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#### Abstract

In this paper, we establish a mass formula for Euclidean and Hermitian self-orthogonal codes over the finite ring $\mathbb{F}_{q}+u \mathbb{F}_{q}$, where $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ is the finite field of order $q$ and $u^{2}=0$. We also establish a mass formula for Euclidean self-orthogonal codes over the finite ring $\mathbb{F}_{q}+u \mathbb{F}_{q}+u^{2} \mathbb{F}_{q}$, with $u^{3}=0$ and characteristic of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ is odd. These mass formulas are used to give a classification of Euclidean and Hermitian self-orthogonal codes over $\mathbb{F}_{2}+u \mathbb{F}_{2}$ and $\mathbb{F}_{3}+u \mathbb{F}_{3}$ of small lengths.
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## 1. Introduction

Self-dual codes have rich mathematical theory and are of great interest to researchers because many of the best known codes are self-dual. A fundamental problem in coding theory is the classification of self-dual codes, that is, an enumeration of a complete set of representatives for the equivalence classes of self-dual codes. In the past years, self-dual codes over finite fields have been extensively studied and classified up to various lengths (see [8, 11]). Since the discovery in 1994 [7] that certain non-linear binary codes can be viewed as linear codes over the ring $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$, there has been much interest in the study of self-dual codes over various finite rings.

A key problem is to establish an explicit formula for the number of distinct self-dual codes of length $n$ over a ring $R$, given by

$$
\sum_{C} \frac{\left|E_{n}\right|}{|\operatorname{Aut}(C)|}
$$

where $C$ runs through the set of all inequivalent self-dual codes of length $n$ over $R, E_{n}$ is the full group of transformations allowed in defining the equivalence for code $C$ and $\operatorname{Aut}(C)$ is the automorphism group. This is called the mass formula, and is an important computational tool for the classification of such codes. Mass formulas for self-dual codes

[^0]over finite rings rings such as $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ and $\mathbb{F}_{q}+u \mathbb{F}_{q}$ were given in [6], while [3] gave the mass formula for self-dual codes over $\mathbb{F}_{q}+u \mathbb{F}_{q}+u^{2} \mathbb{F}_{q}$.

In this paper, we focus on the more general mass formula for self-orthogonal codes, which will include the mass formula for self-dual codes as a special case. Mass formulas for self-orthogonal codes over $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{2}}$, where $p$ is a prime, were given in [2], while the mass formula for even codes over $\mathbb{Z}_{8}$, i.e., self-orthogonal codes whose codewords have Euclidean weights divisible by 16 , was computed in [1].

Codes over $\mathbb{F}_{q}+u \mathbb{F}_{q}$ and $\mathbb{F}_{q}+u \mathbb{F}_{q}+u^{2} \mathbb{F}_{q}$ have an invariant called type, denoted by $\left\{k_{0}, k_{1}\right\}$ and $\left\{k_{0}, k_{1}, k_{2}\right\}$, respectively, where $k_{0}, k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ are nonnegative integers. The type of a code is determined by its residue and torsion codes. To obtain the mass formula, we will determine the number of self-orthogonal codes of length $n$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}+u \mathbb{F}_{q}$ with given residue and torsion, and compute the number of self-orthogonal codes of length $n$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}+u \mathbb{F}_{q}+u^{2} \mathbb{F}_{q}$, for odd $q$, with given $u^{2}$-Residue and torsion. We also give a classification of Euclidean and Hermitian self-orthogonal codes over $\mathbb{F}_{2}+u \mathbb{F}_{2}$ and $\mathbb{F}_{3}+u \mathbb{F}_{3}$, up to some short lengths.

## 2. Codes over $\mathbb{F}_{q}+u \mathbb{F}_{q}$

We begin with some basic concepts about codes over rings. A linear code $C$ of length $n$ over a ring $R$ is a submodule of the $R^{n}$ module. A generator matrix for $C$ is a matrix $G \in M_{k \times n}(R)$ whose rows generate the code. For a matrix $G \in M_{k \times n}(R)$, we denote by $R^{k} G$ the code $\left\{a G \mid a \in R^{k}\right\}$ of length $n$ over $R$.

Let $q$ be a power of a prime and $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ denote the finite field of $q$ elements. Let $R_{1}$ be the commutative ring $\mathbb{F}_{q}[u] /\left(u^{2}\right)=\mathbb{F}_{q}+u \mathbb{F}_{q}$, where $u^{2}=0$. This finite chain ring is a local ring with unique maximal ideal $(u)$ and residue field $\mathbb{F}_{q}+u \mathbb{F}_{q} /(u)=\mathbb{F}_{q}$. Every code $C$ of length $n$ over $R_{1}$ is permutation-equivalent to a code with the following generator matrix,

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I_{k_{0}} & A+u B  \tag{1}\\
0 & u D
\end{array}\right],
$$

where $I_{k_{0}}$ is the $k_{0} \times k_{0}$ identity matrix, $A, B \in M_{k_{0} \times\left(n-k_{0}\right)}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ and $D \in M_{k_{1} \times\left(n-k_{0}\right)}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$. Such a code $C$ is said to be of type $\left\{k_{0}, k_{1}\right\}$. The code $C$ is said to be free if $k_{1}=0$. The type is the analog of the dimension of a code over a finite field. Such a code $C$ contains $q^{2 k_{0}+k_{1}}$ codewords.

Let $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ and $y=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$ be elements of $R_{1}^{n}$. Define the Euclidean inner product on $R_{1}^{n}$ as $\langle x, y\rangle_{E}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} y_{i}$. Now, let $z=a+u b \in R_{1}$ and define $\bar{z}=a-u b$. The Hermitian inner product on $R_{1}^{n}$ is defined as $\langle x, y\rangle_{H}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \overline{y_{i}}$. The set

$$
C^{\perp}=\left\{x \in R_{1}^{n} \mid\langle x, y\rangle=0 \forall y \in C\right\}
$$

is called the Euclidean or Hermitian dual of $C$, depending on which inner product $\langle x, y\rangle$ is used. The code $C$ is said to be Euclidean or Hermitian self-orthogonal if $C \subseteq C^{\perp}$. If $C=C^{\perp}$, then we say $C$ is Euclidean or Hermitian self-dual.

Let $C$ be a code over $R_{1}$. The code $\left\{v \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n} \mid \exists w \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}, v+u w \in C\right\}$ is called the residue code of $C$ and is denoted by $\operatorname{res}(C)$. The code $\left\{v \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n} \mid u v \in C\right\}$ is called the
torsion code of $C$ and is denoted by tor $(C)$. If $C$ has generator matrix (1), then $\operatorname{res}(C)$ and $\operatorname{tor}(C)$ are $\left[n, k_{0}\right]$ and $\left[n, k_{0}+k_{1}\right]$ codes over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, with generator matrices

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
I_{k_{0}} & A
\end{array}\right] \text { and }\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I_{k_{0}} & A \\
0 & D
\end{array}\right]
$$

respectively. Clearly, $\operatorname{res}(C) \subseteq \operatorname{tor}(C)$ and $|C|=q^{2 k_{0}+k_{1}}=|\operatorname{res}(C)||\operatorname{tor}(C)|$.
The following lemma shows the relationship between the residue and torsion codes of a self-orthogonal code over $R_{1}$. The proof is given in [6].

Lemma 1. Let $C$ be a (Euclidean or Hermitian) self-orthogonal code over $R_{1}$. Then
(i) $\operatorname{res}(C)$ is self-orthogonal, i.e. $\operatorname{res}(C) \subseteq \operatorname{res}(C)^{\perp}$;
(ii) $\operatorname{tor}(C) \subseteq \operatorname{res}(C)^{\perp}$.

In particular, if $C$ is (Euclidean or Hermitian) self-dual, $\operatorname{tor}(C)=\operatorname{res}(C)^{\perp}$.

