



Coefficient problems in a class of functions with bounded turning associated with Sine function

Muhammad Ghaffar Khan¹, Bakhtiar Ahmad², Janusz Sokół³, Zubair Muhammad¹,
Wali Khan Mashwani¹, Ronnason Chinram⁴, Pattarawan Petchkaew^{5,*}

¹ *Institute of Numerical Sciences, Kohat University of Science & Technology, Kohat, Pakistan*

² *Government Degree College Mardan, 23200 Mardan, Pakistan*

³ *University of Rzeszów, College Natural Sciences, ul. Prof. Pigonia 1, 35-310 Rzeszów, Poland*

⁴ *Algebra and Applications Research unit, Division of Computational Science, Faculty of Sciences, Prince of Songkla University, Hat Yai, Songkhla 90110 Thailand*

⁵ *Program in Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Technology, Songkha Rajabhat University, Songkhla, 90000, Thailand*

Abstract. The Hankel determinant for a function having power series was first defined by Pommerenke. The growth of Hankel determinant has been evaluated for different subcollections of univalent functions. Many subclasses with bounded turning have several interesting geometric properties. In this paper, some classes of functions with bounded turning which connect to the sine functions, are studied in the region of the unit disc in order. Our purpose is to obtain some upper bounds for the third and fourth Hankel determinants related to such classes.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classifications: 30C45, 30C50

Key Words and Phrases: Holomorphic functions, Subordinations, Trigonometric function, Hankel determinant

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Let \mathcal{A} be the class of all functions $f(z)$ which are holomorphic in the region $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ with the normalization $f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0$. Therefore, for $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$, one has

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}). \quad (1)$$

*Corresponding author.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.29020/nybg.ejpam.v14i1.3902>

Email addresses: ghaffarkhan020@gmail.com (M. G. Khan), pirbakhtiarbacha@gmail.com (B. Ahmad), jsokol@ur.edu.pl (J. Sokół), zubair.math1984@gmail.com (Z. Muhammad), walikhan@kust.edu.pk (W. K. Mashwani), ronnason.c@psu.ac.th (R. Chinram), pattarawan.pe@gmail.com (P. Petchkaew)

Let $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{A}$ represent all functions that are univalent in \mathbb{D} . For a function $f \in \mathcal{S}$ of the form (1), Bieberbach conjectured in 1916 that $|a_n| \leq n, n = 2, 3, \dots$. De Branges proved this in 1985, see [7]. During this period, a lot of coefficients results were established for some subfamilies of \mathcal{S} . For example, the class \mathcal{S}^* of starlike functions, \mathcal{K} of convex functions and \mathcal{R} of bounded turning functions:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}^* &= \left\{ f \in \mathcal{S} : \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec \frac{1+z}{1-z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \right\}, \\ \mathcal{K} &= \left\{ f \in \mathcal{S} : \frac{(zf'(z))'}{f'(z)} \prec \frac{1+z}{1-z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \right\}, \\ \mathcal{R} &= \left\{ f \in \mathcal{S} : f'(z) \prec \frac{1+z}{1-z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \right\}, \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where " \prec " represents the subordination.

We write $g_1 \prec g_2$, if there is an analytic function v in \mathbb{D} , with limitations $v(0) = 0$ and $|v(z)| < 1$, such that $g_1(z) = g_2(v(z)), z \in \mathbb{D}$. In case of univalence of g_2 in \mathbb{D} , the following relation holds;

$$g_1(z) \prec g_2(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \iff g_1(0) = g_2(0) \quad \text{and} \quad g_1(\mathbb{D}) \subset g_2(\mathbb{D}).$$

By varying the function right hand side of subordinations in (2), we can define some subclasses of the set \mathcal{S} which have several interesting geometric properties, see [9–12, 15–17, 23, 28, 29, 35]. From among these subfamilies we recall here the families that are associated with trigonometric function as follows;

$$\mathcal{K}_{\sin} = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \prec 1 + \sin(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \right\}, \tag{3}$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{\sin}^* = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec 1 + \sin(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \right\}, \tag{4}$$

$$\mathcal{R}_{\sin} = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : f'(z) \prec 1 + \sin(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \right\}. \tag{5}$$

The set defined in (4) was established by Cho et.al [10] and studied the radii problems. Here we investigated only the class (5).

