



On Micro Pre Operators in Micro Topological Spaces

P. Sathishmohan¹, S. Stanley Roshan^{1,*}, K. Rajalakshmi², S. Brindha³,
G. Poongothai¹

¹ Department of Mathematics, Kongunadu Arts and Science College(Autonomous),
Coimbatore-29, Tamil Nadu, India

² Department of Science and Humanities, Sri Krishna College of Engineering and
Technology, Coimbatore-08, Tamil Nadu, India

³ Department of Mathematics, Government Arts and Science College, Mettupalayam-04,
Tamil Nadu, India

Abstract. The basic objective of this research work is to introduce and investigate the properties of micro pre-frontier, micro pre-exterior, micro pre-border, micro pre-kernel using the concept of frontier, exterior, border and kernel.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classifications: 54A05, 54A10

Key Words and Phrases: Micro pre-frontier, micro pre-exterior, micro pre-border, micro pre-kernel

1. Introduction

Levine's introduction of generalized closed sets in 1970 [3], providing a foundational framework for subsequent developments. Lellis Thivagar [1], further expanded this framework with the introduction of nano topology, utilizing approximations and boundary regions of a subset of a universe using an equivalence relation on it to define nano closed sets, nano-interior and nano-closure. The exploration of weak forms of nano open sets, such as nano α -open sets, nano semi-open sets, nano pre-open sets, and nano- β -open sets, was undertaken by many authors adding layers of complexity to the existing theories.

In 2013, Antony Rex Rodgio et.al.,[8] defined the properties of β^* open sets like frontier, exterior and border. In 2018, Sathishmohan et.al., [6] introduced some properties of nano pre-neighbourhoods in nano topology. In 2019, Chandrasekar [4], introduced the concept of micro topology which is a simple extension of nano topology, with a focus on micro pre-open and micro semi-open sets. Chandrasekar and Swathi [5], introduced micro α -open

*Corresponding author.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.29020/nybg.ejpam.v17i4.5434>

Email addresses: sathishmohan@kongunaducollege.ac.in (P. Sathishmohan),

stanleyroshan20@gmail.com (S. Stanley Roshan),

rajalakshmikandhasamy@gmail.com (K. Rajalakshmi),

brindha.sagashra@gmail.com (S. Brindha), gpkpoongothai@gmail.com (G. Poongothai)

sets and studied the basic properties. Recently in 2020, Hariwan Z.Ibrahim [9], introduced micro β -open sets in micro topological spaces. The aim of this paper is to introduce and investigate the properties of micro pre-frontier, micro pre-exterior, micro pre-border and micro pre-kernel using the notion of frontier, exterior, border and kernel to obtain their basic results. The basic definitions used in this paper is given below.

Definition 1. [1] Let U be the universe, R be an equivalence relation on U and $\tau_R(X) = \{U, \emptyset, L_R(X), U_R(X), B_R(X)\}$ where $X \subseteq U$. $\tau_R(X)$ satisfies the following axioms:

- (i) $U \in \tau_R(X)$ and $\emptyset \in \tau_R(X)$.
- (ii) The union of elements of any sub collection of $\tau_R(X)$ is in $\tau_R(X)$.
- (iii) The intersection of the elements of any finite sub collection of $\tau_R(X)$ is in $\tau_R(X)$.

That is, $\tau_R(X)$ forms a topology on U is called the nano topology on U with respect to X . $\{U, \tau_R(X)\}$ is called the nano topological space.

Definition 2. [4] Let $\{U, \tau_R(X)\}$ is a nano topological space here $\mu_R(X) = \{N \cup (N' \cap \mu) : N, N' \in \tau_R(X)\}$ and called it micro topology of $\tau_R(X)$ by μ where $\mu \notin \tau_R(X)$.

Definition 3. [4] The micro topology $\mu_R(X)$ satisfies the following axioms.

- (i) $U \in \mu_R(X)$ and $\emptyset \in \mu_R(X)$
- (ii) The union of elements of any sub collection of $\mu_R(X)$ is in $\mu_R(X)$.
- (iii) The intersection of the elements of any finite sub collection of $\mu_R(X)$ is in $\mu_R(X)$.

Then $\mu_R(X)$ is called micro topology on U with respect to X . The triplet $(U, \tau_R(X), \mu_R(X))$ is called micro topological spaces and the elements of $\mu_R(X)$ are called micro open sets and the complement of a micro open set is called a micro closed set.

Definition 4. [4] The micro closure of a set A is denoted by $Mic-cl(A)$ and is defined as $Mic-cl(A) = \cap\{B: B \text{ is micro closed and } A \subseteq B\}$. The micro interior of a set A is denoted by $Mic-int(A)$ and is defined as $Mic-int(A) = \cup\{B: B \text{ is micro open and } A \supseteq B\}$.