## 3. Codes over $\mathbb{F}_{q}+u \mathbb{F}_{q}$ with prescribed residue and torsion

Let $C_{1}$ be a code of length $n$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ with dimension $k_{0}$ and generator matrix

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
I_{k_{0}} & A \tag{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

and $C_{2}$ a code of length $n$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ of dimension $k_{0}+k_{1}$ and has generator matrix

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I_{k_{0}} & A  \tag{3}\\
0 & D
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $A \in M_{k_{0} \times\left(n-k_{0}\right)}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$, and $D \in M_{k_{1} \times\left(n-k_{0}\right)}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ is of full row rank.
Lemma 2. If $C$ is a code of length $n$ over $R_{1}$ with $\operatorname{res}(C)=C_{1}$ and $\operatorname{tor}(C)=C_{2}$, then there exists a matrix $N \in M_{k_{0} \times\left(n-k_{0}\right)}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ such that the matrix

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I_{k_{0}} & A+u N  \tag{4}\\
0 & u D
\end{array}\right]
$$

is a generator matrix of $C$. Such matrix $N$ is unique if $C$ is a free code.
Proof. Since the residue and torsion codes of $C$ are $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$, respectively, then for some $M_{1} \in M_{k_{0}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ and $M_{2} \in M_{k_{0} \times\left(n-k_{0}\right)}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$,

$$
R_{1}^{k_{0}+k_{1}}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I_{k_{0}}+u M_{1} & A+u M_{2} \\
0 & u D
\end{array}\right] \subseteq C
$$

By an elementary row operation,

$$
\begin{aligned}
C & \supseteq R_{1}^{k_{0}+k_{1}}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I_{k_{0}}-u M_{1} & 0 \\
0 & I_{k_{1}}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I_{k_{0}}+u M_{1} & A+u M_{2} \\
0 & u D
\end{array}\right] \\
& =R_{1}^{k_{0}+k_{1}}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I_{k_{0}} & A+u\left(M_{2}-M_{1} A\right) \\
0 & u D
\end{array}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking $N=M_{2}-M_{1} A$, we have

$$
|C| \geq\left|R_{1}^{k_{0}+k_{1}}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I_{k_{0}} & A+u N \\
0 & u D
\end{array}\right]\right|=q^{2 k_{0}+k_{1}}=\left|C_{1}\right|\left|C_{2}\right|=|C| .
$$

Thus, $C$ has a generator matrix (4).
Suppose $C$ is a free code and there exist $N_{1}, N_{2} \in M_{k_{0} \times\left(n-k_{0}\right)}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ such that

$$
R_{1}^{k_{0}}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
I & A+u N_{1}
\end{array}\right]=R_{1}^{k_{0}}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
I & A+u N_{2}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Then $A+u N_{1} \equiv A+u N_{2}\left(u^{2}\right)$, which implies that $N_{1} \equiv N_{2}(u)$.
For the remainder of this section, assume that $C_{1} \subseteq C_{2} \subseteq C_{1}^{\perp}$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{k_{0}}+A A^{T} & \equiv 0(u),  \tag{5}\\
D A^{T} & \equiv 0(u) . \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (5) that $A$ is of full row rank.
Denote by $\operatorname{Sym}_{k_{0}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ the set of $k_{0} \times k_{0}$ symmetric matrices, Alt $_{k_{0}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ the set of $k_{0} \times k_{0}$ alternating matrices, and $\operatorname{Skew}_{k_{0}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ the set of $k_{0} \times k_{0}$ skew-symmetric matrices over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$.

Lemma 3. Let $A \in M_{m \times n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ where rank $A=m$. We define the mappings

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{A}: M_{m \times n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right) & \longrightarrow M_{m}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right) \\
N & \longmapsto A N^{T}+N A^{T},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{A}: M_{m \times n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right) & \longrightarrow M_{m}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right) \\
N & \longmapsto A N^{T}-N A^{T} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\Psi_{A}\left(M_{m \times n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right)= \begin{cases}\operatorname{Sym}_{m}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right), & \text { if } q \text { is odd } \\ \operatorname{Alt}_{m}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right), & \text { if } q \text { is even },\end{cases}
$$

and the image of the map $\Phi_{A}$ is $\operatorname{Skew}_{m}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$.

Proof. The image of $\Psi_{A}$ was shown in [2]. Since rank $A=m, A\left(M_{n \times m}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right)=M_{m}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$. Indeed,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{A}\left(M_{k_{0} \times\left(n-k_{0}\right)}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right) & =\left\{A N^{T}-N A^{T} \mid N \in M_{k_{0} \times\left(n-k_{0}\right)}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right\} \\
& =\left\{S-S^{T} \mid S \in M_{k_{0}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right\} \\
& =\operatorname{Skew}_{k_{0}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 4. The number of free Euclidean self-orthogonal codes over $R_{1}$ with residue code $C_{1}$ is

$$
q^{k_{0}\left(2 n-3 k_{0}+\epsilon\right) / 2}
$$

where $\epsilon=-1$ if $q$ is odd and $\epsilon=1$ if $q$ is even.
The number of free Hermitian self-orthogonal codes over $R_{1}$ with residue code $C_{1}$ is

$$
q^{k_{0}\left(2 n-3 k_{0}+1\right) / 2}
$$

Proof. If $C$ is a free code with residue code $C_{1}$, then by Lemma $2, C$ has generator $\operatorname{matrix}\left[\begin{array}{cc}I_{k_{0}} & A+u N\end{array}\right]$, for some unique $N \in M_{k_{0} \times\left(n-k_{0}\right)}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$. Observe that $C$ is Euclidean self-orthogonal if and only if

$$
I_{k_{0}}+A A^{T}+u\left(A N^{T}+N A^{T}\right) \equiv 0\left(u^{2}\right)
$$

Hence, the number of free Euclidean self-orthogonal codes $C$ with residue code $C_{1}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left\{N \in M_{k_{0} \times\left(n-k_{0}\right)} \mid I_{k_{0}}+A A^{T}+u\left(A N^{T}+N A^{T}\right) \equiv 0\left(u^{2}\right)\right\}\right| \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (5), we have $A N^{T}+N A^{T} \equiv 0(u)$.Therefore, (7) becomes

$$
\left|\left\{N \in M_{k_{0} \times\left(n-k_{0}\right)} \mid A N^{T}+N A^{T} \equiv 0(u)\right\}\right|=\left|\operatorname{ker} \Psi_{A}\right|=\frac{\left|M_{k_{0} \times\left(n-k_{0}\right)}\right|}{\left|\operatorname{Im} \Psi_{A}\right|}
$$

Thus, we have

$$
\left|\operatorname{ker} \Psi_{A}\right|= \begin{cases}q^{\frac{k_{0}\left(2 n-3 k_{0}-1\right)}{2}}, & \text { if } q \text { is odd } \\ q^{\frac{k_{0}\left(2 n-3 k_{0}+1\right)}{2}}, & \text { if } q \text { is even }\end{cases}
$$

by Lemma 3.
Similarly, $C$ is Hermitian self-orthogonal if and only if

$$
I_{k_{0}}+A A^{T}+u\left(A N^{T}-N A^{T}\right) \equiv 0\left(u^{2}\right)
$$

Hence, by (5) and Lemma 3, the number of free Hermitian self-orthogonal codes $C$ with residue code $C_{1}$ is

$$
\left|\left\{N \in M_{k_{0} \times\left(n-k_{0}\right)} \mid A N^{T}-N A^{T} \equiv 0(u)\right\}\right|=\left|\operatorname{ker} \Phi_{A}\right|=q^{\frac{k_{0}\left(2 n-3 k_{0}+1\right)}{2}}
$$

Define the sets

$$
\begin{aligned}
X & =\left\{C \mid C \subseteq R_{1}^{n}, \text { type }\left\{k_{0}, 0\right\}, C \subseteq C^{\perp}, \operatorname{res}(C)=C_{1}\right\} \text { and } \\
X^{\prime} & =\left\{C^{\prime} \mid C^{\prime} \subseteq R_{1}^{n}, C^{\prime} \subseteq C^{\perp}, \operatorname{res}\left(C^{\prime}\right)=C_{1}, \operatorname{tor}\left(C^{\prime}\right)=C_{2}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where self-orthogonality is either in the Euclidean or Hermitian sense.
Lemma 5. If $C^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}$, then $\left|\left\{C \in X \mid C \subseteq C^{\prime}\right\}\right|=q^{k_{0} k_{1}}$.
Proof. By Lemma 2, $C^{\prime}$ has a generator matrix (4). Consider the map

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi: M_{k_{0} \times k_{1}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right) & \longrightarrow\left\{C \in X \mid C \subseteq C^{\prime}\right\} \\
M & \longmapsto R_{1}^{k_{0}}[I \quad A+u(N+M D)]
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly, $\psi$ is well-defined. We will show that $\psi$ is bijective. If $M_{1}, M_{2} \in M_{k_{0} \times k_{1}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ such that $\psi\left(M_{1}\right)=\psi\left(M_{2}\right)$, then

$$
R_{1}^{k_{0}}\left[I_{k_{0}} A+u\left(N+M_{1} D\right)\right]=R_{1}^{k_{0}}\left[I_{k_{0}} A+u\left(N+M_{2} D\right)\right]
$$

which means $A+u\left(N+M_{1} D\right) \equiv A+u\left(N+M_{2} D\right)\left(u^{2}\right)$. Therefore $N+M_{1} D \equiv N+$ $M_{2} D(u)$. Since $D$ is of full row rank, we have $M_{1} \equiv M_{2}(u)$. Hence, $\psi$ is injective.

