EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF PURE AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS

2025, Vol. 18, Issue 1, Article Number 5535 ISSN 1307-5543 – ejpam.com Published by New York Business Global



# A Certain Class of Filters in Generalized Complemented Distributive Lattices

Ramesh Sirisetti<sup>1</sup>, Jogarao Gunda<sup>2</sup>, Ravikumar Bandaru<sup>3</sup>, Rahul Shukla<sup>4,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, GITAM School of Science, GITAM

(Deemed to be University), Visakhapatnam, Andhra Pradesh-530045, India

<sup>2</sup> Department of BS & H, Aditya Institute of Technology and Management, Tekkali, Srikakulam, Andhra Pradesh-530021, India

<sup>3</sup> Department of Mathematics, School of Advanced Sciences, VIT-AP University, Andhra Pradesh-522237, India

<sup>4</sup> Department of Mathematical Sciences and Computing, Walter Sisulu University, Mthatha 5117, South Africa

**Abstract.** In this paper, we introduce  $K^g$ -filters jointly derived from the class of ideals and the class of generalized complementations in a generalized complemented distributive lattice. We obtain some algebraic properties on the obtained class, and we provide some counter-examples. Mainly, we derive some Boolean algebras (distributive lattices) through the class of  $K^g$ -filters in a generalized complemented distributive lattice. Finally, we introduce normal  $K^g$ -filters in a generalized complemented distributive lattice and then prove that the class of normal  $K^g$ -filters is a Boolean algebra.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classifications: 06D05, 06D15

**Key Words and Phrases**: Ideals, Filters, Distributive Lattices, Generalized Complemented Distributive Lattices, Boolean Algebras

# 1. Introduction

The concept of distributive lattices [2, 3] has been extensively studied by several authors by taking a unary operation, like complementation [7, 12], pseudo-complementation [14, 15], quasi-complementation [5, 13] etc., and also by considering the class of ideals (filters). Some of authors recursively studied the class of distributive lattices by taking a binary operations like generalized implementation [11], by taking median graphs [10], by considering canonical extensions [9], by using subordinations [1, 6]. The class of ideals (filters) has a major role in the theory of lattices. It is known that there is a one-to-one

1

https://www.ejpam.com

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.29020/nybg.ejpam.v18i1.5535

*Email addresses:* ramesh.sirisetti@gmail.com (R. Sirisetti), jogarao.gunda@gmail.com (J. Gunda), ravimaths83@gmail.com (R. Bandaru), rshukla@wsu.ac.za (R. Shukla)

Copyright: (c) 2025 The Author(s). (CC BY-NC 4.0)

on a distributive lattice using dense elements.

correspondence between the class of prime ideals and the class of prime filters in a distributive lattice. It is easy to observe that we can obtain a class of ideals (filter) from a complementation on a distributive lattice. The authors [8] introduced and studied a generalized complementation (g-complementation) on a distributive lattice with dense elements; it is a generalization of complementation and dual of pseudo-complementation in distributive lattices. In this regard, we derive filters from a generalized complementation

In this paper, we introduce a class of filters ( $K^{g}$ -filters), which are abstract combined from the class of ideals and the class of generalized complementations on a distributive lattice A through dense elements and prove several algebraic properties on them. Also, we obtain some necessary and sufficient conditions for a filter to become  $K^{g}$ -filter in A. We obtain some algebraic results on the class of  $K^{g}$ -filters in a generalized complemented distributive lattice. Mainly, we abstract some structures which are distributive lattices (Boolean algebras) [4] from the class of  $K^{g}$ -filters. Finally we discuss normal  $K^{g}$ -filters in generalized complemented distributive lattices and prove that the class of normal  $K^{g}$ -filters forms a Boolean algebra.

### 2. Some results on generalized complemented distributive lattices

In this section, we introduce a filter corresponding to an ideal in a generalized distributive lattice and obtain some properties. Also, we discuss prime filters corresponding to an ideal in a generalized complemented distributive lattice.

**Definition 2.1.** [8] A unary operation g on a distributive lattice A is said to be a gcomplementation (generalized complementation) if for any  $v, w \in A$ ,  $v \vee v^g \in D$ , and  $v \vee w \in D$  if and only if  $v^g \leq w$ . In this case,  $v^g$  is said to be a g-complement of v, and Ais called a g-complemented distributive lattice.

Let us consider A means that it is a distributive lattice with dense elements and g is a generalized complementation on A.