Definition 5. [7] The union of all micro pre-open sets which are contained in A is called the micro pre-interior of A and is denoted by $Mic-Pint(A)$ or by $Mic-PA_*$.

As the union of micro pre-open sets is micro pre-open, $Mic-PA_*$ is micro pre-open always. micro pre-open is denoted by $Mic-PO(U)$ and micro pre-closed is denoted by $Mic-PF(U)$.

Definition 6. [7] The intersection of micro pre-closed sets containing a set A is called the micro pre-closure of A and is denoted by $Mic-Pcl(A)$ or by $Mic-PA^*$.

Definition 7. [4] Let $(U, \tau_R(x), \mu_R(X))$ be a micro topological space and $A \subseteq U$. Then A is called micro pre-open if $A \subseteq Mic-int(Mic-cl(A))$.

Definition 8. [2] A point $x \in X$ is said to be limit point of A if every neighborhood of x intersects A in some point other than x itself.

Definition 9. [2] The set of all limit points of A is called the derived set of A and it denoted by $D(A)$.

Definition 10. [7] A point $x \in U$ is said to be a micro pre-limit point of A iff for each $U \in \text{Mic-PO}(U)$, $U \cap (A - \{x\}) \neq \emptyset$.

Definition 11. [7] The set of all micro pre-limit points of A is said to be the micro pre-derived set of A and in denoted by $\text{Mic-PD}(A)$.

2. micro pre-frontier

In this section, we define and study the notions of micro pre-frontier and obtain its basic properties.

Definition 12. micro pre-frontier of $A \subset U$ is defined as $\text{Mic-PA}^* - \text{Mic-PA}_*$ and is denoted by $\text{Mic-Pfr}(A)$. It is obvious that $\text{Mic-Pfr}(A) \subseteq \text{Mic-fr}(A)$, the micro frontier of A . But in general the converse may not be true. micro pre-interior(A) is denoted as Mic-PA_* and micro pre-closure is denoted as Mic-PA^* .

Example 1. Let $U = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $U \setminus R = \{\{a, c\}, \{b, d\}\}$, $X = \{a, c\}$, $\tau_R(X) = \{U, \emptyset, \{a, c\}\}$, $\mu = \{b\}$ and $\mu_R(X) = \{U, \emptyset, \{b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$. If $A = \{b, c\}$ then, $\text{Mic-cl}(A) = \{U\}$ and $\text{Mic-int}(A) = \{b\}$, $\text{Mic-Pcl}(A) = \{b, c, d\}$ and $\text{Mic-Pint}(A) = \{b, c\}$, where $\text{Mic-fr}(A) = \{a, c, d\}$ and $\text{Mic-Pfr}(A) = \{d\}$. This shows that $\text{Mic-fr}(A) \not\subseteq \text{Mic-Pfr}(A)$.

Lemma 1. For a subset A of a space U ,

- (i) $\text{Mic-PA}^* = \text{Mic-PA}_* \cup \text{Mic-Pfr}(A)$.
- (ii) $\text{Mic-PA}_* \cap \text{Mic-Pfr}(A) = \emptyset$ and
- (iii) $\text{Mic-Pfr}(A) = \text{Mic-PA}^* \cap \text{Mic-P}(U - A)^*$.

Proof: By definition of $\text{Mic-Pfr}(A)$, we have

- (i) $\text{Mic-PA}_* \cup \text{Mic-Pfr}(A) = \text{Mic-PA}_* \cup (\text{Mic-PA}^* - \text{Mic-PA}_*) = \text{Mic-PA}^*$.
- (ii) $\text{Mic-PA}_* \cap \text{Mic-Pfr}(A) = \text{Mic-PA}_* \cap (\text{Mic-PA}^* - \text{Mic-PA}_*) = \emptyset$.
- (iii) $\text{Mic-Pfr}(A) = \text{Mic-PA}^* - \text{Mic-PA}_* = \text{Mic-PA}^* \cap (U - \text{Mic-PA}_*) = \text{Mic-PA}^* \cap \text{Mic-P}(U - A)^*$ by lemma 3.8(1) [7].

Lemma 2. $\text{Mic-Pfr}(A)$ is micro pre-closed.

Proof: By Lemma 1, $\text{Mic-Pfr}(A) = \text{Mic-PA}^* \cap \text{Mic-P}(U - A)^*$, which is micro pre-closed by corollary 3.9 [7].

