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#### Abstract

In this paper, we prove that all the eigenvalues of arbitrarily complex matrix are located in one closed disk, which is a refinement of some existing inequalities.
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## 1. Introduction

We denote by $M_{n}$ the vector space of all complex $n \times n$ matrices. The notation $A \geq 0$ is used to mean that $A$ is positive semidefinite. For $A \in M_{n}$, the conjugate transpose of $A$ is denoted by $A^{*}$. Denote by $\lambda_{j}(A)(1 \leq j \leq n)$ the class of all eigenvalues of $A \in M_{n}$ and $\|A\|_{F}=\sqrt{\operatorname{tr}\left(A A^{*}\right)},[A, B]=A B-B A$. The singular values of $A$ are enumerated as $s_{1}(A) \geq s_{2}(A) \geq \cdots \geq s_{n}(A)$. These are the eigenvalues of the positive semidefinite matrix $|A|=\left(A^{*} A\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

The estimation and location of eigenvalues are always hot topics of matrix analysis [1], [2]. It plays an important role in many fields of applied science. Let $M \in M_{n}$ be an $n \times n$ complex matrix partitioned as

$$
M=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A_{k} & B_{k, n-k} \\
C_{n-k, k} & D_{n-k}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $1 \leq k \leq n-1$. The following estimation

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{2} \leq\|M\|_{F}^{2}-\max _{1 \leq k \leq n-1}\left(\left\|B_{k, n-k}\right\|_{F}-\left\|C_{n-k, k}\right\|_{F}\right)^{2}
$$

is an elegant result on eigenvalues due to $\mathrm{Tu}[3]$.
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In [4], Gu proposed a new idea which uses only one single closed disk to locate eigenvalues of a given $n \times n$ complex matrix. He proved that all the eigenvalues of any complex matrix $A$ are located in the following disk:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\lambda_{j}-\frac{\operatorname{tr} A}{n}\right| \leq\left(\frac{n-1}{n}\left(\|A\|_{F}^{2}-\frac{|\operatorname{tr} A|^{2}}{n}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $j=1,2, \cdots, n$.
Zou et al. [5] showed that all eigenvalues of $M$ are located in the following disk:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{z \in C:\left|z-\frac{\operatorname{tr} M}{n}\right| \leq \sqrt{\|M\|_{F}^{2}-\frac{|\operatorname{tr} M|^{2}}{n}-\max _{1 \leq k \leq n-1}\left(\left\|B_{k, n-k}\right\|_{F}-\left\|C_{n-k, k}\right\|_{F}\right)^{2}}\right\} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $M(x)=\left[\begin{array}{cc}A_{k} & x B_{k, n-k} \\ x^{-1} C_{n-k, k} & D_{n-k}\end{array}\right]$, where $A_{k}$ is a $k \times k$ principal submatrix of $M$ $(1 \leq k \leq n-1)$ and $x$ is any non-zero real number.

For convenience, we write, respectively.

$$
\triangle_{M}(k, x)=\|M\|_{F}^{2}-\left[\left(1-x^{2}\right)\left\|B_{k, n-k}\right\|_{F}^{2}+\left(1-x^{-2}\right)\left\|C_{n-k, k}\right\|_{F}^{2}\right]-\frac{|\operatorname{tr} M|^{2}}{n}
$$

and

$$
f_{M}(k, x)=\left(\left(\triangle_{M}(k, x)\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left\|\left[M(x), M(x)^{*}\right]\right\|_{F}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}+\frac{|\operatorname{tr} M|^{2}}{n} .
$$

In [6], Wu et al. proved that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\lambda_{j}(M)-\frac{\operatorname{tr} M}{n}\right| \leq \min _{x \neq 01 \leq k \leq n-1} \min _{\frac{n-1}{n}}\left(f_{M}(k, x)-\frac{|\operatorname{tr} M|^{2}}{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a refinement of inequality (2).
It is natural to ask whether stronger inequality of (2) might be proved. This is a part of the motivation for our study.

## 2. Main result

We let the symbol $S_{l}$ denote the set $\{1, \cdots n\} \backslash\{l\}$ for $l=1,2, \cdots, n$. In this section, a sharper estimation of the eigenvalues is presented. In order to obtain our result, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 1. [7] Let $A \in M_{n}$ with $n \geq 3$, then

$$
\left|\lambda_{l}(A)-\frac{\operatorname{tr} A}{n}\right|^{2} \leq \frac{n-1}{n}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|\lambda_{j}(A)\right|^{2}-\frac{|\operatorname{tr} A|^{2}}{n}-\frac{1}{2} s^{2}(A)\right)
$$

for $l=1,2, \cdots, n$ and $s(A)=\min _{1 \leq l \leq n j, k \in S_{l}} \max _{j}\left|\lambda_{j}(A)-\lambda_{k}(A)\right|$.