**Proposition 2.1.** [8] For any g-complementation g on A,

(i)  $0^g \in D$ (ii)  $d \in D \Rightarrow d^g = 0$ (iii)  $v \le w \Rightarrow w^g \le v^g$ (iv)  $v^{gg} \le v$ (v)  $v^{ggg} = v^g$ (vi)  $0^{gg} = 0$ (vii)  $v \in D \iff v^g = 0 \iff v^{gg} \in D$ 

(viii)  $v \le 0^g$ , for all  $v, w \in A$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** [8] For any g-complementation g on A and for any  $v, w \in A$ , the following are equivalent;

- (i)  $v \lor w \in D$
- (ii)  $v^{gg} \lor w \in D$
- (iii)  $v^{gg} \lor w^{gg} \in D$
- (iv)  $v \lor w^{gg} \in D$ .

**Proposition 2.3.** [8] For any  $v, w \in A$ ,

- (i)  $(v \wedge w)^g = v^g \vee w^g$
- (ii)  $(v \lor w)^g \le v^g \land w^g$
- (iii)  $(v \lor w)^{gg} = v^{gg} \lor w^{gg} = (v^g \land w^g)^g$
- (iv)  $(v \wedge w)^{gg} = (v^g \vee w^g)^g = (v^{gg} \wedge w^{gg})^{gg}$ .

Given any ideal K of A, consider a set  $G(K) = \{u \in A \mid u^g \in K\}$  containing D (because  $d^g = 0 \in K$ , for all  $d \in D$ ).

**Lemma 2.2.** For any ideal K of A, G(K) is a filter.

*Proof.* Let  $v, w \in G(K)$ . Then  $v^g, w^g \in K$ . By Proposition 2.3 (i),  $(v \wedge w)^g = v^g \vee w^g \in K$  (since K is ideal). Therefore  $v \wedge w \in G(K)$ . Given  $u \in A$ , we have  $v, u \leq v \vee u$ , which implies  $(v \vee u)^g \leq v^g, u^g$  (By Proposition 2.1(iii)) and then  $(v \vee u)^g \leq v^g \wedge u^g \in K$  (since  $v^g \in K, u^g \in A$ , and K is an ideal). Therefore  $v \vee u \in G(K)$ . Thus G(K) is filter.

**Remark 2.3.** D = G(0) = G((0)) and G(A) = A.

**Lemma 2.4.** For any ideals K, H of A, we have;

- (i)  $K \subseteq H$  implies  $G(K) \subseteq G(H)$ .
- (ii)  $G(K) \cap G(H) = G(K \cap H)$ .
- (iii)  $G(K) \lor G(H) \subseteq G(K \lor H)$ .

*Proof.* (i) Suppose that  $K \subseteq H$  and  $u \in G(K)$ . Then  $u^g \in K \subseteq H$ . Therefore  $u \in G(H)$  and hence  $G(K) \subseteq G(H)$ . (ii) and (iii) follows from (i).

**Lemma 2.5.** For any ideal K of A, either  $G(K) \cap K = \emptyset$  or G(K) = A.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $G(K) \cap K \neq \phi$ . Let  $x \in G(K) \cap K$ . Then  $x^g \in K$ , and  $x \in K$ . Therefore  $x \lor x^g \in D \cap K$  (since K is an ideal). Since  $0^g \leq d$  for all  $d \in D$ ,  $0^g \leq x \lor x^g$ . Therefore 0 in G(K) and hence G(K) = A (since G(K) is filter).

**Lemma 2.6.** For any ideal K of A and  $u \in A$ ,

- (i)  $u \in G(K)$  if and only if  $u^{gg} \in G(K)$
- (ii)  $u \in K$  implies  $u^g \in G(K)$ .

*Proof.* (i) follows from Proposition 2.1(v). (ii) follows from Proposition 2.1(iv).

The converse of Lemma 2.6(ii) need not be hold. Check out the following example.

**Example 2.7.** Consider a distributive lattice  $A = \{0, i, j, k, 1\}$ , whose Hasse diagram is showing in below;



For the ideal  $K = \{0, i\}$  of A and  $0^g = k, i^g = j, j^g = i, k^g = 1^g = 0$ , we have  $G(K) = \{j, k, 1\}$ , and  $k^g = 0 = 1^g \in G(K)$ , but  $k, 1 \notin K$ .

**Lemma 2.8.** For any ideal K of A,  $K \cap D \neq \phi$  if and only if A = G(K).

*Proof.* Suppose that  $K \cap D \neq \phi$ . Let  $v \in K \cap D$ . Then  $v \in K$  and  $v^g = 0 \in K$ . Therefore  $v \in K \cap G(K)$ . So that  $K \cap G(K)$  is non-empty. By Lemma 2.5., G(K) = A. Conversely suppose that G(K) = A. For  $0 \in A = G(K)$ , we have  $0^g \in K \cap D$ .

**Theorem 2.9.** Given an ideal K of A, G(K) is proper if and only if either  $i \notin G(K)$  or  $i^g \notin G(K)$ . That is., for any  $i \in A$ ,  $i, i^g$  in G(K) if and only if A = G(K).