Suppose $C \in X$ and $C \subseteq C^{\prime}$. By Lemma $2, C=R_{1}^{k_{0}}\left[I_{k_{0}} A+u F\right]$, for some matrix $F$. The inclusion $C \subseteq C^{\prime}$ implies that

$$
A+u F \equiv A+u(N+M D)\left(u^{2}\right)
$$

for some matrix $M$. So $F \equiv N+M D(u)$, which shows that $\psi$ is surjective, and hence, bijective. Therefore,

$$
\left|\left\{C \in X \mid C \subseteq C^{\prime}\right\}\right|=\left|M_{k_{0} \times k_{1}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right|=q^{k_{0} k_{1}}
$$

Lemma 6. If $C \in X$, then there exists a unique code $C^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}$ such that $C \subseteq C^{\prime}$.
 by Lemma 2. Let $C_{0}^{\prime}$ be a code with generator matrix

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I_{k_{0}} & A+u N \\
0 & u D
\end{array}\right]
$$

The code $C_{0}^{\prime}$ satisfies res $\left(C_{0}^{\prime}\right)=C_{1}$ and $\operatorname{tor}\left(C_{0}^{\prime}\right)=C_{2}$.
Clearly, $C \subseteq C_{0}^{\prime}$. Since $C \in X,(6)$ implies $C_{0}^{\prime}$ is self-orthogonal and hence, $C_{0}^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}$. Suppose $C \subseteq C^{\prime}$ for some $C^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}$. Because $C^{\prime}$ has torsion code $C_{2}$, by Lemma 2, $R_{1}^{k_{1}}[0 u D] \subseteq C^{\prime}$ and so $C_{0}^{\prime} \subseteq C^{\prime}$. Note that $\left|C_{0}^{\prime}\right|=\left|C_{1}\right|\left|C_{2}\right|=q^{2 k_{0}+k_{1}}=\left|C^{\prime}\right|$. Hence, $C_{0}^{\prime}=C^{\prime}$.

Next, we count self-orthogonal codes $C$ with given residue code and torsion code.

Theorem 1. Let $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ be codes of length $n$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ where $C_{1} \subseteq C_{2} \subseteq C_{1}^{\perp}$. If $\operatorname{dim} C_{1}=k_{0}$ and $\operatorname{dim} C_{2}=k_{0}+k_{1}$, then
(i) the number of Euclidean self-orthogonal codes $C$ of length $n$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}+u \mathbb{F}_{q}$ with $\operatorname{res}(C)=C_{1}$ and $\operatorname{tor}(C)=C_{2}$ is

$$
q^{k_{0}\left(2 n-3 k_{0}-2 k_{1}+\epsilon\right) / 2}
$$

where $\epsilon=-1$ if $q$ is odd and $\epsilon=1$ if $q$ is even, and
(ii) the number of Hermitian self-orthogonal codes $C$ of length $n$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}+u \mathbb{F}_{q}$ with $\operatorname{res}(C)=C_{1}$ and $\operatorname{tor}(C)=C_{2}$ is

$$
q^{k_{0}\left(2 n-3 k_{0}-2 k_{1}+1\right) / 2}
$$

Proof. We may assume without loss of generality that $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are codes with generator matrices (2) and (3), respectively. Then we have to compute $\left|X^{\prime}\right|$. By Lemma 5 and Lemma 6, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
q^{k_{0} k_{1}}\left|X^{\prime}\right| & =\sum_{C^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}}\left|\left\{C \in X \mid C \subseteq C^{\prime}\right\}\right| \\
& =\sum_{C \in X}\left|\left\{C^{\prime} \in X^{\prime} \mid C \subseteq C^{\prime}\right\}\right| \\
& =\sum_{C \in X} 1 \\
& =|X|
\end{aligned}
$$

The results follow from Lemma 4.

## 4. Mass formula for self-orthogonal codes over $\mathbb{F}_{q}+u \mathbb{F}_{q}$

Let $\sigma_{q}\left(n, k_{0}\right)$ denote the number of distinct self-orthogonal codes over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ of length $n$ and dimension $k_{0}($ see $[9,10])$. We define the Gaussian coefficient $\left[\begin{array}{l}n \\ k\end{array}\right]_{q}$ for $k \leq n$ as

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
n \\
k
\end{array}\right]_{q}=\frac{\left(q^{n}-1\right)\left(q^{n}-q\right) \cdots\left(q^{n}-q^{k-1}\right)}{\left(q^{k}-1\right)\left(q^{k}-q\right) \cdots\left(q^{k}-q^{k-1}\right)}
$$

which gives the number of subspaces of dimension $k$ contained in an $n$-dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$.

We now have the following mass formula for self-orthogonal codes over $R_{1}$.

Theorem 2. Let $M_{q}\left(n, k_{0}, k_{1}\right)_{E}$ and $M_{q}\left(n, k_{0}, k_{1}\right)_{H}$ denote the number of distinct Euclidean and Hermitian self-orthogonal codes of length $n$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}+u \mathbb{F}_{q}$ of type $\left\{k_{0}, k_{1}\right\}$, respectively. We have

$$
M_{q}\left(n, k_{0}, k_{1}\right)_{E}=\sigma_{q}\left(n, k_{0}\right)\left[\begin{array}{c}
n-2 k_{0} \\
k_{1}
\end{array}\right]_{q} q^{k_{0}\left(2 n-3 k_{0}-2 k_{1}+\epsilon\right) / 2}
$$

where $\epsilon=-1$ if $q$ is odd and $\epsilon=1$ if $q$ is even, and

$$
M_{q}\left(n, k_{0}, k_{1}\right)_{H}=\sigma_{q}\left(n, k_{0}\right)\left[\begin{array}{c}
n-2 k_{0} \\
k_{1}
\end{array}\right]_{q} q^{k_{0}\left(2 n-3 k_{0}-2 k_{1}+1\right) / 2} .
$$

Proof. If $C$ is a self-orthogonal code of length $n$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}+u \mathbb{F}_{q}$ of type $\left\{k_{0}, k_{1}\right\}$, then by setting $C_{1}=\operatorname{res}(C)$ and $C_{2}=\operatorname{tor}(C)$, we see that $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ satisfies Lemma 1. There are $\sigma_{q}\left(n, k_{0}\right)$ self-orthogonal codes $C_{1}$ of length $n$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. Given $C_{1}$, there are $\left[\begin{array}{c}n-2 k_{0} \\ k_{1}\end{array}\right]_{q}$ codes $C_{2}$ such that $C_{1} \subseteq C_{2} \subseteq C_{1}^{\perp}$. Then the result follows from Theorem 1 .

We have the following mass formula for self-dual codes over $R_{1}$ as a direct consequence of the previous theorem.

Corollary 1. The number of distinct Euclidean self-dual codes of length $n$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}+u \mathbb{F}_{q}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{0 \leq k_{0} \leq\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor} \sigma_{q}\left(n, k_{0}\right) q^{k_{0}\left(k_{0}+\epsilon\right) / 2} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\epsilon=-1$ if $q$ is odd and $\epsilon=1$ if $q$ is even, and the number of distinct Hermitian self-dual codes of length $n$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}+u \mathbb{F}_{q}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{0 \leq k_{0} \leq\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor} \sigma_{q}\left(n, k_{0}\right) q^{k_{0}\left(k_{0}+1\right) / 2} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Note that the number of distinct Euclidean self-dual codes and the number of distinct Hermitian self-dual codes of length $n$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}+u \mathbb{F}_{q}$ are given by

$$
\sum_{0 \leq k_{0} \leq\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor} M_{q}\left(n, k_{0}, n-2 k_{0}\right)_{E}, \text { and } \sum_{0 \leq k_{0} \leq\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor} M_{q}\left(n, k_{0}, n-2 k_{0}\right)_{H},
$$

respectively.
In [6, Theorem 3], Gaborit establishes the mass formula for Hermitian self-dual codes over $\mathbb{F}_{q}+u \mathbb{F}_{q}$, but gives the formula for Euclidean self-dual codes instead. The formula (9) corrects this.