For given parameters $q, n \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$, the Hankel determinant $H_{q,n}(f)$ was defined by Pommerenke [32, 33] for a function $f \in \mathcal{S}$ having power series expansion (1) as follows:

$$H_{q,n}(f) = \begin{vmatrix} a_n & a_{n+1} & \dots & a_{n+q-1} \\ a_{n+1} & a_{n+2} & \dots & a_{n+q} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ a_{n+q-1} & a_{n+q} & \dots & a_{n+2q-2} \end{vmatrix}. \tag{6}$$

The growth of $H_{q,n}(f)$ has been evaluated for different subcollections of univalent functions. Exceptionally, for each of the sets $\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{S}^*$ and \mathcal{R} the sharp bound of the determinant $H_{2,2}(f) = |a_2a_4 - a_3^2|$ were found by Janteng et al. [13, 14] while for the family of close-to-convex functions the sharp estimation is still unknown (see, [38]). On the other hand,

for the set of Bazilevič functions, the best estimate of $|H_{2,2}(f)|$ was proved by Krishna and RamReddy [21]. For more work on $H_{2,2}(f)$, see [5, 26, 27, 30, 31].

The determinant

$$H_{3,1}(f) = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & a_2 & a_3 \\ a_2 & a_3 & a_4 \\ a_3 & a_4 & a_5 \end{vmatrix} \tag{7}$$

is known as third order Hankel determinant and the estimation of this determinant $|H_{3,1}(f)|$ is a challenging task. In 2010, the first article on $H_{3,1}(f)$ by Babalola [4], in which he obtained the upper bound of $|H_{3,1}(f)|$ for the groups of \mathcal{S}^* , \mathcal{K} and \mathcal{R} . Later on, a few creators distributed their work regarding $|H_{3,1}(f)|$ for various subcollections of holomorphic and univalent functions, see [1, 2, 6, 8, 19, 22, 37, 39]. In 2017, the consequences of Babalola [4] improved by Zaprawa [40], by proving

$$|H_{3,1}(f)| \leq \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } f \in \mathcal{S}^*, \\ \frac{49}{540}, & \text{for } f \in \mathcal{K}, \\ \frac{41}{60}, & \text{for } f \in \mathcal{R}. \end{cases}$$

and asserted that these inequalities are as yet not sharp. Additionally for the sharpness, he thought about the subfamilies of \mathcal{S}^* , \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{R} comprising of functions with m -fold symmetry and acquired the sharp bounds. Recently in 2018, Kowalczyk et.al [20] and Lecko et.al [25] evaluated the sharp inequalities

$$|H_{3,1}(f)| \leq 4/135, \quad \text{and} \quad |H_{3,1}(f)| \leq 1/9,$$

for the recognizable sets \mathcal{K} and $\mathcal{S}^*(1/2)$ respectively, where the symbol $\mathcal{S}^*(1/2)$ indicates the family of starlike functions of order $1/2$. Additionally in 2018, the authors [24] got an improved bound $|H_{3,1}(f)| \leq 8/9$ for $f \in \mathcal{S}^*$, yet not best possible. Now in this paper, our main purpose is to study third and fourth order Hankel determinants family defined in (5).

2. A Set of Lemmas

Let \mathcal{P} be the family of functions p that are holomorphic in \mathbb{D} with $\Re p(z) > 0$ and the power series form as follow;

$$p(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n z^n \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}). \tag{8}$$

Lemma 1. *If $p \in \mathcal{P}$ be expressed in series expansion (8), then*

$$|c_n| \leq 2 \quad \text{for } n \geq 1, \tag{9}$$

$$\left| c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2} \right| \leq 2 - \frac{|c_1|^2}{2}, \tag{10}$$

$$|c_{i+j} - \mu c_i c_j| \leq 2, \quad \text{for } 0 \leq \mu \leq 1. \tag{11}$$

and for complex number ρ , we have

$$|c_2 - \rho c_1^2| \leq 2 \max \{1, |2\rho - 1|\}. \tag{12}$$

where the inequalities (9), (10), (11) are taken from [34] and (12) is obtained in [18].