Lemma 2. [7] Let $A \in M_{n}$, then

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|\lambda_{j}(A)\right|^{2} \leq \sqrt{\left(\|A\|_{F}^{2}-\frac{|\operatorname{tr} A|^{2}}{n}\right)^{2}-\frac{\left\|\left[A, A^{*}\right]\right\|_{F}^{2}}{2}}+\frac{|\operatorname{tr} A|^{2}}{n}
$$

Next we give a new proof of Lemma 2.2 in [6], which plays a key role in their discussion.
Lemma 3. Let $M=\left[\begin{array}{cc}A_{k} & B_{k, n-k} \\ C_{n-k, k} & D_{n-k}\end{array}\right]$ with eigenvalues $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \cdots, \lambda_{n}$, then

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|\lambda_{j}\right|^{2} \leq \min _{x \neq 0} \min _{1 \leq k \leq n-1} f_{M}(k, x)
$$

is valid for any non-zero number $x$.
Proof. Let $X=\left[\begin{array}{cc}x I_{k} & 0 \\ 0 & I_{n-k}\end{array}\right]$, then $M(x)=X M X^{-1}$, where $I_{k}$ is a $k \times k$ unit matrix. Obviously, $M(x)$ is similar to $M$. By Lemma [2], we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|\lambda_{j}(M)\right|^{2} & =\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|\lambda_{j}(M(x))\right|^{2}  \tag{4}\\
& \leq \sqrt{\left(\|M(x)\|_{F}^{2}-\frac{|\operatorname{tr} M(x)|^{2}}{n}\right)^{2}-\frac{\left\|\left[M(x), M(x)^{*}\right]\right\|_{F}^{2}}{2}}+\frac{|\operatorname{tr} M(x)|^{2}}{n} \\
& =\sqrt{\left(\|M(x)\|_{F}^{2}-\frac{|\operatorname{tr} M|^{2}}{n}\right)^{2}-\frac{\left\|\left[M(x), M(x)^{*}\right]\right\|_{F}^{2}}{2}}+\frac{|\operatorname{tr} M|^{2}}{n}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|M(x)\|_{F}=\left(\|M\|_{F}^{2}-\left[\left(1-x^{2}\right)\left\|B_{k, n-k}\right\|_{F}^{2}+\left(1-x^{-2}\right)\left\|C_{n-k, k}\right\|_{F}^{2}\right]\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combing inequality (4) and equality (5), we conclude Lemma 3.
We now focus on the location of the eigenvalues of complex matrices.
Theorem 1. Let $M=\left[\begin{array}{cc}A_{k} & B_{k, n-k} \\ C_{n-k, k} & D_{n-k}\end{array}\right]$ with eigenvalues $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \cdots, \lambda_{n}(n \geq 3)$, then all of eigenvalues of $M$ are included by the following disk:

$$
\left|\lambda_{l}(M)-\frac{\operatorname{tr} M}{n}\right| \leq \min _{x \neq 0} \min _{1 \leq k \leq n-1} \sqrt{\frac{n-1}{n}}\left(f_{M}(k, x)-\frac{|\operatorname{tr} M|^{2}}{n}-\frac{1}{2} s^{2}(M)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

for $l=1,2, \cdots, n$ and $s(M)=\min _{1 \leq l \leq n j, k \in S_{l}} \max _{l}\left|\lambda_{j}(M)-\lambda_{k}(M)\right|$.

Proof. Combining Lemmas 2.1 and 2.3, we deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\lambda_{l}(M)-\frac{t r M}{n}\right|^{2} & \leq \frac{n-1}{n}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|\lambda_{j}(M)\right|^{2}-\frac{|t r M|^{2}}{n}-\frac{1}{2} s^{2}(M)\right) \\
& \leq \frac{n-1}{n}\left(\min _{x \neq 0} \min _{1 \leq k \leq n-1} f_{M}(k, x)-\frac{|t r M|^{2}}{n}-\frac{1}{2} s^{2}(M)\right) \\
& \leq \min _{x \neq 0} \min _{1 \leq k \leq n-1} \frac{n-1}{n}\left(f_{M}(k, x)-\frac{|t r M|^{2}}{n}-\frac{1}{2} s^{2}(M)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\left|\lambda_{l}(M)-\frac{\operatorname{tr} M}{n}\right| \leq \min _{x \neq 0} \min _{1 \leq k \leq n-1} \sqrt{\frac{n-1}{n}}\left(f_{M}(k, x)-\frac{|\operatorname{tr} M|^{2}}{n}-\frac{1}{2} s^{2}(M)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

for $s(M)=\min _{1 \leq l \leq n j, k \in S_{l}} \max _{j}\left|\lambda_{j}(M)-\lambda_{k}(M)\right|$.
This completed the proof.
For complex matrix with order $n(n>2)$, then the computation of Theorem 2.4 requires approximately $\frac{n^{3}}{2}$ additional calculations compared to the computation of inequality $(3)$. This indicates that its computational complexity is greater than the computational complexity in (3). But, in theory, Theorem 2.4 is a refinement of (3).
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