Next, we establish another formula for the number of distinct Euclidean self-orthogonal codes when the given torsion is self-orthogonal.

Corollary 2. Suppose $q$ is odd. The number of distinct Euclidean self-orthogonal codes of length $n$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}+u \mathbb{F}_{q}$ of type $\left\{k_{0}, k_{1}\right\}$ with self-orthogonal torsion is

$$
\tilde{M}_{q}\left(n, k_{0}, k_{1}\right)_{E}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
k_{0}+k_{1} \\
k_{0}
\end{array}\right]_{q} \sigma_{q}\left(n, k_{0}+k_{1}\right) q^{k_{0}\left(2 n-3 k_{0}-2 k_{1}-1\right) / 2}
$$

Proof. Let $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ be self-orthogonal codes where $\operatorname{dim} C_{1}=k_{0}, \operatorname{dim} C_{2}=k_{0}+k_{1}$ and $C_{1} \subseteq C_{2}$. By Theorem 2, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{M}_{q}\left(n, k_{0}, k_{1}\right)_{E} q^{-k_{0}\left(2 n-3 k_{0}-2 k_{1}-1\right) / 2} & =\sum_{C_{2} \subseteq C_{2}^{\perp}}\left|\left\{C_{1} \mid C_{1} \subseteq C_{2}\right\}\right| \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{c}
k_{0}+k_{1} \\
k_{0}
\end{array}\right]_{q}\left|\left\{C_{2} \mid C_{2} \subseteq C_{2}^{\perp}\right\}\right| \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{c}
k_{0}+k_{1} \\
k_{0}
\end{array}\right]_{q} \sigma_{q}\left(n, k_{0}+k_{1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This corollary will be useful in our mass formula computations on later chapters.

## 5. Classification of self-orthogonal codes over $\mathbb{F}_{q}+u \mathbb{F}_{q}$

Using Theorem 2, we classify Euclidean and Hermitian self-orthogonal codes over $\mathbb{F}_{2}+$ $u \mathbb{F}_{2}$ and $\mathbb{F}_{3}+u \mathbb{F}_{3}$, of given type for small lengths. Note that two codes over $\mathbb{F}_{2}+u \mathbb{F}_{2}$ are equivalent if one can be obtained from the other by permuting the coordinates and (if necessary) multiplying certain coordinates by $1+u$. On the other hand, two Euclidean self-orthogonal codes over $\mathbb{F}_{3}+u \mathbb{F}_{3}$ are equivalent if one can be obtained from the other by permuting the coordinates and (if necessary) multiplying certain coordinates by 2 , and two Hermitian self-orthogonal codes over $\mathbb{F}_{3}+u \mathbb{F}_{3}$ are equivalent if one can be obtained from the other by permuting the coordinates and (if necessary) multiplying certain coordinates by $r$, where $r \in\{2,1+u, 1+2 u, 2+u, 2+2 u\}$.

To illustrate, we classify Euclidean self-orthogonal codes over $\mathbb{F}_{3}+u \mathbb{F}_{3}$ of length 4 and type $\{2,0\}$. Let $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ be inequivalent Euclidean self-orthogonal codes over $\mathbb{F}_{3}+u \mathbb{F}_{3}$ of length 4 and type $\{2,0\}$ with generator matrices

$$
\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0 & 2 & 2 \\
0 & 1 & 2 & 1
\end{array}\right] \text { and }\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 2+2 u & 2+u \\
0 & 1 & 2+u & 1+u
\end{array}\right],
$$

respectively. The order of their automorphism groups are 48 and 24, respectively. Hence,

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{2} \frac{\left|E_{4}\right|}{\left|\operatorname{Aut}\left(C_{j}\right)\right|}=\frac{2^{4} \cdot 4!}{48}+\frac{2^{4} \cdot 4!}{24}=8+16=24 .
$$

From Theorem 2,

$$
M_{3}(4,2,0)_{E}=\sigma_{3}(4,2)\left[\begin{array}{c}
4-2 \cdot 2 \\
0
\end{array}\right]_{3} 3^{2(8-6-0-1) / 2}=8 \cdot 1 \cdot 3=24 .
$$

Therefore, there are two Euclidean self-orthogonal codes of length 4 and type $\{2,0\}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{3}+u \mathbb{F}_{3}$, up to equivalence.

We note that Euclidean self-orthogonal and Hermitian self-orthogonal codes coincide over $\mathbb{F}_{2}+u \mathbb{F}_{2}$, as well as in codes over $\mathbb{F}_{3}+u \mathbb{F}_{3}$ of type $\left\{0, k_{1}\right\}$.

Table 1 gives the number of inequivalent Euclidean self-orthogonal codes over $\mathbb{F}_{2}+$ $u \mathbb{F}_{2}$ of lengths 2 up to 7 , while Table 2 gives the number of inequivalent Euclidean and Hermitian self-orthogonal codes over $\mathbb{F}_{3}+u \mathbb{F}_{3}$ of lengths 2 up to 6 , for each type. Note that the code of length 1 with generator matrix $[u]$ is a Euclidean self-orthogonal and Hermitian self-orthogonal code over $\mathbb{F}_{q}+u \mathbb{F}_{q}$. Therefore, there is a self-orthogonal code for any length $n$, since one can just form a direct sum of this length 1 code. Our classification of selforthogonal codes over $\mathbb{F}_{2}+u \mathbb{F}_{2}$ agrees with the enumeration in [5] for self-dual codes (codes of type $\left\{k_{0}, n-2 k_{0}\right\}$ ) up to length $n=7$. Generators and the order of the automorphism group of each code in Table 1 and Table 2 may be requested by the interested reader from the authors. All computer calculations in this paper were done with the help of Magma[4].

Table 1: The number of inequivalent self-orthogonal codes of lengths $2 \leq n \leq 7$ over $\mathbb{F}_{2}+u \mathbb{F}_{2}$

| $\left\{n, k_{0}, k_{1}\right\}$ | Number of <br> Codes | $\left\{n, k_{0}, k_{1}\right\}$ | Number of <br> Codes | $\left\{n, k_{0}, k_{1}\right\}$ | Number of <br> Codes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\{2,1,0\}$ | 1 | $\{5,2,1\}$ | 2 | $\{6,0,6\}$ | 1 |
| $\{2,0,1\}$ | 2 | $\{5,0,1\}$ | 5 | $\{7,1,0\}$ | 12 |
| $\{2,0,2\}$ | 1 | $\{5,0,2\}$ | 10 | $\{7,1,1\}$ | 54 |
| $\{3,1,0\}$ | 2 | $\{5,0,3\}$ | 10 | $\{7,1,2\}$ | 100 |
| $\{3,1,1\}$ | 1 | $\{5,0,4\}$ | 5 | $\{7,1,3\}$ | 73 |
| $\{3,0,1\}$ | 3 | $\{5,0,5\}$ | 1 | $\{7,1,4\}$ | 24 |
| $\{3,0,2\}$ | 3 | $\{6,1,0\}$ | 9 | $\{7,1,5\}$ | 3 |
| $\{3,0,3\}$ | 1 | $\{6,1,1\}$ | 29 | $\{7,2,0\}$ | 43 |
| $\{4,1,0\}$ | 4 | $\{6,1,2\}$ | 36 | $\{7,2,1\}$ | 74 |
| $\{4,1,1\}$ | 5 | $\{6,1,3\}$ | 16 | $\{7,2,2\}$ | 40 |
| $\{4,1,2\}$ | 2 | $\{6,1,4\}$ | 3 | $\{7,2,3\}$ | 5 |
| $\{4,2,0\}$ | 2 | $\{6,2,0\}$ | 19 | $\{7,3,0\}$ | 22 |
| $\{4,0,1\}$ | 4 | $\{6,2,1\}$ | 18 | $\{7,3,1\}$ | 5 |
| $\{4,0,2\}$ | 6 | $\{6,2,2\}$ | 5 | $\{7,0,1\}$ | 7 |
| $\{4,0,3\}$ | 4 | $\{6,3,0\}$ | 4 | $\{7,0,2\}$ | 23 |
| $\{4,0,4\}$ | 1 | $\{6,0,1\}$ | 6 | $\{7,0,3\}$ | 43 |
| $\{5,1,0\}$ | 6 | $\{6,0,2\}$ | 16 | $\{7,0,4\}$ | 43 |
| $\{5,1,1\}$ | 13 | $\{6,0,3\}$ | 22 | $\{7,0,5\}$ | 23 |
| $\{5,1,2\}$ | 10 | $\{6,0,4\}$ | 16 | $\{7,0,6\}$ | 7 |
| $\{5,1,3\}$ | 2 | $\{6,0,5\}$ | 6 | $\{7,0,7\}$ | 1 |
| $\{5,2,0\}$ | 6 |  |  |  |  |