Lemma 2. *If $p(z) \in \mathcal{P}$ be expressed in series expansion (8), then*

$$2c_2 = c_1^2 + x(4 - c_1^2)$$

for some x , $|x| \leq 1$ and

$$4c_3 = c_1^3 + 2(4 - c_1^2)c_1x - (4 - c_1^2)c_1x^2 + 2(4 - c_1^2)(1 - |x|^2)z$$

for some z , $|z| \leq 1$.

Lemma 3. ([3]) *Let $p \in \mathcal{P}$ has power series (8), then*

$$|Jc_1^3 - Kc_1c_2 + Lc_3| \leq 2|J| + 2|K - 2J| + 2|J - K + L| \tag{13}$$

Corollary 1. ([34]) *Let $p \in \mathcal{P}$ has power series (8), then*

$$|c_1^3 - 2c_1c_2 + c_3| \leq 2,$$

Lemma 4. ([36]) *Let m, n, l and a satisfy the inequalities $0 < m < 1, 0 < r < 1$, and*

$$8r(1-r) \left[(mn - 2l)^2 + (m(r+m) - n)^2 \right] + m(1-m)(n - 2rm)^2 \leq 4m^2(1-m)^2r(1-r).$$

If $p(z) \in \mathcal{P}$ and has power series (8) then

$$\left| lc_1^4 + rc_2^2 + 2mc_1c_3 - \frac{3}{2}nc_1^2c_2 - c_4 \right| \leq 2.$$

3. Improved bound of $|H_{3,1}(f)|$ for the Set \mathcal{R}_{\sin}

Theorem 1. *If $f(z)$ of the form (1) belongs to \mathcal{R}_{\sin} , then*

$$|a_k| \leq \frac{1}{k}, \quad k = 2, 3, 4, 5. \tag{14}$$

The results are sharp.

Proof. Since $f(z) \in \mathcal{R}_{\sin}$, from subordination definition there exists a Schwarz function $v(z)$ with $v(0) = 0$ and $|v(z)| < 1$, in such a way that

$$f'(z) = 1 + \sin(v(z)), \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}).$$

Since,

$$f'(z) = 1 + 2a_2z + 3a_3z^2 + 4a_4z^3 + 5a_5z^4 + \dots \tag{15}$$

Define a function

$$h(z) = \frac{1 + v(z)}{1 - v(z)} = 1 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + \dots \tag{16}$$

Clearly, we have $h(z) \in \mathcal{P}$ and

$$v(z) = \frac{h(z) - 1}{h(z) + 1} = \frac{c_1z + c_2z^2 + c_3z^3 + \dots}{2 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + c_3z^3 + \dots}$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + \sin(v(z)) &= 1 + \frac{1}{2}c_1z + \left(\frac{c_2}{2} - \frac{c_1^2}{4}\right)z^2 + \left(\frac{5c_1^3}{48} - \frac{c_1c_2}{2} + \frac{c_3}{2}\right)z^3 \\ &+ \left(-\frac{1}{32}c_1^4 + \frac{5}{16}c_1^2c_2 - \frac{1}{2}c_3c_1 - \frac{1}{4}c_2^2 + \frac{1}{2}c_4\right)z^4 + \dots \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

By comparing (15) and (17), we may get

$$a_2 = \frac{c_1}{4}, \tag{18}$$

$$a_3 = \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{c_2}{2} - \frac{c_1^2}{4} \right), \tag{19}$$

$$a_4 = \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{5}{48}c_1^3 + \frac{c_3}{2} - \frac{c_1c_2}{2} \right), \tag{20}$$

$$a_5 = \frac{1}{5} \left(\frac{c_4}{2} + \frac{5}{16}c_1^2c_2 - \frac{c_1^4}{32} - \frac{c_1c_3}{2} - \frac{c_2^2}{4} \right). \tag{21}$$

Now implementing (9), in (18), we obtain

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Now using (10), in (19), we get

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{1}{6} \left(2 - \frac{|c_1|^2}{2} \right)$$

The maximum value of above function at $c_1 = 0$.