Definition 13. A subset $A \subset U$ is called micro pre-regular if it is both micro pre-open and micro pre-closed set. The family of all micro pre-regular sets of U is denoted by $Mic-PR(U)$. micro pre-closed is denoted by $Mic-PF(U)$.

Theorem 1. $Mic-Pfr(A) = \emptyset$ iff $A \in Mic-PR(U)$.

Proof: Let $A \in Mic-PR(U)$. Then $A \in Mic-PO(U)$ and $A \in Mic-PF(U)$. Now, using results of Lemma 3.7 [7] and Theorem 3.16 [7] it follows that $Mic-Pfr(A) = \emptyset$. Conversely, let $Mic-Pfr(A) = \emptyset$. Then we show that $A \in Mic-PR(U)$. Since by hypothesis, $Mic-PA^* - Mic-PA_* = \emptyset$. We have $Mic-PA^* = Mic-PA_*$. But, $Mic-PA_* \subset A \subset Mic-PA^*$. Therefore, it follows that $A = Mic-PA_* = Mic-PA^*$ which means $A \in Mic-PR(U)$.

Theorem 2. Let A be subset of U . Then, the following holds.

- (i) $Mic-Pfr(A) = Mic-Pfr(U - A)$.
- (ii) $A \in Mic-PO(U)$ iff $Mic-Pfr(A) \subseteq U - A$. i.e., $A \cap Mic-Pfr(A) = \emptyset$.
- (iii) $A \in Mic-PF(U)$ iff $Mic-Pfr(A) \subseteq A$.

Proof:

- (i) We have, $Mic-Pfr(U - A) = (U - Mic-PA)^* \cap (U - (U - Mic-PA))^* = (U - Mic-PA)^* \cap Mic-PA^* = Mic-Pfr(A)$ by Lemma 1(3).
- (ii) Assume $A \in Mic-PO(U)$. By definition, we have $Mic-Pfr(A) = Mic-PA^* - Mic-PA_* = Mic-PA^* - A$. Since $A \in Mic-PO(U)$. Then, $A \cap Mic-Pfr(A) = A \cap (Mic-PA^* - A) = Mic-PA^* \cap (U - A) \cap A = \emptyset$. Conversely, if $A \cap Mic-Pfr(A) = \emptyset$. Then, $A \cap Mic-PA^* \cap (U - Mic-PA_*) = \emptyset$ implies $A \cap (U - Mic-PA_*) = \emptyset$ as $A \subset U - (U - Mic-PA_*) = Mic-PA_*$, but on the other hand $Mic-PA_* \subset A$. It follows that $A = Mic-PA_*$, which implies $A \in Mic-PO(U)$.
- (iii) Assume $A \in Mic-PF(U)$. Then, we have $U - A \in Mic-PO(U)$. Then by (2), $Mic-Pfr(U - A) \cap (U - A) = \emptyset$. But, by (1), $Mic-Pfr(U - A) = Mic-Pfr(A)$. Hence $Mic-Pfr(A) \cap (U - A) = \emptyset$. This shows that $Mic-Pfr(A) \subset A$. Conversely, if $Mic-Pfr(A) \subset A$, then $Mic-PA^* - Mic-PA_* \subset A$, which implies $Mic-PA_* \cup (Mic-PA^* - Mic-PA_*) \subset A \cup Mic-PA_* = A$, which implies $Mic-PA^* \subset A$ by Lemma 1(1). But $A \subset Mic-PA^*$. It follows that $A = Mic-PA^*$. Hence $A \in Mic-PF(U)$.

Remark 1. Let A and B be subsets of space U . Then $A \subset B$ does not imply that either $Mic-Pfr(A) \subset Mic-Pfr(B)$ or $Mic-Pfr(B) \subset Mic-Pfr(A)$. This can be verified by the following.

Example 2. Let $U = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $U/R = \{\{a, b\}, \{c, d\}\}$, $X = \{b, c\}$, $\tau_R(X) = \{U, \emptyset, \{b, c\}\}$, $\mu = b$ and $\mu_R(x) = \{U, \emptyset, \{b\}, \{b, c\}\}$. $Mic-PO(U) = \{U, \emptyset, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{b, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{b, c, d\}, \{a, b, d\}\}$. Then,

Case(1): Take $A = \{a\}$ and $B = \{a, c\}$. Then $A \subset B$. Also $Mic-PA^* = \{a\}$, $Mic-PA_* = \{\emptyset\}$ and $Mic-Pfr(A) = \{a\}$. $Mic-PB^* = \{a, c\}$, $Mic-PB_* = \{\emptyset\}$ and $Mic-Pfr(B) = \{a, c\}$. This shows that $Mic-Pfr(A) \subset Mic-Pfr(B)$.