Table 2: The number of inequivalent Euclidean and Hermitian self-orthogonal codes of lengths $2 \leq n \leq 6$ over $\mathbb{F}_{3}+u \mathbb{F}_{3}$

| $\left\{n, k_{0}, k_{1}\right\}$ | Number of Codes <br>  <br> Euclidean |  | $\left\{n, k_{0}, k_{1}\right\}$ | Number of Codes |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Euclidean | Hermitian |  |  |  |  |
| $\{2,1,0\}$ | 0 | 0 | $\{5,2,1\}$ | 2 | 1 |
| $\{2,0,1\}$ | 2 | 2 | $\{5,0,1\}$ | 5 | 5 |
| $\{2,0,2\}$ | 1 | 1 | $\{5,0,2\}$ | 12 | 12 |
| $\{3,1,0\}$ | 2 | 1 | $\{5,0,3\}$ | 12 | 12 |
| $\{3,1,1\}$ | 1 | 1 | $\{5,0,4\}$ | 5 | 5 |
| $\{3,0,1\}$ | 3 | 3 | $\{5,0,5\}$ | 1 | 1 |
| $\{3,0,2\}$ | 3 | 3 | $\{6,1,0\}$ | 12 | 5 |
| $\{3,0,3\}$ | 1 | 1 | $\{6,1,1\}$ | 57 | 27 |
| $\{4,1,0\}$ | 4 | 2 | $\{6,1,2\}$ | 64 | 34 |
| $\{4,1,1\}$ | 6 | 4 | $\{6,1,3\}$ | 20 | 13 |
| $\{4,1,2\}$ | 1 | 1 | $\{6,1,4\}$ | 2 | 2 |
| $\{4,2,0\}$ | 2 | 1 | $\{6,2,0\}$ | 22 | 8 |
| $\{4,0,1\}$ | 4 | 4 | $\{6,2,1\}$ | 18 | 9 |
| $\{4,0,2\}$ | 7 | 7 | $\{6,2,2\}$ | 4 | 3 |
| $\{4,0,3\}$ | 4 | 4 | $\{6,3,0\}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $\{4,0,4\}$ | 1 | 1 | $\{6,0,1\}$ | 6 | 6 |
| $\{5,1,0\}$ | 6 | 3 | $\{6,0,2\}$ | 20 | 20 |
| $\{5,1,1\}$ | 19 | 11 | $\{6,0,3\}$ | 31 | 31 |
| $\{5,1,2\}$ | 10 | 7 | $\{6,0,4\}$ | 20 | 20 |
| $\{5,1,3\}$ | 1 | 1 | $\{6,0,5\}$ | 6 | 6 |
| $\{5,2,0\}$ | 4 | 2 | $\{6,0,6\}$ | 1 | 1 |

6. Codes over $\mathbb{F}_{q}+u \mathbb{F}_{q}+u^{2} \mathbb{F}_{q}$, where $q$ is odd

For the rest of this paper, let $R_{2}$ be the commutative $\operatorname{ring} \mathbb{F}_{q}[u] /\left(u^{3}\right)=\mathbb{F}_{q}+u \mathbb{F}_{q}+u^{2} \mathbb{F}_{q}$, where $u^{3}=0$ and $q$ is odd. We will only consider Euclidean inner product.

A code $C$ of length $n$ over $R_{2}$ is permutation-equivalent to a code with generator matrix

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
I_{k_{0}} & A_{0} & B_{0}+u B_{1}+u^{2} B_{2}  \tag{10}\\
0 & u I_{k_{1}} & u D_{1}+u^{2} D_{2} \\
0 & 0 & u^{2} F_{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $F_{2} \in M_{k_{2} \times\left(n-k_{0}-k_{1}\right)}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ and $A_{0}, B_{0}, B_{1}, B_{2}, D_{1}, D_{2}$ are matrices of appropriate sizes over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. We define the torsion codes of $C$ as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{tor}_{0}(C)=\left\{v \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n} \mid \exists w, z \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}, v+u w+u^{2} z \in C\right\} \text { and } \\
\operatorname{tor}_{i}(C)=\left\{v \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n} \mid u^{i} v \in C\right\}, \text { for } i=1,2
\end{gathered}
$$

The code $\operatorname{tor}_{0}(C)$ is also called the residue code of $C$. Observe that $\operatorname{tor}_{0}(C) \subseteq \operatorname{tor}_{1}(C) \subseteq$ $\operatorname{tor}_{2}(C)$. If $C$ has generator matrix (10), then the residue code $\operatorname{tor}_{0}(C)$ has dimension $k_{0}$ and generator matrix

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lll}
I_{k_{0}} & A_{0} & B_{0} \tag{11}
\end{array}\right],
$$

tor $_{1}(C)$ has dimension $k_{0}+k_{1}$ and generator matrix

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
I_{k_{0}} & A_{0} & B_{0}  \tag{12}\\
0 & I_{k_{1}} & D_{1}
\end{array}\right]
$$

and $\operatorname{tor}_{2}(C)$ has dimension $k_{0}+k_{1}+k_{2}$ and generator matrix

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
I_{k_{0}} & A_{0} & B_{0}  \tag{13}\\
0 & I_{k_{1}} & D_{1} \\
0 & 0 & F_{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $F_{2}$ is of full row rank. The code $C$ is of type $\left\{k_{0}, k_{1}, k_{2}\right\}$ and

$$
|C|=\left|\operatorname{tor}_{0}(C)\right|\left|\operatorname{tor}_{1}(C)\right|\left|\operatorname{tor}_{2}(C)\right|=q^{3 k_{0}+2 k_{1}+k_{2}} .
$$

Suppose $C$ is self-orthogonal. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{k_{0}}+A_{0} A_{0}^{T}+B_{0} B_{0}^{T}+u\left(B_{0} B_{1}^{T}+B_{1} B_{0}^{T}\right) & \\
+u^{2}\left(B_{0} B_{2}^{T}+B_{1} B_{1}^{T}+B_{2} B_{0}^{T}\right) & \equiv 0\left(u^{3}\right) \\
u\left(A_{0}+B_{0} D_{1}^{T}\right)+u^{2}\left(B_{1} D_{1}^{T}+B_{0} D_{2}^{T}\right) & \equiv 0\left(u^{3}\right) \\
u^{2}\left(B_{0} F_{2}^{T}\right) & \equiv 0\left(u^{3}\right) \\
u^{2}\left(I_{k_{1}}+D_{1} D_{1}^{T}\right) & \equiv 0\left(u^{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which give the following:

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{k_{0}}+A_{0} A_{0}^{T}+B_{0} B_{0}^{T} & \equiv 0(u)  \tag{14}\\
B_{0} B_{1}^{T}+B_{1} B_{0}^{T} & \equiv 0(u)  \tag{15}\\
B_{0} B_{2}^{T}+B_{1} B_{1}^{T}+B_{2} B_{0}^{T} & \equiv 0(u)  \tag{16}\\
A_{0}+B_{0} D_{1}^{T} & \equiv 0(u)  \tag{17}\\
B_{1} D_{1}^{T}+B_{0} D_{2}^{T} & \equiv 0(u)  \tag{18}\\
F_{2} B_{0}^{T} & \equiv 0(u)  \tag{19}\\
I_{k_{1}}+D_{1} D_{1}^{T} & \equiv 0(u) . \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

From (14), $\operatorname{tor}_{0}(C)$ is self-orthogonal and by (14), (17) and (20), we have

$$
\operatorname{tor}_{1}(C) \subseteq \operatorname{tor}_{1}(C)^{\perp},
$$

that is, $\operatorname{tor}_{1}(C)$ is self-orthogonal. Moreover, by (14), (17) and (19) we have

$$
\operatorname{tor}_{0}(C) \subseteq \operatorname{tor}_{2}(C)^{\perp}
$$

We will introduce another type of residue for a code over $R_{2}$.
Definition 1. Let $C$ be a code over $R_{2}$. The code over $\mathbb{F}_{q}+u \mathbb{F}_{q}$ obtained from $C$ by reduction modulo $u^{2}$ is called the $u^{2}$-Residue of $C$ and will be denoted by $\operatorname{Res}(C)$.