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{1}{3}.$$

Implementation of triangle inequality and Lemma 3, in (20), leads us to

$$|a_4| \leq \frac{1}{4}.$$

By applying Lemma 4 in (21), it provides

$$|a_5| \leq \frac{1}{5}.$$

If for $k = 2, 3, 4, 5$, we take the functions $f_k(z) = z + \dots$ such that

$$f'_k(z) = 1 + \sin(z^{k-1}), \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}),$$

then $f'_k(z) \prec 1 + \sin z$ and so $f_k \in \mathcal{R}_{\sin}$ and

$$f_k(z) = z + \frac{1}{k}z^k - \frac{1}{3!(3k-2)}z^{3k-2} + \dots, \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}) \tag{22}$$

which shows that the bounds are sharp.

Conjecture If $f(z)$ of the form (1) belongs to \mathcal{R}_{\sin} , then

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{1}{n}, \quad n \geq 6. \tag{23}$$

Theorem 2. If $f(z)$ of the form (1) belongs to \mathcal{R}_{\sin} , then for any complex number ρ

$$|a_3 - \rho a_2^2| \leq \frac{1}{3} \max \left\{ 1, \frac{3|\rho|}{4} \right\}. \tag{24}$$

The result is sharp.

Proof. Utilizing (18) and (19), we may get

$$|a_3 - \rho a_2^2| = \left| \frac{c_2}{6} - \frac{c_1^2}{12} - \frac{\rho}{16}c_1^2 \right|.$$

This gives

$$|a_3 - \rho a_2^2| = \frac{1}{6} \left| \left\{ c_2 - \left(\frac{4+3\rho}{8} \right) c_1^2 \right\} \right|.$$

Application of (12), leads us to

$$|a_3 - \rho a_2^2| \leq \frac{1}{3} \max \left\{ 1, \frac{3|\rho|}{4} \right\}.$$

For the sharpness of (24) consider (22), with $k = 2$:

$$f_2(z) = z + \frac{1}{2}z^2 - \frac{1}{4!}z^4 + \dots, \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}),$$

which gives equality in (24) when $|\rho| \geq 4/3$, namely

$$|a_3 - \rho a_2^2| = |\rho a_2^2| = \frac{|\rho|}{4}.$$

For the case $|\rho| \leq 4/3$ consider

$$f_3(z) = z + \frac{1}{3}z^3 - \frac{1}{42}z^7 + \dots, \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}),$$

which gives

$$|a_3 - \rho a_2^2| = |a_3| = \frac{1}{3} = \frac{1}{3} \max \left\{ 1, \frac{3|\rho|}{4} \right\}.$$

Corollary 2. *If $f \in \mathcal{R}_{\sin}$ and $|\rho| \leq 4/3$, then*

$$|a_3 - \rho a_2^2| \leq \frac{1}{3}. \tag{25}$$

Theorem 3. *If f of the form (1) belongs to \mathcal{R}_{\sin} , then*

$$|a_2 a_3 - a_4| \leq \frac{1}{4}. \tag{26}$$

The result is sharp.

Proof. From (18),(19) and (20), we have

$$|a_2 a_3 - a_4| = \left| -\frac{3}{64}c_1^3 + \frac{1}{6}c_2c_1 - \frac{1}{8}c_3 \right| = \left| \frac{3}{64}c_1^3 - \frac{c_1c_2}{6} + \frac{c_3}{8} \right|.$$

Implementation of triangle inequality and Lemma 3, in (20), leads us to

$$|a_2 a_3 - a_4| \leq \frac{1}{4}.$$

The sharpness of (26) shows $f_4(z) = z + z^4/4 - z^{10}/60 + \dots$ which was defined in (22).

Theorem 4. *If $f(z)$ of the form (1) belongs to \mathcal{R}_{\sin} , then*

$$|a_2 a_4 - a_3^2| \leq \frac{1}{9}. \tag{27}$$

The result is sharp.