*Proof.* Let us consider that G(K) is a proper filter of A. Let  $i \in A$ . If  $i \in G(K)$ and  $i^g \in G(K)$ , then  $i^g$  in K and  $i^g$  in G(K). Therefore  $G(K) \cap K \neq \phi$ . By Theorem 2.5., G(K) = A. Which is contradiction. Here  $i \notin G(K)$  or  $i^g \notin G(K)$ . Conversely suppose that  $i \notin G(K)$  or  $i^g \notin G(K)$ . If G(K) = A, then  $i, i^g \in A = G(K)$ . Which is contradiction. Therefore  $G(K) \neq A$ . Hence G(K) is proper.

**Theorem 2.10.** If A satisfies  $(v \lor w)^g = v^g \land w^g$ , for all  $v, w \in A$  and K is a prime ideal of A, then G(K) is a prime filter in A.

*Proof.* Let  $v, w \in A$  such that  $v \vee w$  in G(K). Then  $(v \vee w)^g = v^g \wedge w^g$  in K. Since K is prime,  $v^g \in K$  or  $w^g \in K$ . Therefore  $v \in G(K)$  or  $w \in G(K)$  and hence G(K) is prime.

The converse of Theorem 2.10 need not be true.

**Example 2.11.** Consider the distributive lattice  $A = \{0, i, j, k, l, 1\}$ , whose Hasse diagram is in below;



For the ideal  $K = \{0, i\}$  and  $0^g = k, i^g = j, j^g = i, k^g = 1^g = 0, G(K) = \{j, k, 1\}$  is a prime filter, but K is not prime. Because  $j \wedge l = 0 \in K, j \notin K$  and  $l \notin K$ .

**Remark 2.12.** The converse of Theorem 2.10. is true, provided  $v^{gg} = v$ , for all  $v \in A$ .

For, Suppose that A does not have a prime ideal K. In such case,  $v \wedge w \in K$  such that  $v \notin K$  and  $w \notin K$  exist for  $v, w \in A$ .  $(v \wedge w)^{gg} \leq v \wedge w \in K$ , by Proposition 2.1 (iv). Consequently,  $(v \wedge w)^{gg} \in K$ . Therefore,  $(v \wedge w)^g \in G(K)$  holds.  $(v \wedge w)^g = v^g \vee w^g \in G(K)$ , at this point.  $v^g \in G(K)$  or  $w^g \in G(K)$  if G(K) is prime. It follows that either  $w = w^{gg} \in K$  or  $v = v^{gg} \in K$ . It is contradictory. Therefore It is not prime, G(K).

**Theorem 2.13.** If  $v^{gg} = v$ , for all  $v \in A$ , then A has a unique dense element.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  are two dense elements in A. Now,  $d_1 = d_1^{gg} = (d_1^g)^g = 0^g$ and  $d_2 = d_2^{gg} = (d_2^g)^g = 0^g$  (by Proposition 2.1.(ii)). Therefore  $d_1 = d_2 = 0^g$ . Hence A has a unique dense element.

In a general lattice, the converse of Theorem 2.13. need not be true.

**Example 2.14.** Consider a lattice  $A = \{0, i, j, k, 1\}$ , whose Hasse diagram is in below;



and  $0^g = 1, 1^g = 0, i^g = k, j^g = k, k^g = i$ . Then A has a unique dense element, but  $j^{gg} = i \neq j$ .

# 3. $K^{g}$ -filters in g-complemented distributive lattices

In this section, we introduce  $K^{g}$ -filters derived from ideals using a generalized complementation on a distributive lattice with dense elements and study some algebraic properties. We obtain some necessary conditions for a filter to become a  $K^{g}$ -filter. Mainly, we prove that the set of  $K^{g}$ -filters is a distributive lattice. Also, we obtain a Boolean algebraic structure through  $K^{g}$ -filters in a g-complemented distributive lattice. Finally we introduce normal  $K^{g}$ -filters in a generalized complemented distributive lattice and prove that the set of normal  $K^{g}$ -filters is a Boolean algebra.

**Definition 3.1.** A filter N of A is called a  $K^{g}$ -filter if there exists an ideal K in A such that G(K) = N. It is easy to observe that D is a  $K^{g}$ -filter, because G((0)) = D.

**Lemma 3.2.** For any  $v \in A$ , the principal filter generated by  $v^g$  is a  $K^g$ -filter of A. Moreover  $[v^g) = G((v)]$ .