It is easy to see that a generator matrix for $\operatorname{Res}(C)$ is

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
I_{k_{0}} & A_{0} & B_{0}+u B_{1} \\
0 & u I_{k_{1}} & u D_{1}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

It is also clear that $\operatorname{res}(\operatorname{Res}(C))=\operatorname{tor}_{0}(C), \operatorname{tor}(\operatorname{Res}(C))=\operatorname{tor}_{1}(C)$, and $\operatorname{Res}(C)$ is of type $\left\{k_{0}, k_{1}\right\}$.

If $C$ is self-orthogonal, by (14), (15) and (17) we have

$$
\operatorname{Res}(C) \subseteq \operatorname{Res}(C)^{\perp},
$$

that is, $\operatorname{Res}(C)$ is self-orthogonal of type $\left\{k_{0}, k_{1}\right\}$. Also, since

$$
\operatorname{tor}(\operatorname{Res}(C))=\operatorname{tor}_{1}(C) \subseteq \operatorname{tor}_{2}(C)
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{tor}_{2}(C) \subseteq \operatorname{tor}_{0}(C)^{\perp}=\operatorname{res}(\operatorname{Res}(C))^{\perp}
$$

we have

$$
\operatorname{tor}(\operatorname{Res}(C)) \subseteq \operatorname{tor}_{2}(C) \subseteq \operatorname{res}(\operatorname{Res}(C))^{\perp}
$$

which gives the following lemma.
Lemma 7. Let $C$ be a self-orthogonal code over $R_{2}$ of type $\left\{k_{0}, k_{1}, k_{2}\right\}$ and let $C_{1}=\operatorname{Res}(C)$ and $C_{2}=\operatorname{tor}_{2}(C)$. Then
(i) $C_{1} \subseteq C_{1}^{\perp}$,
(ii) $\operatorname{tor}\left(C_{1}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{tor}\left(C_{1}\right)^{\perp}$, and
(iii) $\operatorname{tor}\left(C_{1}\right) \subseteq C_{2} \subseteq \operatorname{res}\left(C_{1}\right)^{\perp}, \operatorname{dim} C_{2}=k_{0}+k_{1}+k_{2}$.

## 7. Codes over $\mathbb{F}_{q}+u \mathbb{F}_{q}+u^{2} \mathbb{F}_{q}$ with prescribed $u^{2}$-Residue and torsion

For the rest of this chapter, we let $C_{1}$ be a self-orthogonal code over $R_{1}$ of type $\left\{k_{0}, k_{1}\right\}$ such that $\operatorname{tor}\left(C_{1}\right)$ is self-orthogonal. We assume without loss of generality that $C_{1}$ has generator matrix

$$
G_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
I_{k_{0}} & A_{0} & B_{0}+u B_{1} \\
0 & u I_{k_{1}} & u D_{1}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Since $C_{1}$ is self-orthogonal, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{k_{0}}+A_{0} A_{0}^{T}+B_{0} B_{0}^{T}+u\left(A_{0} A_{1}^{T}+A_{1} A_{0}^{T}+B_{0} B_{1}^{T}+B_{1} B_{0}^{T}\right) & \equiv 0\left(u^{2}\right) \\
u\left(A_{0}+B_{0} D_{1}^{T}\right) & \equiv 0\left(u^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which are equivalent to (14), (15) and (17). Moreover, since $\operatorname{tor}\left(C_{1}\right)$ is self-orthogonal, we have

$$
I_{k_{0}}+A_{0} A_{0}^{T}+B_{0} B_{0}^{T} \equiv 0(u)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{0}+B_{0} D_{1}^{T} & \equiv 0(u) \\
I_{k_{1}}+D_{1} D_{1}^{T} & \equiv 0(u)
\end{aligned}
$$

which are equivalent to (14), (17) and (19).
Now, notice that from (17), we have

$$
A_{0} \equiv-B_{0} D_{1}^{T}(u)
$$

By (14), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{k_{0}}+B_{0} D_{1}^{T} D_{1} B_{0}^{T}+B_{0} B_{0}^{T} & \equiv 0(u) \\
I_{k_{0}}+B_{0}\left(D_{1}^{T} D_{1} B+I_{k_{0}}\right) B_{0}^{T} & \equiv 0(u)
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies $B_{0}$ is of full row rank.
We start by counting the number of self-orthogonal codes $C$ of type $\left\{k_{0}, k_{1}, 0\right\}$ such that $\operatorname{Res}(C)=C_{1}$. Similar to what we did in the previous chapter, we first exhibit the generator matrix of such code $C$.

Lemma 8. If $C$ is a code over $R_{2}$ of type $\left\{k_{0}, k_{1}, 0\right\}$ and $\operatorname{Res}(C)=C_{1}$, then there exist matrices $N_{0} \in M_{k_{0} \times\left(n-k_{0}-k_{1}\right)}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ and $N_{1} \in M_{k_{1} \times\left(n-k_{0}-k_{1}\right)}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ such that

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
I_{k_{0}} & A_{0} & B_{0}+u B_{1}+u^{2} N_{0}  \tag{21}\\
0 & u I_{k_{1}} & u D_{1}+u^{2} N_{1}
\end{array}\right]
$$

is a generator matrix for $C$. The matrices $N_{0}$ and $N_{1}$ are unique.
Proof. If $C$ is a code over $R_{2}$ of type $\left\{k_{0}, k_{1}, 0\right\}$ such that $\operatorname{Res}(C)=C_{1}$, then for some matrices $M_{1}, M_{2}, M_{3}, M_{4}$ and $M_{5}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ of appropriate sizes,

$$
R_{2}^{k_{0}+k_{1}}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
I_{k_{0}}+u^{2} M_{1} & A_{0}+u^{2} M_{2} & B_{0}+u B_{1}+u^{2} M_{3} \\
0 & I_{k_{1}}+u^{2} M_{4} & D_{1}+u^{2} M_{5}
\end{array}\right] \subseteq C .
$$

Applying elementary row operations,

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I_{k_{0}}-u^{2} M_{1} & 0 \\
0 & I_{k_{1}}-u^{2} M_{4}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
I_{k_{0}}+u^{2} M_{1} & A_{0}+u^{2} M_{2} & B_{0}+u B_{1}+u^{2} M_{3} \\
0 & I_{k_{1}}+u^{2} M_{4} & D_{1}+u^{2} M_{5}
\end{array}\right]=} \\
{\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
I_{k_{0}} & A_{0}+u^{2}\left(M_{2}-M_{1} A_{0}\right) & B_{0}+u B_{1}+u^{2}\left(M_{3}-M_{1} B_{0}\right) \\
0 & I_{k_{1}} & D_{1}+u^{2}\left(M_{5}-M_{4} D_{1}\right)
\end{array}\right]}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I_{k_{0}} & -u^{2}\left(M_{2}-M_{1} A_{0}\right) \\
0 & I_{k_{1}}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
I_{k_{0}} & A_{0}+u^{2}\left(M_{2}-M_{1} A_{0}\right) & B_{0}+u B_{1}+u^{2}\left(M_{3}-M_{1} B_{0}\right) \\
0 & I_{k_{1}} & D_{1}+u^{2}\left(M_{5}-M_{4} D_{1}\right)
\end{array}\right]} \\
=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
I_{k_{0}} & A_{0} & B_{0}+u B_{1}+u^{2}\left(M_{3}-M_{1} B_{0}-M_{2} D_{1}+M_{1} A_{0} D_{1}\right) \\
0 & I_{k_{1}} & D_{1}+u^{2}\left(M_{5}-M_{4} D_{1}\right)
\end{array}\right] .
\end{gathered}
$$

Letting

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{0}=M_{3}-M_{1} B_{0}-M_{2} D_{1}+M_{1} A_{0} D_{1} \\
& N_{1}=M_{5}-M_{4} D_{1},
\end{aligned}
$$

we have

$$
R_{2}^{k_{0}+k_{1}}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
I_{k_{0}} & A_{0} & B_{0}+u B_{1}+u^{2} N_{0} \\
0 & u I_{k_{1}} & u D_{1}+u^{2} N_{1}
\end{array}\right] \subseteq C
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|C| & \geq\left|R_{2}^{k_{0}+k_{1}}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
I_{k_{0}} & A_{0} & B_{0}+u B_{1}+u^{2} N_{0} \\
0 & u I_{k_{1}} & u D_{1}+u^{2} N_{1}
\end{array}\right]\right| \\
& =q^{k_{0}+k_{1}} q^{2 k_{0}+k_{1}} \\
& =q^{3 k_{0}+2 k_{1}} \\
& =|C|
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence, (21) is a generator matrix for $C$.
Next, we show uniqueness of the matrices $N_{0}$ and $N_{1}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. Suppose there exist matrices $N_{0}^{\prime} \in M_{k_{0} \times\left(n-k_{0}-k_{1}\right)}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ and $N_{1}^{\prime} \in M_{k_{1} \times\left(n-k_{0}-k_{1}\right)}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{2}^{k_{0}+k_{1}}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
I_{k_{0}} & A_{0} & B_{0}+u B_{1}+u^{2} N_{0}^{\prime} \\
0 & u I_{k_{1}} & u D_{1}+u^{2} N_{1}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]= \\
& R_{2}^{k_{0}+k_{1}}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
I_{k_{0}} & A_{0} & B_{0}+u B_{1}+u^{2} N_{0} \\
0 & u I_{k_{1}} & u D_{1}+u^{2} N_{1} .
\end{array}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