Proof. Now since from (18), (19), and (20), we have

$$|a_2 a_4 - a_3^2| = \left| \frac{c_1c_3}{32} - \frac{c_1^2c_2}{288} - \frac{c_1^4}{2304} - \frac{c_2^2}{36} \right|.$$

Now in terms of Lemma 2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |a_2 a_4 - a_3^2| &= \left| \frac{c_1c_3}{32} - \frac{c_1^2c_2}{288} - \frac{c_1^4}{2304} - \frac{c_2^2}{36} \right| \\ &= \left| -\frac{c_1^4}{768} - \frac{c_1^2x^2(4-c_1^2)}{128} - \frac{x^2(4-c_1^2)^2}{144} + \frac{c_1(4-c_1^2)(1-|x|^2)z}{64} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Let $|z| = 1$, $|x| = t$, $t \in [0, 1]$, $|c_1| = c \in [0, 2]$. Then, using the triangle inequality, we get

$$|a_2 a_4 - a_3^2| \leq \frac{c^4}{768} + \frac{t^2c^2(4-c^2)}{128} + \frac{t^2(4-c^2)^2}{144} + \frac{(1-t^2)c(4-c^2)}{64}.$$

Putting

$$H(c, t) = \frac{c^4}{768} + \frac{t^2 c^2 (4 - c^2)}{128} + \frac{t^2 (4 - c^2)^2}{144} + \frac{(1 - t^2) c (4 - c^2)}{64},$$

then,

$$\frac{\partial H(c, t)}{\partial t} = \frac{t(c^2 - 18c + 32)(4 - c^2)}{576} > 0,$$

which shows that $H(c, t)$ increases on $[0, 1]$ with respect t . That is $H(c, t)$ have maximum value at $t = 1$, which is

$$\max H(c, t) = H(c, 1) = \frac{c^4}{768} + \frac{c^2(4 - c^2)}{128} + \frac{(4 - c^2)^2}{144}.$$

Setting

$$G(c) = \frac{c^4}{768} + \frac{c^2(4 - c^2)}{128} + \frac{(4 - c^2)^2}{144},$$

then we have

$$G'(c) = \frac{c^3}{192} + \frac{c(4 - c^2)}{64} - \frac{c^3}{64} - \frac{c(4 - c^2)}{36}.$$

If $G'(c) = 0$, then the root is $c = 0$. Further, since $G''(c) = -\frac{7}{144} < 0$, so the function $G(c)$ can attain the maximum value at $c = 0$, which is

$$|a_2 a_4 - a_3^2| \leq \frac{1}{9}.$$

The sharpness of (27) shows $f_3(z) = z + z^3/3 - z^7/42 + \dots$ which was defined in (22).

Theorem 5. *If $f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + a_3 z^3 + \dots$ belongs to \mathcal{R}_{sin} , then*

$$|H_{3,1}(f)| \leq \frac{359}{2160} = 0.16620\dots \tag{28}$$

Proof. Third order Hankel determinant form equation (7) one may written as;

$$H_{3,1}(f) = a_3(a_2 a_4 - a_3^2) - a_4(a_4 - a_2 a_3) + a_5(a_3 - a_2^2).$$

where $a_1 = 1$. This provides that

$$|H_{3,1}(f)| \leq |a_3| |a_2 a_4 - a_3^2| + |a_4| |a_4 - a_2 a_3| + |a_5| |a_3 - a_2^2|.$$

By implementing (14), (25), (26) and (27), we obtain our desired result.