*Proof.* Let  $u \in G((v))$ . Then  $u^g \in (v)$  and  $u^g \leq v$ . Since  $u \vee u^g \in D$ ,  $u \vee v \in D$ . By Definition 2.1.,  $v^g \leq u$ . So that  $u \in [v^g)$ . Therefore  $G((v)) \subseteq [v^g)$ . For any  $u \in A$ ,

 $u \in [v^g) \Rightarrow v^g \le u$   $\Rightarrow u^g \le v^{gg} \qquad (Proposition 2.1(iii))$   $\Rightarrow u^g \le v \qquad (since v^{gg} \le v)$   $\Rightarrow u^g \in (v]$  $\Rightarrow u \in G((v]).$ 

Therefore  $[v^g] \subseteq G((v))$  and hence  $[v^g] = G((v))$ . Thus  $[v^g]$  is a  $K^g$ -filter.

Now, we provide an example of a distributive lattice in which there is a  $K^{g}$ -filter and there is a non- $K^{g}$ -filter.

**Example 3.3.** Consider a distributive lattice  $A = \{0, i, j, k, 1\}$  whose Hasse diagram is given below;



Then  $K_a = \{0\}, K_b = \{0, i\}, K_c = \{0, i, k\}, K_d = \{0, i, j\}, K_e = A$  are ideals of A and  $N_a = \{1\}, N_b = \{j, 1\}, N_c = \{k, 1\}, N_d = \{i, j, k, 1\}, N_e = A$  are filters of A. Moreover  $N_d$  is a  $K^g$ -filter but others are not.

**Theorem 3.4.** Let A be a distributive lattice with  $v^{gg} = v$ , for all  $v \in A$ . Then for any prime filter containing D in A is a  $K^g$ -filter.

*Proof.* Let N be a prime filter containing D in A. For any  $v \in N$ ,

$$v^{g} \wedge v^{gg} = 0 \in A - N$$
  

$$\Rightarrow v^{g} \in A - N \text{ or } v^{gg} \in A - N \qquad (\text{since } A - N \text{ is prime ideal})$$
  

$$\Rightarrow v^{g} \in A - N \quad \text{or } v \in A - N \qquad (\text{since } v^{gg} = v)$$
  

$$\Rightarrow v^{g} \in A - N \qquad (\text{since } v \in N)$$
  

$$\Rightarrow v \in G(A - N).$$

Therefore  $N \subseteq G(A - N)$ . Let  $v \in G(A - N)$ . Then  $v^g \in A - N$ . Since  $D \subseteq N$ ,  $v \lor v^g$  in N. Then v in N or  $v^g$  in N. Therefore v in N(since  $v^g \notin N$ ). So that  $G(A - N) \subseteq N$ . Hence G(A - N) = N. Thus N is  $K^g$ -filter.

**Corollary 3.5.** Let A be a distributive lattice with  $v^{gg} = v$ , for all  $v \in A$ . Then every maximal filter is a  $K^g$ -filter.

Let us denote the set of  $K^{g}$ -filters in a distributive lattice A with dense elements by  $\mathcal{GF}(A)$ . Now we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.6.**  $\mathcal{GF}(A)$  is a distributive lattice with the operations  $G(K_a) \cap G(K_b) = G(K_a \wedge K_b)$  and  $G(K_a) \sqcup G(K_b) = G(K_a \vee K_b)$ , for all  $G(K_a), G(K_b) \in \mathcal{GF}(A)$ .

Proof. Let  $G(K_a), G(K_b) \in \mathcal{GF}(A)$ , where  $K_a, K_b$  in  $\mathcal{I}(A)$ . By Lemma 2.4(i),  $G(K_a), G(K_b) \subseteq G(K_a \vee K_b)$ . Therefore  $G(K_a \vee K_b)$  is an upper bound of  $G(K_a), G(K_b)$ . Let  $G(K_c) \in \mathcal{GF}(A)$  is an upper bound of  $G(K_a), G(K_b)$ , for some  $K_c \in \mathcal{I}(A)$ . For  $v \in G(K_a \vee K_b)$ ,

$$v^{g} \in K_{a} \vee K_{b} \Rightarrow v^{g} = i \vee j \qquad \text{for some } i \in K_{a} \text{ and } j \in K_{b}$$

$$\Rightarrow i^{gg} \in K_{a} \text{ and } j^{gg} \in K_{b} \qquad (\text{since } v^{gg} \leq v \text{ for all } v \in A)$$

$$\Rightarrow i^{g} \in G(K_{a}) \text{ and } j^{g} \in G(K_{b})$$

$$\Rightarrow i^{gg} \in G(K_{c}) \text{ and } j^{g} \in G(K_{c}) \qquad (\text{since } G(K_{a}), G(K_{b}) \subseteq G(K_{c}))$$

$$\Rightarrow i^{gg} \vee j^{gg} \in K_{c} \qquad (\text{since } K_{c} \text{ is an ideal})$$

$$\Rightarrow (i \vee j)^{gg} \in K_{c} \qquad (\text{since } v^{gg} = i \vee j)$$