Case(2): Take $A = \{a\}$ and $B = \{a, c, d\}$. Also $Mic-PA^* = \{a\}$, $Mic-PA_* = \{\emptyset\}$ and $Mic-Pfr(A) = \{a\}$. Let $Mic-PB^* = \{c, d, a\}$, $Mic-PB_* = \{\emptyset\}$ and $Mic-Pfr(B) = \{a, c, d\}$. This shows that $Mic-Pfr(A) \subset Mic-Pfr(B)$, where $Mic-Pfr(B) \not\subset Mic-Pfr(A)$.

Theorem 3. If $A \in Mic-PO(U) \cup Mic-PF(U)$, then $Mic-Pfr(A) = Mic-Pfr(Mic-Pfr(A))$.

Proof: It follows by Lemma 1(3), Lemma 2 and Theorem 2 (2, 3).

Corollary 1. For every $A \subset U$, $Mic-Pfr(Mic-Pfr(Mic-Pfr(A))) = Mic-Pfr(Mic-Pfr(A))$.

Proof: It is obvious.

Lemma 3. A subset A of U is micro pre-closed iff $A = Mic-Pcl(A)$.

Theorem 4. For a subset A of space U , the following statements hold

- (i) A is $Mic-PO$ iff $Mic-Pfr(A) = Mic-PD(A)$.
- (ii) $Mic-Pfr(Mic-Pfr(A)) \subseteq Mic-Pfr(A)$.
- (iii) $Mic-Pfr(Mic-Pcl(A)) \subseteq Mic-Pfr(A)$.
- (iv) $Mic-Pint(A) = A - Mic-Pfr(A)$

Proof:

- (i) Let A be micro pre-open then $Mic-Pint(A) = A$. Since $Mic-Pfr(A) = Mic-Pcl(A) - Mic-Pint(A) = Mic-Pcl(A) - A$. By Lemma 4.9 [7] we have $Mic-Pcl(A) = A \cup Mic-PD(A)$. Therefore $Mic-Pfr(A) = [A \cup Mic-PD(A)] - A = Mic-PD(A)$. Conversely, Let $Mic-Pfr(A) = Mic-PD(A)$. i.e., $Mic-Pcl(A) - Mic-Pint(A) = [A \cup Mic-PD(A)] - Mic-Pint(A) = Mic-PD(A) \Rightarrow A - Mic-Pint(A) = \emptyset$ implies $A \subset Mic-Pint(A) \rightarrow (1)$ and $Mic-Pint(A) \subset A \rightarrow (2)$. Therefore from (1) and (2) we have $Mic-Pint(A) = A$ is micro pre-open.
- (ii) Now $Mic-Pfr(Mic-Pfr(A)) \subseteq Mic-Pcl(Mic-Pfr(A)) \cap Mic-Pcl(U - Mic-Pfr(A)) \Rightarrow Mic-Pfr(Mic-Pfr(A)) \subseteq Mic-Pcl(Mic-Pfr(A)) \subseteq Mic-Pfr(A)$.
- (iii) $Mic-Pfr(Mic-Pcl(A)) \subseteq Mic-Pcl(Mic-Pcl(A)) - Mic-Pint(Mic-Pcl(A)) \subseteq Mic-Pcl(A) - Mic-Pint(A) \subseteq Mic-Pfr(A)$
- (iv) It is obvious from the definition of micro pre-interior and micro pre-frontier.

3. micro pre-exterior

In this section, we define and study the notions of micro pre-exterior and obtain its basic properties.

Definition 14. A point $x \in U$ is called micro pre-exterior point of a subset A of U if x is micro pre-interior point of $(U - A)$ and set of all micro pre-exterior points of A is called micro pre-exterior of A and denoted by $Mic-Pext(A)$. Therefore $Mic-Pext(A) = Mic-Pint(U - A)$.

Theorem 5. For a subset A of a space U the following statements hold

- (i) $Mic-ext(A) \subseteq Mic-Pext(A)$.
- (ii) $Mic-Pext(A) \subset Mic-PO(U)$.
- (iii) $Mic-Pext(A) = U - Mic-Pcl(A)$.
- (iv) $Mic-Pext(Mic-Pext(A)) = Mic-Pint(Mic-Pcl(A))$.
- (v) If $A \subset B$ then $Mic-Pext(B) \subseteq Mic-Pext(A)$.
- (vi) $Mic-Pext(A \cup B) \subseteq Mic-Pext(A) \cup Mic-Pext(B)$.
- (vii) $Mic-Pext(A) \cap Mic-Pext(B) \subseteq Mic-Pext(A \cap B)$.
- (viii) $Mic-Pext(U) = \emptyset$ and $Mic-Pext(\emptyset) = U$.
- (ix) $Mic-Pext(A) = Mic-pext[U - Mic-Pext(A)]$.
- (x) $Mic-Pint(A) \subseteq Mic-Pext[Mic-Pext(A)]$.
- (xi) $Mic-Pint(A)$, $Mic-Pext(A)$ and $Mic-Pfr(A)$ are mutually disjoint and $U = Mic-Pint(A) \cup Mic-Pext(A) \cup Mic-Pfr(A)$.
- (xii) $A \cap Mic-Pext(A) = \emptyset$.