This means that

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{0}+u B_{1}+u^{2} N_{0}^{\prime} & \equiv B_{0}+u B_{1}+u^{2} N_{0}\left(u^{3}\right) \\
u D_{1}+u^{2} N_{1}^{\prime} & \equiv u D_{1}+u^{2} N_{1}\left(u^{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which imply that

$$
\begin{aligned}
N_{0}^{\prime} & \equiv N_{0}(u) \\
N_{1}^{\prime} & \equiv N_{1}(u)
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence, $N_{0}$ and $N_{1}$ are unique.
This shows that the number of self-orthogonal codes $C$ over $R_{2}$ of type $\left\{k_{0}, k_{1}, 0\right\}$ with $\operatorname{Res}(C)=C_{1}$ is determined by the number of such matrices $N_{0}$ and $N_{1}$, which will be given in the next lemma.

Lemma 9. The number of self-orthogonal codes $C$ of type $\left\{k_{0}, k_{1}, 0\right\}$ over $R_{2}$ such that $\operatorname{Res}(C)=C_{1}$ is

$$
q^{\left(k_{0}+k_{1}\right)\left(n-k_{0}-k_{1}\right)-k_{0}\left(k_{0}+1\right) / 2-k_{0} k_{1}} .
$$

Proof. By Lemma 8, $C$ has generator matrix

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
I_{k_{0}} & A_{0} & B_{0}+u B_{1}+u^{2} N_{0} \\
0 & u I_{k_{1}} & u D_{1}+u^{2} N_{1}
\end{array}\right]
$$

for some matrices $N_{0}, N_{1}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. From this, $C$ is self-orthogonal if and only if

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{k_{0}}+A A_{0}^{T}+B B_{0}^{T}+u\left(B_{0} B_{1}^{T}+B_{1} B_{0}^{T}\right) & \\
+u^{2}\left(B_{1} B_{1}^{T}+B_{0} N_{0}^{T}+N_{0} B_{0}^{T}\right) & \equiv 0\left(u^{3}\right)  \tag{22}\\
u\left(A_{0}+B_{0} D_{1}^{T}\right)+u^{2}\left(B_{1} D_{1}^{T}+B_{0} N_{1}^{T}\right) & \equiv 0\left(u^{3}\right) \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

We want to count the number of such matrices $N_{0}$ and $N_{1}$ satisfying the above equivalences. First, consider the map

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{B_{0}}: M_{k_{0} \times\left(n-k_{0}-k_{1}\right)}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right) & \longrightarrow M_{k_{0}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right) \\
N_{0} & \longmapsto B_{0} N_{0}^{T}+N_{0} B_{0}^{T}
\end{aligned}
$$

as defined in the previous chapter. By (14) and (15), (22) becomes

$$
B_{1} B_{1}^{T}+B_{0} N_{0}^{T}+N_{0} B_{0}^{T} \equiv 0(u)
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mid\left\{N_{0} \in M_{k_{0} \times\left(n-k_{0}-k_{1}\right)} \mid N_{0} \text { satisfies }(22)\right\} \mid & =\left|\left\{\Phi_{B_{0}}^{-1}\left(-B_{1} B_{1}^{T}\right)\right\}\right| \\
& =\left|\operatorname{ker} \Phi_{B_{0}}\right| \\
& =\frac{\left|M_{k_{0} \times\left(n-k_{0}-k_{1}\right)}\right|}{\left|\operatorname{Sym}_{k_{0}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right|} \\
& =q^{k_{0}\left(n-k_{0}-k_{1}\right)-k_{0}\left(k_{0}+1\right) / 2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Define another map

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta: M_{k_{1} \times\left(n-k_{0}-k_{1}\right)}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right) & \longrightarrow M_{k_{0} \times k_{1}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right) \\
N_{1} & \longmapsto B_{0} N_{1}^{T} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This map is surjective because $B_{0}$ is of full row rank. Therefore by (17),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mid\left\{N_{1} \in M_{k_{1} \times\left(n-k_{0}-k_{1}\right)} \mid N_{1} \text { satisfies }(23)\right\} \mid & =\left|\left\{\beta^{-1}\left(-B_{1} D_{1}^{T}\right)\right\}\right| \\
& =|\operatorname{ker} \beta| \\
& =\frac{\left|M_{k_{1} \times\left(n-k_{0}-k_{1}\right)}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right|}{\left|M_{k_{0} \times k_{1}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right|} \\
& =q^{k_{1}\left(n-k_{0}-k_{1}\right)-\left(k_{0} k_{1}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, the number of self-orthogonal codes $C$ of type $\left\{k_{0}, k_{1}, 0\right\}$ over $R_{2}$ such that $\operatorname{Res}(C)=C_{1}$ is the number of such matrices $N_{0}$ satisfying (22) multiplied to the number of such matrices $N_{1}$ satisfying (23) which is

$$
q^{k_{0}\left(n-k_{0}-k_{1}\right)-k_{0}\left(k_{0}+1\right) / 2} q^{k_{1}\left(n-k_{0}-k_{1}\right)-k_{0} k_{1}} .
$$

The result follows by simplifying the above expression.
For the rest of this chapter, let $C_{2}$ be a code over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ with dimension $k_{0}+k_{1}+k_{2}$ and has a generator matrix

$$
G_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
I_{k_{0}} & A_{0} & B_{0} \\
0 & I_{k_{1}} & D_{1} \\
0 & 0 & F_{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $F_{2}$ is of full row rank. We assume that $\operatorname{tor}\left(C_{1}\right) \subseteq C_{2} \subseteq \operatorname{res}\left(C_{1}\right)^{\perp}$. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{k_{0}}+A_{0} A_{0}^{T}+B_{0} B_{0}^{T} & \equiv 0(u) \\
A_{0}+B_{0} D_{1}^{T} & \equiv 0(u) \\
F_{2} B_{0}^{T} & \equiv 0(u)
\end{aligned}
$$

which are equivalent to (14), (17) and (19), respectively.
Consider the following sets of codes over $R_{2}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y & =\left\{C \mid C \text { is self-orthogonal of type }\left\{k_{0}, k_{1}, 0\right\}, \operatorname{Res}(C)=C_{1}\right\} ; \\
Y^{\prime} & =\left\{C^{\prime} \mid C^{\prime} \text { is self-orthogonal, } \operatorname{Res}\left(C^{\prime}\right)=C_{1}, \operatorname{tor}_{2}\left(C^{\prime}\right)=C_{2}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $|Y|$ is already given in Lemma 9. Our next goal is to compute for $\left|Y^{\prime}\right|$. This will be done in the same way as in the previous chapter.

Lemma 10. If $C \in Y$, then there exists a unique $C^{\prime} \in Y^{\prime}$ such that $C \subseteq C^{\prime}$.
Proof. Since $C \in Y, C$ has generator matrix (21) for some matrices $N_{0}$ and $N_{1}$. Suppose $C \subseteq C^{\prime}$ for some $C^{\prime} \in Y^{\prime}$ and there exists a code $C^{\prime \prime}$ with generator matrix

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
I_{k_{0}} & A_{0} & B_{0}+u B_{1}+u^{2} N_{0} \\
0 & u I_{k_{1}} & u D_{1}+u^{2} N_{1} \\
0 & 0 & u^{2} F_{2}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Clearly, $C \subseteq C^{\prime \prime}$. Note that $C^{\prime \prime}$ satisfies $\operatorname{Res}\left(C^{\prime \prime}\right)=C_{1}$ and $\operatorname{tor}_{2}\left(C^{\prime \prime}\right)=C_{2}$. Using (19), we conclude that $C^{\prime \prime}$ is self-orthogonal. Hence, $C^{\prime \prime} \in Y^{\prime}$.