$$\Rightarrow v^{ggg} \in K_{c} \qquad (\text{since } v^{ggg} = v^{g})$$

$$\Rightarrow v^{ggg} \in K_{c} \qquad (\text{since } v^{ggg} = v^{g})$$

$$\Rightarrow v \in G(K_{c}).$$

Therefore  $G(K_a \vee K_b) \subseteq G(K_c)$  and hence  $G(K_a \vee K_b)$  is the least upper bound of  $G(K_a)$ ,  $G(K_b)$ . Hence it is denoted by  $G(K_a) \sqcup G(K_b)$ . By Lemma 2.4(ii).,  $G(K_a) \wedge G(K_b) = G(K_a \wedge K_b)$ . Since  $\mathcal{I}(A)$  is distributive,  $\mathcal{GF}(A)$  is distributive. Hence  $\mathcal{GF}(A)$  is a distributive lattice with the lease element  $G(\{0\})$  and the greatest element G(A).

**Corollary 3.7.** If  $\mathcal{I}(A)$  is a Boolean algebra, then  $\mathcal{GF}(A)$  is a Boolean algebra, but not a sub-Boolean algebra of  $\mathcal{I}(A)$ .

**Lemma 3.8.** For any  $v \in A, G((v^{gg})) = G((v))$ .

Proof. Let  $v \in A$ . Then  $v^{gg} \leq v$ . Therefore  $(v^{gg}] \subseteq (v]$ . By Lemma 2.4(ii).,  $G((v^{gg}]) \subseteq G((v))$ . Let  $l \in G((v))$ . Then  $l^g \in (v]$  and hence  $l^g \leq v$ . By Proposition 2.1.,  $l^{ggg} = l^g \leq v^{gg} \leq v$ . So that  $l^g \in (v^{gg}]$ . Hence  $l \in G((v^{gg}))$ . Thus  $G((v) = G((v^{gg}))$ .

**Lemma 3.9.** For any v, w in A, the following (1.), and (2.) are equivalent;

- (1).  $(v \wedge w)^{gg} = v^{gg} \wedge w^{gg}$
- (2).  $(v \lor w)^g = v^g \land w^g$ .

Proof. (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) : Assume(1), let  $v, w \in A$ . Then  $(v \lor w)^g = (v \lor w)^{ggg} = (v^{gg} \lor w^{gg})^g = (v^g \land w^{ggg} = v^g \land w^{gg} = v^g \land w^g$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Assume (2), let  $v, w \in A$ . Then  $(v \wedge w)^{gg} = (v^g \vee w^g)^g = v^{gg} \wedge w^{gg}$ .

**Lemma 3.10.** For any  $v, w \in A$ , we have

(i) 
$$G((v]) = G((v^{gg}))$$

(ii)  $w \in N \Leftrightarrow w^{gg} \in N$  if N is  $K^g$ -filter in A

(iii) If N is a  $K^g$ -filter, then  $N = \bigcup_{w \in N} G((w^g])$ .

*Proof.* (i) Let  $v \in A$ . By Lemma 3.2.,  $G((v)) = [v^g) = [v^{ggg}) = G((v^{gg}))$ .

(ii) Let N be a  $K^g$  filter of A. Then N = G(K), for some ideal K in A. For any  $w \in A$ , w in  $N \Leftrightarrow w \in G(K) \Leftrightarrow w^g \in K \Leftrightarrow w^{ggg} \in K \Leftrightarrow w^{gg} \in G(K) \Leftrightarrow w^{gg} \in N$ . (iii) Let N be a  $K^g$ -filter of A. Then N = G(K) for some ideal K in A. Let  $v \in G((w^g])$  for some  $w \in N$ . Then  $v^g \in (w^g]$ . Therefore  $v^g \leq w^g \Rightarrow w^{gg} \leq v^{gg}$ . Now,  $w \in N = G(K)$ . Then  $w^g \in K$ . Therefore  $w^{ggg} = w^g \in K$  implies  $w^{gg} \in G(K) = N$ . Since N is filter,  $v^{gg} \in N$ . So that  $v \in N$ . Hence  $\bigcup_{w \in N} G((w^g]) \subseteq N$ . Let  $w \in N$ . Then  $w^g \in K$ . Therefore  $w^{ggg} = w^g \in G((w^g])$ . So that  $w \in G((w^g])$ . Hence  $N \subseteq \bigcup_{w \in N} G((w^g])$ . Thus  $N = \bigcup_{w \in N} G((w^g])$ .

Let us consider a set  $D(A) = \{v \in A \mid v^g \in D\}$ . Then it is easy to observe that D(A) is an ideal of A and G(D(A)) = D.