Proof:

- (i) Let $x \in Mic-ext(A) \Rightarrow x \in Mic-int(U - A)$. There exists $G \in \mu_R(x)$ such that $x \in G \subseteq (U - A)$. Also $G \in Mic-PO(U, X)$. Therefore $x \in G \subseteq (U - A)$ for $Mic-PO$ set $G \Rightarrow (U - A)$ is $Mic-Pint$ of x , $x \in Mic-Pint(U - A)$ i.e., $x \in Mic-Pext(A)$. Hence $Mic-ext(A) \subseteq Mic-Pext(A)$.
- (ii) Now $Mic-Pint[Mic-Pext(A)] = Mic-Pint[Mic-Pint(U - A)] = Mic-Pint(U - A) = Mic-Pext(A) \Rightarrow$ is contained in $Mic-PO(U)$.
- (iii) $Mic-Pext(A) = Mic-Pint(U - A) = U - Mic-Pcl(A)$.
- (iv) $Mic-Pext[Mic-Pext(A)] = Mic-Pext[U - Mic-cl(A)]$ by (3), $Mic-Pext[U - Mic-cl(A)] = Mic-Pint[U - [U - Mic-Pcl(A)]] = Mic-Pint[Mic-Pcl(A)]$.
- (v) If $A \subset B$ then $(U - B) \subset (U - A) \Rightarrow Mic-Pint(U - B) \subseteq Mic-Pint(U - A)$ i.e., $Mic-Pext(B) \subseteq Mic-Pext(A)$.
- (vi) Since $A \subset (A \cup B)$ and $B \subset (A \cup B) \Rightarrow Mic-Pext(A \cup B) \subseteq Mic-Pext(A) \cup Mic-Pext(A \cup B) \subseteq Mic-Pext(B)$. Therefore $Mic-Pext(A \cup B) \subseteq Mic-Pext(A) \cup Mic-Pext(B)$.

- (vii) We have $(A \cap B) \subset A$, $(A \cap B) \subset B$.
 $\Rightarrow \text{Mic-Pext}(A) \subseteq \text{Mic-Pext}(A \cap B)$ and $\text{Mic-Pext}(B) \subseteq \text{Mic-Pext}(A \cap B) \Rightarrow \text{Mic-Pext}(A) \cap \text{Mic-Pext}(B) \subseteq \text{Mic-Pext}(A \cap B)$.
- (viii) $\text{Mic-Pext}(U) = \text{Mic-Pint}(U - U) = \text{Mic-Pint}(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ and $\text{Mic-Pext}(\emptyset) = \text{Mic-Pint}(U - \emptyset) = \text{Mic-Pint}(U) = U$.
- (ix) $\text{Mic-Pext}[U - \text{Mic-Pext}(A)] = \text{Mic-Pint}[U - (U - \text{Mic-Pint}(A))] = \text{Mic-Pint}(\text{Mic-Pext}(A)) = \text{Mic-Pint}[\text{Mic-Pint}(U - A)] = \text{Mic-Pint}(U - A) = \text{Mic-Pext}(A)$.
- (x) By the definition $\text{Mic-Pext}(A) \subset (U - A)$ then from (5) $\text{Mic-Pext}(U - A) \subset \text{Mic-Pext}[\text{Mic-Pext}(A)]$ i.e., $\text{Mic-Pint}(A) \subset \text{Mic-Pext}[\text{Mic-Pext}(A)]$.
- (xi) Let us assume that $\text{Mic-Pext}(A) \cap \text{Mic-Pint}(A) \neq \emptyset$ therefore there exists $x \in \text{Mic-Pext}(A) \cap \text{Mic-Pint}(A) \Rightarrow x \in \text{Mic-Pext}(A)$ and $x \in \text{Mic-Pint}(A) \Rightarrow x \in (U - A)$ and $x \in A$ which is not possible. Therefore our assumption is wrong. Hence $\text{Mic-Pext}(A) \cap \text{Mic-Pint}(A) = \emptyset$ similarly other two results. We have $\text{Mic-Pext}(A) = U - \text{Mic-cl}(A) = U - [\text{Mic-Pint}(A) \cup \text{Mic-Pfr}(A)]$ that implies $U = \text{Mic-Pint}(A) \cup \text{Mic-Pext}(A) \cup \text{Mic-Pfr}(A)$.
- (xii) Obvious.
 In general, the converse of (6) and (7) are not true i.e., $\text{Mic-Pext}(A) \cup \text{Mic-Pext}(B) \not\subseteq \text{Mic-Pext}(A \cup B)$ and $\text{Mic-Pext}(A \cap B) \not\subseteq \text{Mic-Pext}(A) \cap \text{Mic-Pext}(B)$.