4. Bound of $|H_{4,1}(f)|$ for the Set \mathcal{R}_{\sin}

First we can write $H_{4,1}(f)$ in the form

$$\begin{aligned} H_{4,1}(f) &= a_7 H_{3,1}(f) - 2a_4 a_6 (a_2 a_4 - a_3^2) - 2a_5 a_6 (a_2 a_3 - a_4) - a_6^2 (a_3 - a_2^2) \\ &\quad + a_5^2 (a_2 a_4 - a_3^2) + a_5^2 (a_2 a_4 + 2a_3^2) - a_5^3 + a_4^4 - 3a_3 a_4^2 a_5. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Also

$$|a_2 a_4 + 2a_3^2| \leq |a_2 a_4 - a_3^2| + 3|a_3|^2,$$

using (14) and (27), we get

$$\begin{aligned} |a_2 a_4 + 2a_3^2| &\leq \frac{1}{9} + \frac{1}{3} \\ &= \frac{4}{9}. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Theorem 6. *If $f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + a_3 z^3 + \dots$ belongs to \mathcal{R}_{\sin} , then*

$$|H_{4,1}(f)| \leq 0.10556.$$

Proof. Using triangle inequality in (29), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |H_{4,1}(f)| &\leq |a_7| |H_{3,1}(f)| + 2|a_4| |a_6| |a_2 a_4 - a_3^2| + 2|a_5| |a_6| |a_2 a_3 - a_4| + |a_6|^2 |a_3 - a_2^2| \\ &\quad + |a_5|^2 |a_2 a_4 - a_3^2| + |a_5|^2 |a_2 a_4 + 2a_3^2| + |a_5|^3 + |a_4|^4 + 3|a_3| |a_4|^2 |a_5|. \end{aligned}$$

By using (14), (23), (25), (26), (27), (28) and (30), we get the required result.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to express our appreciation to the anonymous referees for the comprehensive reading of this paper and their valuable comments and suggestions.

References

- [1] S Altinkaya and S Yalçın. Third hankel determinant for bazilevič functions. *Advances in Mathematics*, 5:91–96, 2016.
- [2] M Arif, K M Noor, and M Raza. Hankel determinant problem of a subclass of analytic functions. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, Art. 22:7 pages, 2012.
- [3] M Arif, M Raza, H Tang, S Hussain, and H Khan. Hankel determinant of order three for familiar subsets of analytic functions related with sine function. *Open Mathematics*, 17:1615–1630, 2019.
- [4] K O Babalola. On $h_3(1)$ hankel determinant for some classes of univalent functions. *Inequality Theory and Applications*, 6:1–7, 2010.

- [5] D Bansal. Upper bound of second hankel determinant for a new class of analytic functions. *Applied Mathematics Letters*, 23:103–107, 2013.
- [6] D Bansal, S Maharana, and J K Prajapat. Third order hankel determinant for certain univalent functions. *Journal of the Korean Mathematical Society*, 52:1139–1148, 2015.
- [7] L De Branges. A proof of the bieberbach conjecture. *Acta Mathematica*, 154:137–152, 1985.
- [8] N E Cho, B Kowalczyk, O S Kwon, A Lecko, and J Sim. Some coefficient inequalities related to the hankel determinant for strongly starlike functions of order alpha. *Journal of Mathematical Inequalities*, 11:429–439, 2017.
- [9] N E Cho, S Kumar, V Kumar, V Ravichandran, and H M Srivastava. Starlike functions related to the bell numbers. *Symmetry*, 11:219, 2019.
- [10] N E Cho, V Kumar, S S Kumar, and V Ravichandran. Radius problems for starlike functions associated with the sine function. *Bulletin of the Iranian Mathematical Society*, 45:213–232, 2019.
- [11] J Dziok, R K Raina, and J Sokół. On a class of starlike functions related to a shell-like curve connected with fibonacci numbers. *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, 57:1203–1211, 2013.
- [12] J̄ Sokół and J Stankiewicz. Radius of convexity of some subclasses of strongly starlike functions. *Folia Scient. Univ. Tech. Resoviensis*, 19:101–105, 1996.
- [13] A Jangteng, S A Halim, and M Darus. Coefficient inequality for a function whose derivative has a positive real part. *Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 7:1–5, 2006.
- [14] A Jangteng, S A Halim, and M. Darus. Coefficient inequality for starlike and convex functions. *International Journal of Inequalities in Mathematical Analysis*, 1:619–625, 2007.
- [15] W Janowski. Extremal problems for a family of functions with positive real part and for some related families. *Annales Polonici Mathematici*, 23:159–177, 1970.
- [16] R Kargar, A Ebadian, and J Sokół. Radius problems for some subclasses of analytic functions. *Complex Analysis and Operator Theory*, 11:1639–1649, 2017.
- [17] R Kargar, A Ebadian, and J Sokół. On booth lemniscate and starlike functions. *Analysis and Mathematical Physics*, 9:143–154, 2019.
- [18] F Keogh and E Merkes. A coefficient inequality for certain subclasses of analytic functions. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 20:8–12, 1969.