**Lemma 3.11.** For any ideal K of A, and  $x \in A$ , we have the following equivalent conditions:

- (i) G(K) = D
- (ii)  $v \in K \Rightarrow v^g \in D$
- (iii)  $K \subseteq D(K) \subseteq D(A)$

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii); Assume (i); Let  $v \in K$ . Then  $v^{gg} \in K$ . Therefore  $v^g \in G(K) = D$ . Hence  $v^g \in D$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii); Assume (ii); Let  $v \in K$ . Then  $v^g \in D$ . Therefore  $v \in D(A)$ . Since  $K \subseteq A$ ,  $v \in D(K)$ . Hence  $K \subseteq D(K)$ .

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i); Assume (iii); Now,  $K \subseteq D(K) \subseteq D(A)$  implies  $G(K) \subseteq G(D(K)) \subseteq G(D(A))$ . Therefore  $G(K) \subseteq G(D(K)) \subseteq D$ . Since  $D \subseteq G(K)$ , G(K) = D.

**Theorem 3.12.** The set  $BG(A) = \{v \in A \mid v^{gg} = v\}$  is a Boolean algebra with the operations  $\lor$  and  $v_1 * v_2 = (v_1^g \lor v_2^g)^g$ , for all  $v_1, v_2 \in BG(A)$ .

Proof. Let  $v_1, v_2 \in BG(A)$ . Now,  $(v_1 \vee v_2)^{gg} = v_1^{gg} \vee v_2^{gg} = v_1 \vee v_2$  (By proposition 2.3(iii)). Therefore  $v_1 \vee v_2 \in BG(A)$ . Now  $[v_1 * v_2]^{gg} = [(v_1^g \vee v_2^g)^g]^{gg} = ((v_1^g \vee v_2^g)^g = v_1 * v_2 \in BG(A)$ . Therefore BG(A) is closed under  $\vee$  and \*. Now  $v_1 * v_2 = (v_1^g \vee v_2^g)^g = (v_1 \wedge v_2)^{gg} \leq v_1 \wedge v_2 \leq v_1, v_2$ . Therefore  $v_1 * v_2$  is a lower bound of  $v_1, w_2$ . For any lower bound l of  $v_1, v_2$  in BG(A), we have  $l \leq v_1, v_2 \Rightarrow v_1^g, v_2^g \leq l^g \Rightarrow v_1^g \vee v_2^g \leq l^g \Rightarrow l^{gg} \leq (v_1^g \vee v_2^g)^g \Rightarrow l \leq v_1 * v_2$  (since  $l \in BG(A)$ ). Therefore  $v_1 * v_2$  is the greatest lower bound of  $v_1, v_2$  in BG(A). Since A is distributive lattice, BG(A) is form a distributive lattice. Let  $b \in BG(A)$ . Then there exists  $b^g \in BG(A)$  such that  $b \vee b^g$  is dense and  $b \vee b^g = b^{gg} \vee b^g = b^{gg} \vee (b^g)^{gg} = (b \vee b^g)^{gg} = 0^g$  is the greatest element in BG(A). Now,  $b * b^g = (b^g \vee b^{gg})^g$ . Since  $b^g \vee b^{gg}$  is dense,  $(b^g \vee b^{gg})^g = 0 = b * b^g$ . Hence BG(A) is a Boolean algebra.

**Theorem 3.13.** There is an onto homomorphism between  $\mathcal{I}(A)$  to  $\mathcal{GF}(A)$ .

*Proof.* Define a map  $\Phi$  from  $\mathcal{I}(A)$  to  $\mathcal{GF}(A)$  by  $\Phi(K) = G(K)$  for all  $K \in \mathcal{I}(A)$ . Let  $K_a, K_b \in \mathcal{GF}(A)$ . Now,  $K_a = K_b$ . Then  $\Phi(K_a) = \Phi(K_b)$ . Therefore  $\Phi$  is well defined. Now,  $\Phi(K_a \cap K_b) = G(K_a \cap K_b) = G(K_a) \wedge G(K_b) = \Phi(K_a) \wedge \Phi(K_b)$ ,  $\Phi(K_a \vee K_b) = G(K_a \vee K_b) = G(K_a) \sqcup G(K_b) = \Phi(K_a) \sqcup \Phi(K_b)$  and  $\Phi(\{0\}) = G(\{0\}) = D$ . Therefore  $\Phi$  is an onto homomorphism.

**Remark 3.14.** The above homomorphism need not be one-one.

In Example 3.3., let  $K_b = \{0, i\}, K_c = \{0, i, k\}$ , then  $G(K_b) = A = G(K_c)$ . That is  $\Phi(K_b) = \Phi(K_c)$ . But  $K_b \neq K_c$ . Hence  $\Phi$  is not one-one.

**Lemma 3.15.** Let  $\Phi$  be a homomorphism between  $\mathcal{I}(A)$  and  $\mathcal{GF}(A)$ . Then kernel of  $\Phi$  is an ideal of  $\mathcal{I}(A)$ .

