right)^{\frac{1}{u}} \left(\sum_{l=1}^n s_j^v\right)^{\frac{1}{v}} \leq n^{\left(\frac{1}{u} + \frac{1}{v}\right)} A_{n,r} A_{n,s} \tag{15}$$

The proof of Theorem 4 is complete.

**Theorem 5.** Let  $r_n \geq 0$  and  $s_n \geq 0$  be any two progressions and let  $u$  and  $v$  be two non-zero arbitrary real numbers . Then

(i) When  $u > 1$ , if  $\frac{1}{u} + \frac{1}{v} = 1$  and  $\{r_n\}, \{s_n\}$  have the opposite of monotonicity, then

$$\left(\sum_{l=1}^n r_l^u\right)^{\frac{1}{u}} \left(\sum_{l=1}^n s_j^v\right)^{\frac{1}{v}} \geq n A_{n,r} A_{n,s} \geq \sum_{l=1}^n r_l s_l$$

(ii) When  $0 < u < 1$ , if  $\frac{1}{u} + \frac{1}{v} = 1$  and  $\{r_n\}, \{s_n\}$  have the opposite of monotonicity, then

$$\left(\sum_{l=1}^n r_l^u\right)^{\frac{1}{u}} \left(\sum_{l=1}^n s_j^v\right)^{\frac{1}{v}} \leq n A_{n,r} A_{n,s} \geq \sum_{l=1}^n r_l s_l$$

*Proof.* : (i) When  $u > 1$ , if  $\frac{1}{u} + \frac{1}{v} = 1$  and by Theorem 1, we have

$$\left( \sum_{l=1}^n r_l^u \right)^{\frac{1}{u}} \left( \sum_{l=1}^n s_l^v \right)^{\frac{1}{v}} \geq n A_{n,r} A_{n,s} = A_{n,r} A_{n,s}$$

and by Lemma 5, we have

$$n A_{n,r} A_{n,s} = n \frac{\sum_{l=1}^n (r_l)}{n} \frac{\sum_{l=1}^n (s_l)}{n} = \frac{\sum_{l=1}^n (r_l) \sum_{l=1}^n (s_l)}{n} \geq n \frac{\sum_{l=1}^n r_l s_l}{n} = \sum_{l=1}^n r_l s_l$$

From the above relations, we have

$$\left( \sum_{l=1}^n r_l^u \right)^{\frac{1}{u}} \left( \sum_{l=1}^n s_l^v \right)^{\frac{1}{v}} \geq n A_{n,r} A_{n,s} \geq \sum_{l=1}^n r_l s_l$$

exactness.

By Similar method the following inequality is also established

$$\left( \sum_{l=1}^n r_l^u \right)^{\frac{1}{u}} \left( \sum_{l=1}^n s_l^v \right)^{\frac{1}{v}} \leq n A_{n,r} A_{n,s} \geq \sum_{l=1}^n r_l s_l$$

The proof of Theorem 5 is complete.

## 5. Conclusion

In this paper, by using of majorization inequality theory we investigated the Schur geometrically convex about related functions of Holders Inequality, giving a complete critical condition of Schur geometrically convex function to Holders Inequality and some applications were established. Despite of these results, the authors are also interested to investigate the results of Schur harmonically convex and  $m$ -power convexity about related functions of Holders inequality in future research work.

## Acknowledgements

Authors would like to thank the anonymous referees for their valuable comments and careful reading to the improvement of the manuscript.

## References

- [1] KE Hu. On an inequality and its applications. *Scientia Sinica*, 24(8):1047–1055, 1981.
- [2] Hu Ke. Some problems of analytic inequality, 2003.

- [3] Albert W Marshall, Ingram Olkin, and Barry C Arnold. *Inequalities: Theory of Majorization and Its Applications*, volume 143. Springer, 1979.
- [4] Junxia Meng, Yuming Chu, and Xiaomin Tang. The schur-harmonic-convexity of dual form of the hamy symmetric function. *Matematički vesnik*, 62(239):37–46, 2010.
- [5] HN Shi. Theory of majorization and analytic inequalities. *Harbin Institute of Technology Press, Harbin*, 2012.
- [6] Huan-Nan Shi. Schur-convex functions related to hadamard-type inequalities. *J. Math. Inequal*, 1(1):127–136, 2007.
- [7] Huan-Nan Shi and Jing Zhang. Schur-convexity, schur geometric and schur harmonic convexities of dual form of a class symmetric functions. *J. Math. Inequal*, 8(2):349–358, 2014.
- [8] Huan-Nan Shi, Jing Zhang, and Qing-Hua Ma. Schur-convexity, schur-geometric and schur-harmonic convexity for a composite function of complete symmetric function. *SpringerPlus*, 5(1):296, 2016.
- [9] Bo-ying Wang. Foundations of majorization inequalities, 1990.
- [10] Dong-Sheng Wang, Chun-Ru Fu, and Huan-Nan Shi. Schur convexity of related function for holders inequality with application.
- [11] Shan-He Wu and Huan-Nan Shi. A relation of weak majorization and its applications to certain inequalities for means. *Mathematica Slovaca*, 61(4):561–570, 2011.
- [12] Shanhe Wu. Generalization and sharpness of the power means inequality and their applications. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 312(2):637–652, 2005.
- [13] Zhen-Hang Yang. Necessary and sufficient conditions for schur geometrical convexity of the four-parameter homogeneous means. In *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, volume 2010. Hindawi, 2010.
- [14] Chu Yuming, Zhang Xiaoming, and Wang Gendi. The schur geometrical convexity of the extended mean values. *Journal of Convex Analysis*, 15(4):707–718, 2008.
- [15] X-M Zhang and Z-H Yang. Differential criterion of n-dimensional geometrically convex functions. *Journal of Applied Analysis*, 13(2):197–208, 2007.
- [16] Ning-Guo Zheng and Xiao-Ming Zhang. Some monotonicity questions for geometrically convex functions. *RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll*, 7(3), 2004.
- [17] Ning-Guo Zheng, Zhi-Hua Zhang, and Xiao-Ming Zhang. Schur-convexity of two types of one-parameter mean values in variables. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, 2007(1):078175, 2007.