- [19] M G Khan, B Ahmad, G Murugusundaramoorthy, R Chinram, and W K Mashwani. Applications of modified sigmoid functions to a class of starlike functions. *Journal of Function Spaces*, 2020:Article ID 8844814, 2020.
- [20] B Kowalczyk, A Lecko, and Y J Sim. The sharp bound of the hankel determinant of the third kind for convex functions. *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society*, 97:435–445, 2018.
- [21] D V Krishna and T RamReddy. Second hankel determinant for the class of bazilevic functions. *Studia Universitatis Babe-Bolyai Mathematica*, 60:413–420, 2015.
- [22] D V Krishna, B Venkateswarlu, and T RamReddy. Third hankel determinant for bounded turning functions of order alpha. *Journal of the Nigerian Mathematical Society*, 34:121–127, 2015.
- [23] S Kumar and V Ravichandran. A subclass of starlike functions associated with a rational function. *Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics*, 40:199–212, 2016.
- [24] O S Kwon, A Lecko, and Y J Sim. The bound of the hankel determinant of the third kind for starlike functions. *Bulletin of the Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society*, 42:1–14, 2018.
- [25] A Lecko, Y J Sim, and B Śmiarowska. The sharp bound of the hankel determinant of the third kind for starlike functions of order $1/2$. *Complex Analysis and Operator Theory*, 13:2231–2238, 2019.
- [26] S K Lee, V Ravichandran, and S Supramaniam. Bounds for the second hankel determinant of certain univalent functions. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, Art. 281, 2013.
- [27] M S Liu, J F Xu, and M Yang. Upper bound of second hankel determinant for certain subclasses of analytic functions. *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, Art. 603180, 2014.
- [28] S Mahmood, H M Srivastava, and S N. Malik. Some subclasses of uniformly univalent functions with respect to symmetric points. *Complex Analysis and Operator Theory*, 11:287, 2019.
- [29] R Mendiratta, S Nagpal, and V Ravichandran. On a subclass of strongly starlike functions associated with exponential function. *Bulletin of the Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society*, 38:365–386, 2015.
- [30] J W Noonan and D K Thomas. On the second hankel determinant of areally mean p -valent functions. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 223:337–346, 1976.
- [31] H Orhan, N Magesh, and J Yamini. Bounds for the second hankel determinant of certain bi-univalent functions. *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*, 40:679–687, 2016.

- [32] Ch Pommerenke. On the coefficients and hankel determinants of univalent functions. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, 41:111–122, 1966.
- [33] Ch Pommerenke. On the hankel determinants of univalent functions. *Mathematika*, 14:108–112, 1967.
- [34] Ch Pommerenke. Univalent functions. *Math, Lehrbucher, vandenhoeck and Ruprecht, Göttingen,*, 1975.
- [35] R K Raina and J Sokół. Some properties related to a certain class of starlike functions. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci.*, 353:973–978, 2015.
- [36] V Ravichandran and S Verma. Bound for the fifth coefficient of certain starlike functions. *Comptes Rendus Mathematique*, 353:505–510, 2015.
- [37] M Raza and S N Malik. Upper bound of third hankel determinant for a class of analytic functions related with lemniscate of bernoulli. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, Art. 412, 2013.
- [38] D Răducanu and P Zaprawa. Second hankel determinant for close-to-convex functions. *Comptes Rendus Mathematique*, 355:1063–1071, 2017.
- [39] G Shanmugam, B A Stephen, and K O Babalola. Third hankel determinant for α -starlike functions. *Gulf Journal of Mathematics*, 2:107–113, 2014.
- [40] P Zaprawa. Third hankel determinants for subclasses of univalent functions. *Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics*, 14:19, 2017.