Proof. Let  $K_a, K_b \in ker(\Phi)$ . Then  $\Phi(K_a) = D = \Phi(K_b)$ . Therefore  $G(K_a) = D = G(K_b)$ . Now,  $\Phi(K_a \vee K_b) = G(K_a \vee K_b) = G(K_a) \sqcup G(K_b) = D \sqcup D = D$ . Therefore  $K_a \vee K_b \in ker(\Phi)$ . For any  $K \in \mathcal{I}(A), \Phi(K \wedge K_a) = G(K \wedge K_a) = G(K) \wedge G(K_a) = G(K) \wedge D = D$ . Therefore  $K \wedge K_a \in ker(\Phi)$ . Hence  $ker(\Phi)$  is an ideal of  $\mathcal{I}(A)$ .

**Theorem 3.16.** If  $\Phi$  is a homomorphism from  $\mathcal{I}(A)$  to  $\mathcal{GF}(A)$  defined by  $\Phi(K) = G(K)$ , for all  $K \in \mathcal{I}(A)$ , then the following are equivalent;

- (*i*)  $ker(\Phi) = \{0\}$
- (ii)  $v^{gg} = v$ , for all  $v \in A$ .
- (iii)  $\Phi$  is one-one.

*Proof.* (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii); Suppose that  $v^{gg} = v$  for all  $v \in A$ . Let  $K_a, K_b \in \mathcal{I}(A)$ . Now,  $\Phi(K_a) = \Phi(K_b)$ . Then  $G(K_a) = G(K_b)$ . For any  $k \in A$ ,

$$k \in K_a \quad \Leftrightarrow k^{gg} \in K_a \qquad (\text{since } k^{gg} = k)$$
$$\Leftrightarrow k^g \in G(K_a) = G(K_b)$$
$$\Leftrightarrow k^{gg} \in G(K_b)$$
$$\Leftrightarrow k^{gg} \in K_b$$
$$\Leftrightarrow k \in K_b. \qquad (\text{since } k^{gg} = k)$$

Therefore  $K_a = K_b$ . Hence  $\Phi$  is one-one.

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i); Suppose that  $\Phi$  is one-one. Let  $K \in ker(\Phi)$ . Then  $\Phi(K) = D$ . Therefore  $\Phi(K) = G(K) = D = G((0)) = \Phi((0))$ . Since  $\Phi$  is one-one, K = (0). Hence  $ker(\Phi) = \{0\}$ . (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i); Suppose that  $v^{gg} = v$ , for all  $v \in A$ . Let  $K \in ker(\Phi)$ . Then  $\Phi(K) = D$ . Therefore G(K) = D. Let  $k \in K$ . Then  $k^{gg} \in K$ . Therefore  $k^g \in G(K) = D$ . For this  $k^g \in D, k^{gg} = 0$ . Since  $k^{gg} = k = 0$ . Hence  $K = \{0\}$ . Therefore  $ker(\Phi) = \{0\}$ . (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii); Suppose that  $\Phi$  is one-one. Let  $v \in A$ . By Lemma 3.3.,  $G((v^{gg})) = G((v))$ .

Then  $\Phi((v^{gg}]) = \Phi((v))$ . Therefore  $(v^{gg}] = (v)$ . Since  $v^{gg} \in (v^{gg}] = (v)$ ,  $(v^{gg}] \leq v$ . Similarly  $v \leq v^{gg}$ . Hence  $v^{gg} = v$ , for all  $v \in A$ .

For any  $i \in A$ , G((i]) is a  $K^g$ -filter of A and it is called a normal  $K^g$ -filter. The set of all normal  $K^g$ -filters of A denoted by  $\mathcal{NG}(A) = \{G((i]) = [i^g) | i \in A\}$  and it forms a sublattice of  $\mathcal{GF}(A)$ . Moreover  $\mathcal{NG}(A)$  is a Boolean algebra.

**Theorem 3.17.** The set  $\mathcal{NG}(A)$  forms a sublattice of  $\mathcal{GF}(A)$  with the operations  $G((i]) \land G((j)) = G((i \land j])$  and  $G((i) \sqcup G((j)) = G((i \lor j))$ , for all  $G((i), G(j)) \in \mathcal{NG}(A)$ , for some  $i, j \in A$ . Moreover  $\mathcal{NG}(A)$  is a Boolean algebra.