Next, notice that $R_{2}^{k_{2}}\left[00 u^{2} F_{2}\right] \subseteq C^{\prime}$. This, together with the fact that $C \subseteq C^{\prime}$, forces $C^{\prime \prime} \subseteq C^{\prime}$. But

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|C^{\prime \prime}\right| & =\left|C_{1}\right|\left|C_{2}\right| \\
& =q^{2 k_{0}+k_{1}} q^{k_{0}+k_{1}+k_{2}} \\
& =q^{3 k_{0}+2 k_{1}+k_{2}} \\
& =\left|C^{\prime}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

and therefore, $C^{\prime}=C^{\prime \prime}$.
Lemma 11. Let $C^{\prime} \in Y^{\prime}$. Then $\left|\left\{C \in Y \mid C \subseteq C^{\prime}\right\}\right|=q^{\left(k_{0}+k_{1}\right) k_{2}}$.

Proof. Let $C^{\prime} \in Y^{\prime}$ whose generator matrix is

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
I_{k_{0}} & A_{0} & B_{0}+u B_{1}+u^{2} N_{0} \\
0 & u I_{k_{1}} & u D_{1}+u^{2} N_{1} \\
0 & 0 & u^{2} F_{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Define the map $\Psi: M_{k_{0} \times k_{2}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right) \times M_{k_{1} \times k_{2}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right) \longrightarrow\left\{C \in Y \mid C \subseteq C^{\prime}\right\}$ as

$$
\Psi\left(M^{\prime}, M^{\prime \prime}\right)=R_{2}^{k_{0}+k_{1}}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
I_{k_{0}} & A_{0} & B_{0}+u B_{1}+u^{2}\left(N_{0}+M^{\prime} F_{2}\right) \\
0 & u I_{k_{1}} & u D_{1}+u^{2}\left(N_{1}+M^{\prime \prime} F_{2}\right)
\end{array}\right]
$$

and claim that this map is bijective.
Indeed, $\Psi$ is injective because $F_{2}$ is of full row rank. Now, suppose $C \in Y$ such that $C \subseteq C^{\prime}$. Then by Lemma $8, C$ has generator matrix

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
I_{k_{0}} & A_{0} & B_{0}+u B_{1}+u^{2} F^{\prime} \\
0 & u I_{k_{1}} & u D_{1}+u^{2} F^{\prime \prime}
\end{array}\right]
$$

for some matrices $F^{\prime}$ and $F^{\prime \prime}$. Since $C \subseteq C^{\prime}$, there exist matrices $M^{\prime}$ and $M^{\prime \prime}$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
I_{k_{0}} & A_{0} & B_{0}+u B_{1}+u^{2} F^{\prime} \\
0 & u I_{k_{1}} & u D_{1}+u^{2} F^{\prime \prime}
\end{array}\right] \equiv} \\
{\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
I_{k_{0}} & 0 & M^{\prime} \\
0 & I_{k_{1}} & M^{\prime \prime}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
I_{k_{0}} & A_{0} & B_{0}+u B_{1}+u^{2} N_{0} \\
0 & u I_{k_{1}} & u D_{1}+u^{2} N_{1} \\
0 & 0 & u^{2} F_{2}
\end{array}\right]\left(u^{3}\right) .}
\end{gathered}
$$

Then we have $F^{\prime}=N_{0}+M^{\prime} F_{2}$ and $F_{2}=N_{1}+M^{\prime \prime} F_{2}$, so $\Psi$ is surjective and hence, bijective.

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left\{C \in Y \mid C \subseteq C^{\prime}\right\}\right| & =\left|M_{k_{0} \times k_{2}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right) \times M_{k_{1} \times k_{2}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right| \\
& =q^{k_{0} k_{2}} q^{k_{1} k_{2}} \\
& =q^{\left(k_{0}+k_{1}\right) k_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Given $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$, we can now count the number of self-orthogonal codes over $R_{2}$ having $u^{2}$-Residue $C_{1}$ and torsion $C_{2}$.

Theorem 3. Suppose $C_{1}$ is a self-orthogonal code over $\mathbb{F}_{q}+u \mathbb{F}_{q}$, where $q$ is odd, of type $\left\{k_{0}, k_{1}\right\}$ such that tor $\left(C_{1}\right)$ is self-orthogonal and $C_{2}$ is a code over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ of dimension $k_{0}+k_{1}+k_{2}$ such that $\operatorname{tor}\left(C_{1}\right) \subseteq C_{2} \subseteq \operatorname{res}\left(C_{1}\right)^{\perp}$. Then the number of self-orthogonal codes $C^{\prime}$ of length $n$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}+u \mathbb{F}_{q}+u^{2} \mathbb{F}_{q}$ such that $\operatorname{Res}\left(C^{\prime}\right)=C_{1}$ and $\operatorname{tor}_{2}\left(C^{\prime}\right)=C_{2}$ is

$$
q^{k_{0}\left(2 n-3 k_{0}-6 k_{1}-2 k_{2}-1\right) / 2+k_{1}\left(n-k_{1}-k_{2}\right)}
$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume that $C_{1}$ has generator matrix $G_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ has generator matrix $G_{2}$. Then we compute for $\left|Y^{\prime}\right|$. By Lemma 10 and Lemma 11, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
q^{\left(k_{0}+k_{1}\right) k_{2}}\left|Y^{\prime}\right| & =\sum_{C^{\prime} \in Y^{\prime}}\left|\left\{C \in X \mid C \subseteq C^{\prime}\right\}\right| \\
& =\sum_{C \in Y}\left|\left\{C^{\prime} \in X^{\prime} \mid C \subseteq C^{\prime}\right\}\right| \\
& =\sum_{C \in Y} 1 \\
& =|Y| .
\end{aligned}
$$

The results follow from Lemma 9.

## 8. Mass formula for self-orthogonal codes over $\mathbb{F}_{q}+u \mathbb{F}_{q}+u^{2} \mathbb{F}_{q}$, where $q$ is odd

We now have the following theorem.
Theorem 4. Suppose $q$ is odd. The number of distinct self-orthogonal codes over $\mathbb{F}_{q}+$ $u \mathbb{F}_{q}+u^{2} \mathbb{F}_{q}$ of length $n$ and type $\left\{k_{0}, k_{1}, k_{2}\right\}$, denoted by $M_{R_{2}}\left(n, k_{0}, k_{1}, k_{2}\right)$ is

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
n-2 k_{0}-k_{1} \\
k_{2}
\end{array}\right]_{q}\left[\begin{array}{c}
k_{0}+k_{1} \\
k_{0}
\end{array}\right]_{q} \sigma_{q}\left(n, k_{0}+k_{1}\right) q^{k_{0}\left(2 n-3 k_{0}-4 k_{1}-k_{2}-1\right)+k_{1}\left(n-k_{1}-k_{2}\right)} .
$$

Proof. If $C$ is a self-orthogonal code of length $n$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}+u \mathbb{F}_{q}+u^{2} \mathbb{F}_{q}$ of type $\left\{k_{0}, k_{1}, k_{2}\right\}$, then by setting $C_{1}=\operatorname{Res}(C)$ and $C_{2}=\operatorname{tor}_{2}(C)$, we see that $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ satisfies (i)-(iii) of Lemma 7. The number of self-orthogonal codes with given $u^{2}$-Residue $C_{1}$ and torsion $C_{2}$ is given in Theorem 3. The number of self-orthogonal codes $C_{1}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}+u \mathbb{F}_{q}$ satisfying (i) and (ii) is given in Corollary 2. The number of codes $C_{2}$ satisfying (iii) is $\left[\begin{array}{c}n-2 k_{0}-k_{1} \\ k_{2}\end{array}\right]_{q}$. The value of $M_{R_{2}}\left(n, k_{0}, k_{1}, k_{2}\right)$ is obtained by the product of these.

We now have the following mass formula for self-dual codes over $R_{2}$ as a direct consequence of Theorem 4.

Corollary 3. Suppose $q$ is odd. The number of distinct self-dual codes of even length $n$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}+u \mathbb{F}_{q}+u^{2} \mathbb{F}_{q}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k_{0}=0}^{\frac{n}{2}} M_{R_{2}}\left(n, k_{0}, \frac{n}{2}-k_{0}, \frac{n}{2}-k_{0}\right) . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By [3], we have $k_{1}=k_{2}$ and $n=2\left(k_{0}+k_{1}\right)$. The result follows from Theorem 4.

The formula (24) agrees with [3, Theorem 1].
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