Example 3. Let $U = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $U \setminus R = \{\{a, b\}, \{c, d\}\}$, $X = \{b, c\}$, $\tau_R(X) = \{U, \emptyset, \{b, c\}\}$, $\mu = \{b, d\}$ and $\mu_R(X) = \{U, \emptyset, \{b\}, \{b, c\}, \{b, d\}, \{b, c, d\}\}$.
 $\text{Mic-PO}(U) = \{U, \emptyset, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{b, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{b, c, d\}, \{a, b, d\}\}$. Let $A = \{c, d, a\}$ and $B = \{c, d\}$. Then $\text{Mic-Pext}(A) = \{b\}$ and $\text{Mic-Pext}(B) = \{a, b\}$. $\text{Mic-Pext}(A \cup B) = \{b\}$.

$\Rightarrow \text{Mic-Pext}(A) \cup \text{Mic-Pext}(B) \not\subseteq \text{Mic-Pext}(A \cup B)$ and $\text{Mic-Pext}(A \cap B) = \{a, b\}$ which implies $\text{Mic-Pext}(A \cap B) \not\subseteq \text{Mic-Pext}(A) \cap \text{Mic-Pext}(B)$.

Theorem 6. $\text{Mic-Pfr}(A) \cap \text{Mic-Pext}(A) = \emptyset$.

Proof: Let $x \in \text{Mic-Pfr}(A)$ i.e., $x \in (\text{Mic-Pcl}(A) - \text{Mic-Pint}(A))$.

If $x \in \text{Mic-Pcl}(A)$ then $x \notin \text{Mic-Pint}(A)$. We know that $\text{Mic-Pcl}(A) \cap \text{Mic-Pint}(U - A) = \emptyset$. Therefore $x \notin \text{Mic-Pint}(U - A)$ implies $x \notin \text{Mic-Pext}(A)$. Hence $\text{Mic-Pfr}(A) \cap \text{Mic-Pext}(A) = \emptyset$.

4. micro pre-border

In this section, we define and study the notions of micro pre-border and obtain its basic properties.

Definition 15. Let A be a subset of a space U . Then the micro pre-border of A is defined as $\text{Mic-Pbr}(A) = A - \text{Mic-Pint}(A)$.

Theorem 7. For a subset of U , the following statements holds.

- (i) $Mic-Pbr(A) \subseteq Mic-br(A)$ where $br(A)$ denote the border of A .
- (ii) $A = Mic-Pint(A) \cup Mic-Pbr(A)$.
- (iii) $Mic-Pint(A) \cap Mic-Pbr(A) = \emptyset$.
- (iv) If A is $Mic-PO$ then $Mic-Pbr(A) = \emptyset$.
- (v) $Mic-Pint(Mic-Pbr(A)) = \emptyset$.
- (vi) $Mic-Pbr(Mic-Pbr(A)) = Mic-Pbr(A)$.
- (vii) $Mic-Pbr(A) = A \cap Mic-Pcl(U - A)$.

Proof:

- (i) Obvious from the definitions of micro pre-border and micro border of A .
- (ii) Obvious from the definitions of micro pre-border of A .
- (iii) Obvious from the definitions of micro pre-border of A .
- (iv) If A is $Mic-PO$, then $A = Mic-Pint(A)$. Hence the result follows.
- (v) If $x \in Mic-Pint(Mic-Pbr(A))$, then $x \in Mic-Pbr(A)$. Now, $Mic-Pbr(A) \subset A$ implies $Mic-Pint(Mic-Pbr(A)) \subset Mic-Pint(A)$. Hence $x \in Mic-Pint(A)$ which is a contradiction to $x \in Mic-Pbr(A)$. Thus $Mic-Pint(Mic-Pbr(A)) = \emptyset$.
- (vi) $Mic-Pbr(Mic-Pbr(A)) = Mic-Pbr(A - Mic-Pint(A)) = (A - Mic-Pint(A)) - Mic-Pint(A - Mic-Pint(A))$ which is $Mic-Pbr(A) - \emptyset$, by (4). Hence, $Mic-Pbr(Mic-Pbr(A)) = Mic-Pbr(A)$.
- (vii) $Mic-Pbr(A) = A - Mic-Pint(A) = A - (U - (Mic-Pcl(U - A))) = A \cap Mic-Pcl(U - A)$.