Proof. Let  $G((i]), G((j]) \in \mathcal{NG}(A)$ . By Lemma 2.4.,  $G((i]) \wedge G((j]) = G((i] \wedge (j]) = G((i \wedge j])$  and by Theorem 3.9.,  $G((i)) \sqcup G((j)) = G((i \vee j)) = G((i \vee j))$ . Therefore  $G((i) \wedge G((j)) \in \mathcal{NG}(A)$  and  $G((i)) \sqcup G((j)) \in \mathcal{NG}(A)$ . Hence  $\mathcal{NG}(A)$  is a sublattice of distributive lattice  $\mathcal{GF}(A)$  with the least element G((0) and the greatest element G((d)) where d is dense in A. For any G((i) in  $\mathcal{NG}(A)$ , there exists  $G((i^g))$  in  $\mathcal{NG}(A)$  such that  $G((i) \wedge G((i^g)) = G((i \wedge i^g))$ . Let  $x \in G((i \wedge i^g)) = G((i) \wedge G((i^g))$ . Then we have  $x^g \in (i \wedge i^g]$ . So,  $x^g \leq i \wedge i^g$ . Hence  $(i \wedge i^g)^g = i^g \vee i^{gg} \leq x^{gg} \leq x$ . Since  $i^g \vee i^{gg}$  is dense, x is dense. Then  $G((i) \wedge G(i^g)) \subseteq D$ . Hence  $G((i \wedge i^g)^g = 0$ . Therefore  $G((i \vee i^g)) = G((i \vee i^g)) = [(i \vee i^g)^g)$ . Since  $(i \vee i^g)$  is dense,  $(i \vee i^g)^g = 0$ . Therefore  $G((i \vee i^g)) = A$ . Hence  $G((i) \sqcup G((i^g)) = A$ . Thus  $\mathcal{NG}(A)$  is a Boolean algebra.

**Theorem 3.18.** For any normal  $K^g$ -filter N of A, there exists a prime filter Q of A such that  $N \subseteq Q$ .

*Proof.* Let N be a  $K^{g}$ -filter of A. Then there exists an ideal K of A such that G(K) = N. Now,  $N \cap K = \Phi$ . Then there exists a prime filter Q of A such that  $Q \cap K = \Phi$  and  $N \subseteq Q$ .

# 4. Conclusions

This paper exponentially enrich algebraic properties of a certain class of filters ( $K^{g}$ -filters) generated by a generalized complementation on a distributive lattice with dense elements. Also, we prove the class of  $K^{g}$ -filters forms a distributive lattice which is not induced. Further, we derive normal  $K^{g}$ -filters in generalized complemented distributive lattices and proven that the class of normal  $K^{g}$ -filters is a Boolean algebra which is not induced. We can classify  $K^{g}$ -filters and normal  $K^{g}$ -filters in different class of lattice.

## **Conflict of Interest**

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper

#### Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank the anonymous reviewers for their valuable suggestions. This work was supported by Directorate of Research and Innovation, Walter Sisulu University, South Africa.

#### References

- [1] Sergio A. Subordinations on bounded distributive lattices. Order, 40:1–27, 2023.
- [2] G. Birkhoff. Lattice theory. Amer. Math. Soc. Collequium Pub, 1967.
- [3] G. Boole. An investigation of the laws of thought. Reprinted by Open Court Publishing Co., Chelsea, London, 1940.
- [4] S. Burris and H. P. Sankappanavar. A course in universal algebra. Springer-Verlag, 1980.
- [5] W. H. Cornish. Quasi-complemented lattices. Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, 15:501–511, 1974.
- [6] G. Epstein and A. Horn. Chain based lattices. *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, 55:65–84, 1974.
- [7] Y. L. Ershov. Relatively complemented distributive lattices. Algebra and Logic, 18:431–459, 1978.
- [8] Ravikumar Bandaru G. Jogarao, S.Ramesh and Rahul Shukla. G-filters and generalized complemented distributive lattices. *European Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, in press:237–249, 2024.
- [9] John Harding Guram Bezhanishvili and Mamuka Jibladze. Canonical extensions, free completely distributive lattices, and complete retracts. *Algebra Univers.*, 64:1–6, 2021.
- [10] Alain Gélya, Miguel Couceiro, Laurent Miclet, and Amedeo Napoli. A study of algorithms relating distributive lattices, median graphs, and Formal Concept Analysis. *International Journal of Approximate Reasoning*, 142:370–382, 2022.
- [11] Sergio Celani Ismael Calomino, Jorge Castro and Luciana Valenzuela. A study on some classes of distributive lattices with a generalized implication. *order*, 2023.
- [12] C. Jayaram. Weak complemented and weak invertible elements in C-lattices. Algebra Universalis, 77:237–249, 2017.
- [13] W. B. Johnson. On quasi-complements. Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 48:113–118, 1973.
- [14] H. Lakser. The structure of pseudo-complemented distributive lattices-I. Transaction of the American Mathematical Society, 157:335–342, 1971.
- [15] P. V. Venkatanarasimhan. Pseudo-complements in posets. American Mathematical Society, 28:9–17, 1971.