Theorem 8. For a subset of U , the following condition hold.

- (i) $Mic-Pbr(A) \subseteq Mic-Pfr(A)$.
- (ii) $Mic-Pext(A) \cap Mic-Pbr(A) = \emptyset$.

Proof:

- (i) Let, $x \in Mic-Pbr(A)$ i.e., $x \in A - Mic-Pint(A)$.
By Theorem 3.16 [7] $A = Mic-pint(A)$ if A is $Mic-PO$. If A is not $Mic-PO$ then $Mic-Pint(A) \subset A$. Therefore in general $Mic-Pint(A) \subseteq A$. So $x \in Mic-Pint(A)$. It is obvious that if $x \in Mic-Pint(A)$ then $x \notin Mic-Pcl(A)$. Therefore $x \in (Mic-Pcl(A) - Mic-Pint(A))$ implies $x \in Mic-Pfr(A)$. Hence $Mic-Pbr(A) \subseteq Mic-Pfr(A)$.
- (ii) Let $x \in Mic-Pext(A)$ i.e., $x \in Mic-Pint(U - A)$ where $x \in Mic-Pint(A)$. By Theorem 3.16 [7] $A = Mic-pint(A)$ if A is $Mic-PO$. If A is not $Mic-PO$ then $Mic-Pint(A) \subset A$. Therefore in general $Mic-Pint(A) \subseteq A$. Therefore $x \notin A - Mic-Pint(A)$ implies $x \notin Mic-Pbr(A)$. Hence $Mic-Pext(A) \cap Mic-Pbr(A) = \emptyset$.

5. micro pre-kernel

In this section, we define and study the notions of micro pre-kernel and obtain its basic properties.

Definition 16. For any $A \subset U$, $Mic-Pker(A)$ is defined as the intersection of all micro pre-open sets containing A . In notation, $Mic-Pker(A) = \bigcap \{M/A \subset M, M \in Mic-PO\}$.

Lemma 4. For subsets A, B and $A_i (i \in I, \text{ where } I \text{ is an index set})$ of a micro topological space $(U, \mu_R(x))$, the following holds.

- (i) $A \subseteq Mic-Pker(A)$.
- (ii) If $A \subset B$, then $Mic-Pker(A) \subset Mic-Pker(B)$.
- (iii) $Mic-Pker(Mic-Pker(A)) = Mic-Pker(A)$.
- (iv) $Mic-Pker(\bigcup A_i / i \in I) \subseteq \bigcup \{Mic-Pker(A_i) / i \in I\}$.
- (v) $Mic-Pker(\bigcap A_i / i \in I) \subseteq \bigcap \{Mic-Pker(A_i) / i \in I\}$.

Proof:

- (i) It follows by the definition of $Mic-Pker(A)$.
- (ii) Suppose $x \notin Mic-Pker(B)$, then there exists a subset $S \in Mic-PO$ such that $B \subset S$ with $x \notin S$. Since $A \subset B$, $x \notin Mic-Pker(A)$. Thus $Mic-Pker(A) \subset Mic-Pker(B)$.
- (iii) Follows from (1) and definition of $Mic-Pker(A)$.
- (iv) For each $i \in I$, $Mic-Pker(A_i) \subseteq Mic-Pker(\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i)$. Therefore we have $\bigcup_{i \in I} \{Mic-Pker(A_i)\} \subseteq Mic-Pker(\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i)$.
- (v) Suppose that $x \notin \bigcap \{Mic-Pker(A_i / i \in I)\}$ then there exists an $i_0 \in I$, such that $x \notin Mic-Pker(A_{i_0})$ and there exists a micro pre-open set S such that $x \notin S$ and $A_{i_0} \subset S$. We have $\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i \subseteq A_{i_0} \subseteq S$ and $x \notin S$. Therefore $x \notin Mic-Pker(\bigcap A_i / i \in I)$. Hence $Mic-Pker(\bigcap A_i / i \in I) \subseteq \bigcap Mic-Pker(A_i) / i \in I$.

Theorem 9. Let A and B be subsets of U , then the following conditions hold.

- (i) $Mic-Pker(A) \subseteq Mic-ker(A)$.
- (ii) $Mic-Pker(A) \cap Mic-Pker(B) \subset Mic-Pker(A \cup B)$.
- (iii) $Mic-Pker(A \cap B) \subset Mic-Pker(A) \cup Mic-Pker(B)$.
- (iv) $Mic-Pcl(A) \cap Mic-Pker(A) = A$.
- (v) $Mic-Pker(A) \cap Mic-Pfr(A) = Mic-Pbr(A)$.

proof:

- (i) Let $x \in \text{Mic-Pker}(A)$.
 $\Rightarrow x \in \bigcap \{M/A \subset M, M \in \text{Mic-PO}\}$
 $\Rightarrow x \in \bigcap \{M/A \subset M, M \in \text{micro-Open}(\mu_R(x))\}$
 Since every micro open is micro pre-open,
 $x \in \text{Mic-ker}(A)$.
- (ii) Let $x \in \{\text{Mic-Pker}(A) \cap \text{Mic-Pker}(B)\}$
 $\Rightarrow x \in \text{Mic-Pker}(A)$ and $x \in \text{Mic-Pker}(B)$
 Therefore, $x \in \text{Mic-Pker}(A \cup B)$.
- (iii) Let $x \in \text{Mic-Pker}(A \cap B)$
 $\Rightarrow x \in \text{Mic-Pker}(A)$ and $x \in \text{Mic-Pker}(B)$
 Therefore, $x \in \text{Mic-Pker}(A) \cup \text{Mic-Pker}(B)$.
- (iv) Let $x \in \text{Mic-Pcl}(A) \cap \text{Mic-Pker}(A)$
 By Lemma 3.7(1) [7] $A \subseteq \text{Mic-Pcl}(A)$ and by (1) $A \subseteq \text{Mic-Pker}(A)$.
 $\Rightarrow x \in A \subseteq \text{Mic-Pcl}(A)$ and $x \in A \subseteq \text{Mic-Pker}(A)$.
 Therefore, $x \in A$.
- (v) Let $x \in \text{Mic-Pker}(A) \cap \text{Mic-Pfr}(A)$. To prove, $x \in \text{Mic-Pbr}(A)$ i.e, $x \in A - \text{Mic-Pint}(A)$. Since $\text{Mic-Pker}(A) = \bigcap \{M/A \subset M, M \in \text{Mic-PO}\}$ and $\text{Mic-Pfr}(A) = \text{Mic-Pcl}(A) - \text{Mic-Pint}(A)$.
 $\Rightarrow x \in \bigcap \{M/A \subset M, M \in \text{Mic-PO}\} \cap \text{Mic-Pcl}(A) - \text{Mic-Pint}(A)$. By Lemma 3.7(1) [7] and Lemma 4(1), we have
 $\Rightarrow x \in A \cap (A - \text{Mic-Pint}(A))$
 $\Rightarrow x \in A$ and $x \in A - \text{Mic-Pint}(A)$
 $\Rightarrow x \in A$ and $x \in \text{Mic-Pbr}(A)$
 Therefore, $x \in \text{Mic-Pbr}(A)$.

6. Conclusion

In this paper, we introduced the notions of micro pre-frontier, micro pre-exterior, micro pre-border and micro pre-kernel by employing the concept of frontier, exterior, border and kernel elucidating various associated properties. Our intent is to further elaborate on these findings in forthcoming research endeavors, with a particular focus on exploring practical applications.

References

- [1] Lellis Thivagar. M and Carmel Richard. On nano forms of weakly open sets. *International Journal of Mathematics and Statistics Invention*, 1(1):31–37, 2013.
- [2] James R. Munkres. *Topology*. Pearson Education, India, 2018.
- [3] Levine. N. Generalized closed sets in topology. *Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo*, 19(2):89–96, 1970.

- [4] Chandrasekar. S. On Micro Topological Spaces. *Journal of New Theory*, 26:23–31, 2019.
- [5] Chandrasekar. S and Swathi. S. Micro α -open sets in micro topological spaces. *International Journal of Research in Advent Technology*, 6(10):2633–2637, 2018.
- [6] Dhanasekaran P. K. Sathishmohan. P, Rajendran. V and Vignesh Kumar. C. More on nano preneighbourhoods in nano topological spaces. *Journal of Applied Science and Computations.*, 10(5):899–907, 2018.
- [7] Stanley Roshan. S Sathishmohan. P and Rajalakshmi. K. On micro pre neighborhoods in micro topological space. *Indian Journal of Science and Technology*, 17(22):2346–2351, 2024.
- [8] Antony Rex Rodgio. Jessie Theodore and Hanaselvi. Notions via β^* -open sets in topological spaces. *IOSR Journal of Mathematics*, 6(3):25–29, 2013.
- [9] Ibrahim H. Z. Micro β -open sets in micro topology. *General Letters in Mathematics*, 8(1):8–15, 2020.